

Cambridge Aggregates Inc. West Edworthy Pit

Water Report / Maximum Predicted Water Table Report Proposed Class A Pit Above Water Table

Project Location: Part of Lot 17, Concession 9, Township of North Dumfries

Prepared for: Cambridge Aggregates Inc. 1182 Alps Road, Cambridge, ON

Prepared by:

MTE Consultants Inc. 520 Bingemans Centre Drive Kitchener, ON N2B 3X9

June 30, 2022

MTE File No.: 46639-100

Engineers, Scientists, Surveyors.



Contents

Execu	tive Summary	i
1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Agency Pre-Consultation	1
1.2	Scope and Methodology	2
2.0	Site Description	3
2.1	Adjacent Land Use	3
2.2	Surface Water & Wetlands	3
2.3	Site Topography & Drainage	3
3.0	Geology and Hydrogeology	1
3.1	Physiography	1
3.2	Quaternary Geology	1
3.3	Paleozoic Geology	1
4.0	Source Water Protection	1
4.1	Groundwater Recharge	1
4.2	Well Head Protection Areas (WHPA)	1
4.3	Well Head Protection Area Vulnerability	5
4.4	Wellhead Protection Sensitivity Areas (WPSA)	ō
5.0	Field Program	5
5.0 5.1	Field Program	5 5
5.0 5.1 5.2	Field Program	5 5 5
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3	Field Program	5 5 3 3
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Field Program	555557
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.4	Field Program	555577
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.5	Field Program	55557777
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5. 5.5	Field Program. # Monitoring Well Installations. # Boreholes. # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations. # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers. # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation #	5 5 5 7 7 7 3
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5. 5.5 5.6	Field Program. # Monitoring Well Installations. # Boreholes. # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations. # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers. # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation. # # Geological Cross Section # #	555577739
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5. 5.5 5.5 5.6 5.7	Field Program # Monitoring Well Installations # Boreholes # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation # Geological Cross Section # Well Performance Testing #	5 5 5 5 7 7 3 9 9
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0	Field Program. # Monitoring Well Installations. # Boreholes. # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations. # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers. # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation. # Geological Cross Section. # Well Performance Testing. # Groundwater Quality. 10	553377333)
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 7.0	Field Program # Monitoring Well Installations # Boreholes # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation # Geological Cross Section # Well Performance Testing # Groundwater Quality 10 Proposed Pit Operations 11	553377333)1
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 7.0 7.1	Field Program. # Monitoring Well Installations. # Boreholes. # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations. # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation # Geological Cross Section # Well Performance Testing # Groundwater Quality 10 Proposed Pit Operations 1 Proposed Pit Floor 1	5 5 5 5 7 7 3 9 9 0 1 1
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 7.0 7.1 7.2	Field Program # Monitoring Well Installations # Boreholes # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation # Geological Cross Section # Well Performance Testing # Groundwater Quality # 10 Proposed Pit Operations # 11 Proposed Pit Floor # 11 Proposed Water Diversion, Storage, and Drainage Facilities on Site #	5 5 5 7 7 7 3 9 9 0 1 1 1
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3	Field Program # Monitoring Well Installations # Boreholes # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation # # Geological Cross Section # # Well Performance Testing # # Groundwater Quality # # Proposed Pit Operations # # Proposed Pit Floor # # Proposed Pit Floor # # Proposed Water Diversion, Storage, and Drainage Facilities on Site # 1 Discharge to Surface Water #	5 5 5 7 7 7 3 9 9 0 1 1 1 1
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 8.0	Field Program # Monitoring Well Installations # Boreholes # Private Wells # Groundwater Levels and Elevations # 4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers # 4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results # Pit Floor Elevation # Geological Cross Section # Well Performance Testing # Groundwater Quality 10 Proposed Pit Operations 11 Proposed Pit Floor 11 Proposed Water Diversion, Storage, and Drainage Facilities on Site 11 Impact Assessment 11	5 5 5 7 7 7 3 9 9 0 1 1 1 1 1

8.2	Groundwater Drawdown	12
8.3	Wetland Impact Assessment	12
8.	.3.1 Galt Ridge Sudden Bog	12
8.	.3.2 Taylors Lake Wetland	12
8.4	Local Groundwater Use – Private Wells	12
8.	.4.1 Domestic Wells within the Study Area	13
8.	.4.2 Fueling Practices	14
8.5	Wellhead Protection Areas	14
8.6	Groundwater Vulnerability	14
8.7	Cumulative Effect Assessment	15
8.8	Climate Change	15
9.0	Monitoring Program	16
10.0	Contingency Plan	16
10.1	Well Interference Complaint Procedure	16
10.2	2 On-Site Fuel Storage	17
10.3	Spills Contingency Plan	17
11.0	Conclusions	18
12.0	Recommendations	18
13.0	Limitations	21
14.0	References	22

