

September 7, 2021

John Brum
Resource Planner
Grand River Conservation Authority
400 Clyde Road,
PO Box 729,
Cambridge, Ontario N1R 5W6

Dear Mr. Brum,

**Re: Response to Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision (30T-20301) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZC-01/20)
Cambridge Aggregate Services Inc.
(c/o Dryden, Smith & Head Planning Consultants Ltd.)
1940 Wrigley Road (Scott Street), Ayr
Township of North Dumfries**

For convenience and understanding, we have taken all of the comments as provided from July 27, 2020 and addressed each comment in *italics and bold* below.

Natural Heritage/Resource Planning Comments

1. The EIS and Stormwater Management (SWM) Report do not identify a monitoring plan to assess the function and performance of the SWM treatment train. This should be amended and provided in these reports.

See revised Scoped Environmental Impact Study as prepared by Aboud & Associates Inc., August 11, 2021 and the Second Draft Plan Submission as prepared by Meritech Engineering, Sept 1, 2021.

2. EIS Section 4.2.1 Wetlands, identifies a 120 m wetland buffer to the PSW. The Draft Plan of Subdivision and the Preliminary SWM Report shows Block 105 as a walkway into the buffer. The EIS does not identify or interpret the requirement for public access into the buffer. If a public/community trail is planned to support this development, then an addendum to the EIS should be submitted for further GRCA review and comment. Please clarify.

See revised Draft Plan of Subdivision June 10, 2021 and see revised Scoped Environmental Impact Study as prepared by Aboud & Associates Inc., August 11, 2021.

Advisory Recommendations

3. EIS Section 4.4 Stormwater Management, the report should be amended to include a discussion and assessment of the impacts of road salt to the shallow groundwater system and the adjacent wetland and cold water stream since all drainage will be infiltrated.

See revised Scoped Environmental Impact Study as prepared by Aboud & Associates Inc., August 11, 2021.

4. EIS Section 4.5 Blanding's Turtle Mitigation, the mitigation measures recommended should be circulated and registered with the Ministry of Environment Conservation and Parks to ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

See revised Scoped Environmental Impact Study as prepared by Aboud & Associates Inc., August 11, 2021.

5. EIS Section 6.2 Impact Assessment, 4, rear lot fencing with no provision for gates on all lots backing onto the natural heritage buffer would reduce indirect and induced impacts from residential homeowners.

See revised Scoped Environmental Impact Study as prepared by Aboud & Associates Inc., August 11, 2021.

If you have any additional comments or questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

Andrew Head
Dryden Smith & Head
Planning Consultants Ltd.