Figures

Figure 1	Кеу Мар
Figure 2	Study Area Map
Figure 3	Subcatchment Areas
Figure 4	Site Map
Figure 5	Quaternary Geology
Figure 6	Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas
Figure 7	Wellhead Protection Areas
Figure 8	Wellhead Protection Area Vulnerability
Figure 9	Private Well Inventory
Figure 10	Groundwater Flow Map
Figure 11a	Geological Cross-Section A-A'
Figure 11b	Geological Cross-Section B-B'
Figure 12	Sampled Private Wells
Figure 13	Wetland Impact Assessment
Figure 14	Private Wells with no associated MECP Well Record
Figure 15	Proposed Private Well Monitoring Program

Tables

Table 1	MECP Well Records within the Study Area
Table 2	Groundwater Levels
Table 3	Groundwater Elevations
Table 4	Summary of Hydraulic Conductivities
Table 5.1	Water Quality Results - On-Site Monitoring Wells
Table 5.2	Water Quality Results - Domestic Wells
Table 6	Down-gradient Water Well Summary
Table 6	Down-gradient water weil Summary

Hydrographs

Hydrograph 1	Monitoring We	II Groundwater	Elevations
--------------	---------------	----------------	------------

Appendices

Maximum Predicted Water Table Report Terms of Reference
Borehole Logs
Private Well Survey
Golder 2020 Monitoring Report (Select Figures)
Aquifer Test Data Sheets
Certificates of Analysis
Macro Drainage Analysis
Cambridge Aggregates - Spill Contingency Plan
Curriculum Vitaes

Executive Summary

Cambridge Aggregates Inc. (Cambridge Aggregates) retained MTE Consultants Inc. (MTE) to complete a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report to support a Class 'A' pit above-water-table *Aggregate Resources Act (R.S.O., 1990)* (ARA) license application for the property located on located on Part of Lots 16, 17 & 18 Concession 9, Township of North Dumfries, Region of Waterloo. **Figure 1** illustrates the Site location. The Site is located approximately 1.7 km southwest of the City of Cambridge at the corner of Line 37 and Road 108.

This report will also be submitted in support of Township of North Dumfries Zoning By-Law amendment.

This report considers the requirements of the Aggregate Resources of Ontario: Technical Reports and Information Standards, August 2020 and Region of Waterloo 2015 Draft Aggregate Assessment Guidelines.

The following summarizes the findings of the hydrogeological investigation:

- The water-table encountered on-Site is located in a sand and gravel formation that overlies a silty sand till. The sand and gravel formation represents the aggregate resource;
- The water table encountered on-Site is generally at an elevation of 306.5 metres above mean sea level (mAMSL);
- The sand and gravel formation represents an unconfined aquifer that supplies water to private water supply wells within the study area;
- A small portion of the Site exists within the Willard and Middleton Street Wellhead protection area;
- No surface water courses cross the Site;
- No wetlands are mapped within the proposed licensed area;
- The Site primarily contributes to the Cedar Creek Catchment (located within the Grand River Watershed);
- Groundwater elevations at the Site indicate that local horizontal groundwater flow in the water-table aquifer is southeast across the Site. The horizontal hydraulic gradient was calculated to be 0.0006 m/m;
- The estimated horizontal hydraulic conductivity for the saturated granular materials at the Site ranges from 6.1 x 10⁻⁵ m/sec (MW109-20) to 4.5 x 10⁻³ m/sec (MW101-20) with a calculated geometric mean of 7.1 x 10⁻⁴ m/sec which is consistent with published values for sand and gravel soils;
- In order to characterize background water quality conditions, water samples were collected from monitoring wells on-Site, and two nearby private wells;
- Since extraction is above the water table, no dewatering will occur. MTE also understands that no aggregate washing is proposed on-Site. As such a Permit to Take Water will not be required at this time;
- Based on the groundwater elevations on Site the pit floor will be set at an elevation of 309.25 mAMSL; and
- To address potential concerns related to impacts to nearby features MTE undertook a micro drainage analysis.

Based on the above hydrogeological investigation, MTE offers the following conclusions:

- 1. The maximum predicted water table elevation on-Site was estimated to be 307.75 mAMSL.
- 2. There will be no discharge to any surface water bodies or courses as a result of extraction activities.
- 3. As this application is for an above water table pit without active dewatering, MTE predicts that there will be no drawdown of the water table beyond the licence boundary.
- 4. Since both the Willard and Middleton Street Wells are completed within the bedrock and the Site will be extracting aggregate from the overburden aquifer above the water table, Site activities do not pose a threat to either well field.
- 5. Fluctuations in groundwater levels are expected to remain in the normal range of annual variation for the groundwater system throughout the life of the proposed pit.
- 6. As this application is for an above water table pit without active dewatering, MTE does not anticipate impacts to either the Galt Ridge Sudden Bog or Taylors Lake Wetland.
- 7. To account for climate change, a long-term monitoring program has been included on the Site Plans which will span the life of the proposed pit. This long-term monitoring program in combination with the mandated 1.5 m buffer above the established high water table elevation will be used to ensure the pit floor remains above water table.
- 8. No cumulative impacts with respect to water quantity or quality are anticipated.
- 9. As long as the recommendations provided in Section 12.0 of this report are implemented, then groundwater and surface water resources and their uses will not be impacted by the proposed pit.

Based on the above hydrogeological investigation, MTE offers the following recommendations:

Groundwater Monitoring Program:

- 1. Manual water levels shall be collected on a seasonal basis, three times per year, once in the spring, summer and fall, at all on-Site monitoring wells and participating domestic wells.
- 2. An annual groundwater monitoring program shall extend throughout the life of the operation so that confirmatory water table elevations can be obtained as the pit develops.
- The results of the monitoring shall be retained on-file by Cambridge Aggregates so that it can be made available upon request by agencies such as the NDMNRF, MECP, Region of Waterloo or Township of North Dumfries.
- 4. Monitoring wells that may be destroyed by extraction activities shall be decommissioned according to the Wells Regulation (*O.Reg. 903*) and subsequently replaced (with the exception of MW102-20 and MW106-20) at a location that will ensure the new monitoring wells will remain intact to allow groundwater monitoring to continue.
- 5. MW101-20, MW103-20, MW104-20, MW107-20, MW108-20 & MW109-20 shall be sampled for water quality once per year during the summer for the life of the proposed pit to track trends in water quality. Samples shall be analyzed for general chemistry including select metals, petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in the F1 through F4 fraction, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX).

Maximum Predicted Groundwater Table and the Proposed Pit Floor:

- 1. The maximum depth of extraction shall not occur within 1.5 m of the maximum predicted high water table (307.75 mAMSL).
- 2. Should the water table elevation occur at higher levels than anticipated based on the results of the ground water monitoring program, the depth of extraction shall be adjusted to ensure a minimum of 1.5 metres is maintained above the water table at all times.

Fuel Storage:

- 1. Cambridge Aggregates shall adopt their Spill Contingency Plan for the Site and that a QP be retained, in the unlikely event of a spill.
- 2. Temporary fuel storage facilities are located in designated area. The designated area will be located near the proposed entrance to the Site and outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.
- 3. All fueling activities will be in accordance with the Liquid Fuels Handling Code, Technical Standards and Safety Act, 2000, as amended.
- 4. Mobile vehicles will be fueled in a designated area located outside Wellhead Protection Areas. Immobile equipment may be re-fueled with a fuel delivery truck outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.
- 5. Fuel delivered to the Site will be stored within a horizontal double walled steel fuel tank meeting all current regulated standards, located near the proposed entrance.
- 6. Fuel hoses on fuel storage tanks will be locked when unattended.
- 7. Secondary containment measures will be added to the fuel intakes of crushing and screening equipment to protect against accidental spills while be fueled by a fuel truck in the active area of the pit.
- 8. Maintain a record of fuel deliveries noting the quantity and date of each transfer. A record of fuel deliveries will be maintained noting the quantity and date of fuel transfer.

Well Interference Complaint Procedure:

Cambridge Aggregates shall adopt the following Well Interference Complaint Procedure:

- 1. Owners of domestic and farm water supplies experiencing disruption or quality problems shall immediately notify Cambridge Aggregates Inc.
- 2. Cambridge Aggregates Inc., upon receipt of any water supply disruption compliant, shall retain the services of an independent Qualified Person (QP i.e. P.Geo. or P.Eng.) to investigate the cause of the interference compliant.
- 3. If, through the investigation, it is determined that pit operations have caused an adverse effect at the well in question, Cambridge Aggregates Inc. shall, at their expense, either restore or replace the affected water supply.
- 4. If, through the investigation, it is determined that pit operations have not caused an adverse effect to the well in question, Cambridge Aggregates Inc. shall provide a report documenting the results of the investigation to the well owner and retain a copy on-file so that it can be made available upon request by agencies such as the NDMNRF or MECP.

1.0 Introduction

MTE Consultants Inc. (MTE) has been retained by Cambridge Aggregates Inc. (Cambridge Aggregates) to complete a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report for a Class "A" pit abovewater-table *Aggregate Resources Act (R.S.O., 1990)* (ARA) license application, located on Part of Lots 16, 17 & 18 Concession 9, Township of North Dumfries, Region of Waterloo hereby referred to as the "Site". The Site location is illustrated on **Figure 1**.

This report has been completed in accordance with the Ministry of Northern Developments, Mines, Natural Resources and Forestry (NDMNRF) Aggregate Resources Act (ARA) of Ontario for a Class A License Application for a Pit Above Water. The ARA requires a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report to determine the final extraction elevation relative to established groundwater table and demonstrate that the final depth of extraction is at least 1.5 metres above the water table. This report will also assess the potential for adverse effects to groundwater and surface water resources and their uses. Where the results of this report identify the potential for adverse effects to groundwater and/or surface water resources and their uses, the significance of the potential impact will be assessed. As such, this Maximum Predicted Water Table Report characterizes the hydrogeology of the Site and assesses the significance of the potential impacts of the proposed pit on surface water and groundwater resources and their uses.

This report has also been completed in accordance with the following local and municipal Official Plans:

- 1. Chapter 5, Section 5.2.4.1 (b) of the Official Plan for the Township of North Dumfries, which requires a hydrogeological study in accordance with the provisions of the Regional Implementation Guideline for Source Water Protection Studies, demonstrating, to the satisfaction of the Region, that the proposed operation will have no negative impacts on the quality and quantity of any surface water or groundwater resources; and
- 2. Chapter 9, Section 9.C.3 of the Official Plan for the Region of Waterloo, which requires a hydrogeological study in accordance with the provisions of the Regional Implementation Guideline for Source Water Protection Studies, demonstrating that the proposed operation will have no negative impacts on the quality and quantity of any surface water or groundwater resources.

1.1 Agency Pre-Consultation

Section 9.C.5 of the Official Plan for the Region of Waterloo and Section 5.2.4.2 of the Township of North Dumfries Official Plan requires the applicant to participate in a presubmission consultation meeting with Area Municipalities and the Grand River Conservation Authority prior to the submission of any supporting studies.

Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Maximum Predicted Water Table Report (**Appendix A**) were developed based on the 2020 Reporting Standards issued by the Ministry of NDMNRF and the Regional Municipality of Waterloo (RMOW) Guidelines for Hydrogeological Assessments for Proposed Mineral Aggregate Resource Extraction. The TOR was submitted on November 18, 2021 by MHBC Planning Ltd to the Region of Waterloo, and the Township of North Dumfries. Comments regarding the TOR were received from the RMOW on January 21, 2022 with follow up emails on January 25th and January 26th. All correspondence related to the TOR are included in **Appendix A**.

1.2 Scope and Methodology

The purpose of this report is to assess geological, hydrogeological, and hydrological conditions at the Site and to identify any potential post-extraction adverse effects on water resources, water uses or the natural environment. In accordance with the TOR the scope of work included:

- A review of the Region of Waterloo's Official Plan.
- A review of the Township of North Dumfries Official Plan.
- A review of the Region of Waterloo 2015 Draft Aggregate Assessment Guidelines.
- A review of topography, physiographic, geological and hydrogeological mapping on file with NDMNRF.
- A review of the Approved Assessment Report for the Grand River Watershed Source Protection Area and vulnerability mapping.
- A review of the Provincial Policy Statement.
- A review of the Aggregate Resources Act, Provincial Standards for the preparation of a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report.
- An examination of water well records on file with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP).
- Site specific field work that included:
 - Site Reconnaissance;
 - A private well survey of water supply wells within one kilometer of the Site;
 - Construction of nine overburden groundwater monitoring wells;
 - Single Well Hydraulic Response Tests on each monitoring well;
 - Groundwater samples to establish background groundwater chemistry;
 - Manual groundwater level measurements on a seasonal basis; and
 - On-going measurements of groundwater levels in select wells using dedicated pressure transducers (data loggers) programmed to record a water level every hour.
- Establishment of the water table elevation beneath the Site.
- Determination of groundwater flow patterns beneath the Site.
- Assessment of potential impacts to:
 - Private well owners;
 - Well Head Protection Areas; and
 - Natural Features.

2.0 Site Description

The study area, including the licensed boundary, extraction boundary, proposed phasing, MECP Well Record locations, monitoring locations and cross section locations are illustrated on **Figure 2**. For the purposes of this investigation, the study area is defined as the area 500 metres from the licensed boundary. The Site is currently designated as Prime Agricultural Area and protected countryside under the Region of Waterloo Official Plan and Township of North Dumfries Official Plan (Township of North Dumfries, 2018; Region of Waterloo, 2015).

The Site has a proposed licensed area of 45.7 hectares (ha) and a proposed extraction area of 35.2 ha.

The stormwater management design criteria for the subject site, as provided by the City of Cambridge and the Region of Waterloo are as follows:

- Attenuation of the post-development peak flows for the 5 and 100 year storm events to the pre-development (existing) peak flows; and
- Implementation of water quality controls to provide Level 1 (enhanced) treatment levels as per the MOECC SWM Practices Planning and Design Manual (2003).

2.1 Adjacent Land Use

Surrounding lands are primarily zoned as agriculture and industrial; however, the Taylors Lake Wetland is located east of the Site while the Galt Sudden Bog is located south of the Site. Cambridge Aggregates currently operates at the North Dumfries Pit (ARA License No. 607701) a Class A Pit which is located northeast of the Site. The Greenfield Rd. Pit (ARA License No. 625482) a Class A Pit licensed to Al's Stone Service Inc. is located east of the Site.

Nearby registered industrial water users include Cambridge Aggregates which currently holds a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) (Permit No. P-300-910971442) which allows for the taking of water from two source wells (TW3-09 and TW1-15) to recharge an on-site pond, and the taking of water from this pond for the purpose of aggregate washing. The maximum taking of water from the source wells was noted to be 1,445,760 L/day. The taking is limited to 290 days/year. Additionally, St. Mary's Cement holds PTTW 5682-B97RBG for the Cedar Street Pit Located approximately 1.7 km north of the Site.

2.2 Surface Water & Wetlands

An investigation into the surface water features within or intersecting the study area identified one minor creek (Glenburn Creek) and two provincially significant wetlands. The Taylors Lake Wetland is located east of the Site while the Galt Ridge Sudden Bog is located south of the Site (**Figure 2**). Additionally, three unnamed ponds are located northeast of the Site.

The Site is primarily located within the Cedar Creek Sub-catchment. Cedar Creek is a Tributary of the Nith River, which ultimately flows into the Grand River. A small area of the southern portion of the Site is directly within the Grand River Watershed (**Figure 3**).

2.3 Site Topography & Drainage

On-Site topography is slightly undulating with the highest topography (338 mAMSL) occurring at the western edge of the Site and the lowest (324 mAMSL) occurring at the northeastern extent of the Site (**Figure 4**).

3.0 Geology and Hydrogeology

3.1 Physiography

The Site is categorized as being within the Horseshoe Moraines Region with physiographic landforms consisting of till moraines (Chapman & Putnam, 1984).

3.2 Quaternary Geology

Regional Quaternary geology maps indicate the Site primarily consists of glaciofluvial gravel deposits, however Quaternary mapping also notes the presence of Wentworth Till within the center of the Site (**Figure 5**) (Karrow P. F., 1987). Organic deposits are noted to occur within the wetland areas south and east of the Site.

3.3 Paleozoic Geology

The Site is underlain by Paleozoic dolostone deposits of the Guelph Formation (Telford P., 1979) which are expected to be encountered at depths in the order of 64 m below grade (based on MECP Well Record 6500512).

4.0 Source Water Protection

The Clean Water Act, 2006 establishes the framework for creating locally-developed Source Water Protection Plans to protect the quality and quantity of municipal drinking water sources. The Clean Water Act identifies specific activities that pose a risk of contamination, which are prescribed by the MECP. Under the Clean Water Act, Aggregate Operations are not identified as a Prescribed Threat.

The MECP lists 21 prescribed drinking water threats, of which only one applies to aggregate operations, which is the *handling and storage of fuel*. MTE understands that no permanent fuel storage facilities will be located on site; temporary fuel storage facilities are to be located in a designated area. The designated area will be located near the proposed entrance to the Site and outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.

4.1 Groundwater Recharge

Groundwater recharge occurs where precipitation and snowmelt infiltrate into the ground to feed aquifers, watercourses and wetlands. Significant recharge areas are typically associated with coarse-grained soils (i.e. sands and gravels) covering upland areas on the landscape. A significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA) represents an important area for groundwater to recharge the water table.

Approximately 12.6 ha (36%) of the extraction area is considered to be a SGRA with a vulnerability score of 2 (low vulnerability) while 22.7 ha (64%) of the Site is considered to have a vulnerability of 4 (moderate vulnerability) (**Figure 6**).

4.2 Well Head Protection Areas (WHPA)

Figure 7 identifies the closest Well Head Protection Areas (WHPA). These WHPAs are located northeast of the Site and are identified as:

- The Willard Well Field (2.8 km northeast of the Site); and
- The Middleton Street Well Field (3.7 km northeast of the Site).

Based on GRCA mapping, the northeast edge of the Site (0.58 ha (1.7%) of the extraction area) is located within the 25 year time of travel of the Willard Well Field. While the northeast corner of the licensed boundary (outside of the extraction area) is located marginally within the 25 year time of travel of the Middleton Street Well Field (Lake Erie Region Source Protection Committee, 2021).

4.3 Well Head Protection Area Vulnerability

The area of the Site located within the Willard WHPA is considered to have a low vulnerability (2) (**Figure 8**) (Lake Erie Region Source Protection Committee, 2021).

The area of the Site located within the Middleton Street WHPA is considered to have a low vulnerability (2), however this area has also been identified as an issue contributing area for Trichloroethylene (TCE), sodium and chloride (**Figure 8**) (Lake Erie Region Source Protection Committee, 2021).

4.4 Wellhead Protection Sensitivity Areas (WPSA)

Wellhead Protection Sensitivity Areas (WPSA) are delineated within WHPA's to prevent land uses involving hazardous chemicals and/or substances, disease causing organisms and land uses that increase the vulnerability of groundwater from becoming water quantity and/or quality risks to municipal drinking-water supply wells (Region of Waterloo, 2015). Based on Region of Waterloo mapping the majority of the Site is located within a WPSA 8 (GIS Locator, 2021). As stated in the Region of Waterloo Official Plan a WPSA-8 "delineates the area outside of the ten year time of travel to the limit of the total land area contributing water to a municipal drinking-water supply well." MTE notes that mineral aggregate operations may be permitted within a WPSA 8.

5.0 Field Program

5.1 Monitoring Well Installations

The Region of Waterloo Guidelines specify that a minimum of three monitoring wells are to be installed to determine geological and hydrogeological conditions. Based on a review of the Site, MTE choose nine locations to install monitoring wells to be used to predict the maximum water table elevation and characterize the unconfined aquifer beneath the Site.

Between April 20 and May 6, 2020 four monitoring wells (MW101-20, MW102-20, MW103-20 & MW104-20) were constructed by Les Forages L.B.M. Inc. (LBM) at the Site. Five additional monitoring wells (MW105-20, MW106-20, MW107-20, MW108-20 & MW109-20) were completed between October 27 and November 3, 2020 (**Figure 2**). Monitoring locations were chosen based on the following criteria:

- The size (ha) of the Site;
- Topography;
- Longevity long-term monitoring (outside of the extraction area);
- Proximity to off-Site receptors such as existing groundwater users and natural features; and
- For the creation of a groundwater flow map across the Site.

Prior to drilling, in order to estimate the depth of monitoring wells MTE reviewed nearby MECP well records. Based on a review of the MECP well records MTE estimated the sand and gravel

deposit (which forms the unconfined aquifer) extends from approximately ground surface to a depth of 26 -30 m before encountering a >30 m sequence of fine grained material which forms an aquitard between the underlying bedrock and the unconfined aquifer above. As a result of the extent of the underlying aquitard and the fact that extraction will occur above the water table within the unconfined aquifer there is no potential to impact the underlying bedrock aquifer. As such, monitoring wells were completed and screened only within the unconfined aquifer. Monitoring well screen depths were based on saturated conditions within the unconfined aquifer.

The construction of on-Site monitoring wells was done through the use of a Duel Rotary DH-24HD Drill Rig. A MTE technical representative was present during drilling activities to record field and soil conditions. Observations of soil cuttings made during drilling were used to construct borehole logs for each of the monitoring wells (**Appendix B**). Monitoring wells were constructed using 50 mm schedule 40 PVC piping and equipped with 1.52 m length 10 slot well screen, secured with a locked monument casing grouted in place. Following installation, monitoring wells were developed with an air pump in an effort to purge any remaining sediment caused by drilling. Following installation all monitoring wells were geodetically surveyed for location and elevation.

5.2 Boreholes

Between October 13 and November 22, 2021, 17 boreholes were drilled to examine the underlying stratigraphy on-Site (**Figure 2**). Boreholes were drilled using a Sonic Drill down to a depth of 27.4 m with the exception of EW-21-02 which was drilled to 28.35 m. A representative from GRI Inc. was on-Site during the drilling of the borehole to log the soils encountered during drilling. Borehole logs can be found in **Appendix B**.

5.3 Private Wells

A review of the MECP well records indicates that there are 28 well records within the study area (**Figure 2**). The location, final status and primary use of each of these wells are presented in **Table 1**. The 28 wells are categorized as follows:

- 20 domestic;
- 2 livestock;
- 1 as irrigation;
- 3 as commercial;
- 1 as municipal; and
- 1 as abandoned.

Additional details regarding these wells are summarized below:

- One well is completed within the bedrock (MECP Well Record No.:6500425);
- One well alteration record (MECP Well Record No.: 6510326);
- One Test Well (MECP Well Record No.: 6505812);
- One well that services a municipal building (MECP Well Record No.: 6508235); and
- Two observation wells (MECP Well Record No.: 6510436 & 6510435).

Private Well Inventory

To augment the information collected from MECP well records, MTE conducted a private well survey of domestic wells within one kilometer of the Site (**Figure 9**) in December 2020. In total 46 private well inventories were delivered with 10 being returned to MTE. Completed MTE private well inspection forms and accompanying MECP Well Records (if available) can be seen in **Appendix C** and is further discussed in **Section 8.3.1** below.

5.4 Groundwater Levels and Elevations

Water level data was gathered in agreement with the Provincial Reporting Standards¹, the RMOW Guidelines² and the agreed Terms of Reference (November 18, 2021), which were submitted for approval to the Region of Waterloo, Township of Woolwich, and the GRCA. As per Section 2.3.3 the RMOW guidelines, water levels from wells must be measured for a minimum of one year. If through the impact assessment, it is determined that two years of data is required, then the second year of water level data will be submitted as an addendum report³.

5.4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Frequency and Data Loggers

The RMOW Guidelines require a minimum of quarterly monitoring for a Stage One Field Program, and monthly monitoring as part of the Cumulative Impact Assessment for a Stage Two Field Program (see Table 2d of the RMOW Guideline). This guideline was exceeded by installing dedicated pressure transducers (data loggers) in five of the nine monitoring wells. A minimum of quarterly monitoring was completed at the remaining four monitoring wells. Details regarding the results of the monitoring are explained below.

Continuous groundwater monitoring in monitoring wells (MW103-20, MW104-20, MW105-20, MW107-20 & MW109-20) was accomplished using dedicated data loggers installed on June 2, 2020 (MW103-20, MW104-20) and November 19, 2020 (MW105-20, MW107-20 & MW109-20). Data loggers were programmed to measure groundwater levels every hour. In addition to data logger measurements, manual groundwater levels from on-Site monitoring wells (MW101-20 through MW109-20) were measured six times throughout 2020 and 2021. Manually measured groundwater levels are shown in **Table 2** and groundwater elevations are shown in **Table 3**. Groundwater elevations (both manual and data logger data) from on-Site monitoring wells are presented in **Hydrograph 1**, representing at least one year of water level monitoring. For readability, **Hydrograph 2** presents groundwater elevation data from monitoring wells with data loggers installed.

5.4.2 Groundwater Level Monitoring Results

Groundwater elevations were similar across the Site with the difference in groundwater elevations between monitoring wells generally being less than one meter. The similarity between groundwater elevations on-Site is reflective of the underlying course grained material. Groundwater fluctuations in on-Site monitoring wells were minimal (<1 m) throughout the monitoring period. Groundwater elevations in on-Site monitoring wells were observed to decrease between June 2020 and September 2021 with a slight rebound in October and November 2021. MTE notes that groundwater elevations in on-Site monitoring wells in 2021 did not exceed those observed in 2020. MTE interprets the lack of a "spring high water table" in

¹ Aggregates Resources of Ontario: Technical Reports and Information Standards (August 2020).

² Regional Municipality of Waterloo - Guidelines for Hydrogeological Assessments for Proposed Mineral Aggregate Resource Extraction Projects (Date of Issue: August 2008).

³ RMOW Guidelines - Section 2.3.3 - Monitoring Program - 1 year of monitoring data is required for a Stage One Field Program. A Stage 2 is triggered if impacts are identified or if extracting below water table occurs (see Table 2c). A Stage two requires 2 years of monitoring data (see Table 2c).

RMOW Guidelines - Section 3.0 - Stage Two: Detailed Hydrogeological Assessment - an application can be submitted prior to the full two years of monitoring is complete. An addendum submitted prior to initiating operations is required.

2021 as a result of the lack of precipitation received throughout the winter of 2021 (**Hydrograph** 1).

Groundwater Flow

Groundwater flows from areas of higher pressure (high groundwater elevation) to areas of lower pressure (low groundwater elevation). The slope of the water table as a result of these differences in pressure is known as the horizontal hydraulic gradient.

Groundwater flow mapping was conducted for the Site using the November 16, 2021 groundwater elevation data. Groundwater contours and flow patterns have been illustrated on **Figure 10**. Based on the groundwater elevation contours presented in **Figure 10** groundwater is interpreted to flow north to south.

The horizontal hydraulic gradient across the Site was calculated to be very low (0.0006 m/m).

High Water Table Elevation

Based on a review of groundwater elevations measured between June 2020 and November 2021 (**Hydrograph 1**) on-Site groundwater elevations in 2021 did not exceed groundwater elevations observed in June 2020. As such, the predicted high water table elevation will be based on the available groundwater elevation data collected in June 2020. As monitoring wells MW105-20, MW106-20, MW107-20, MW108-20 and MW109-20 were not constructed until fall 2020 groundwater elevation data is not available for these locations for June 2020. However, as observed on **Hydrograph 1** the highest on-Site groundwater elevation consistently occurs in MW103-20. As a result of the low horizontal hydraulic gradient across the Site (**Figure 10**) a high water table elevation based on groundwater elevations from MW103-20 will be sufficient.

The groundwater elevation in MW103-20 measured on June 2, 2020 represents the highest observed groundwater elevation over the monitoring period (307.37 mAMSL). Typically, groundwater elevations are highest during the early spring months in which large amounts of recharge from precipitation and snow melt raise groundwater elevations. As the earliest on-Site groundwater elevation in 2020 was not recorded until June 2, it's possible during the spring of 2020 the groundwater elevation was above that recorded. In an effort to estimate the maximum water table elevation, MTE reviewed Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) 2020 Monitoring Report for the North Dumfries Pit. The North Dumfries Pit operates as an above water table pit extracting material from the same unconfined overburden aquifer observed on-Site. As such, the North Dumfries Pit serves as a good proxy in regards to the trends in water levels to the Site.

Select figures from the Golder 2020 Monitoring Report can be found in **Appendix D.** Figure 2 from the Golder report indicates the two closest monitoring wells to the Site are MW2/03 and MW08-3. Based on a review of the hydrographs presented in Figures 3 & 4 of the Golder report the approximate difference between groundwater elevations during the spring high and early June is 0.2 m. Based on this information the spring high for MW103-20 in 2020 has been amended to be 307.58 mAMSL (307.38 AMSL + 0.2 m). In an effort to account for any additional uncertainty MTE conservatively estimates the maximum predicted water table elevation to be 307.75 mAMSL on-Site.

5.5 Pit Floor Elevation

Based on a maximum water table elevation of 307.75 mAMSL the maximum depth of the pit floor has been set at 309.25 mAMSL. The elevation of the pit floor accounts for the highest predicted groundwater elevation on-Site. As such, the majority of the pit floor will be in excess of 1.5 m above the maximum predicted water table elevation.

5.6 Geological Cross Section

Hydrogeological data related to private water supply wells in the study area were obtained from water well records on-file with the MECP along with data gathered during the installation of monitoring wells (MW) and boreholes (BH) on-Site. The location of geological cross-sections A-A' and B-B' as well as the locations of water well records on-file with the MECP, on-Site monitoring wells and boreholes are presented on **Figure 2**. Both these resources were used to construct geological cross-section A-A' (**Figure 11a**) and geological cross-section B-B' (**Figure 11b**).

The MW/BH name or MECP well record number are presented above the cross-section followed by the off-set distance from the cross-section line and well location. Wells further from the cross-section line may, in places, be displayed as having the borehole above or below ground surface. Similarly, static water levels at individual wells may be situated above or below the interpreted water table surface presented on the individual cross-section. As such, elevation variability of overburden units may occur along the cross-section line at individual boreholes and may differ from the professional geological interpretation presented on the cross-section.

Geological Cross-Section A-A'

Geological cross-section A-A' (**Figure 11a**), runs from approximately 525 m southwest of the Site to 525 m northeast of the Site. Moving southwest to northeast topography is somewhat undulating across the Site before dipping off towards two unnamed ponds, which are interpreted to be the surface expression of the water table. Cross-section A-A indicates that the Site is underlain by sand and gravel deposits with borehole data indicating thicknesses up to approximately 26 m. Based on surrounding MECP well records and one borehole on-Site EW-21-02 (not shown of cross-section A-A') the sand and gravel in underlain by a sandy silt till (Wentworth Till). Additionally, based on MECP well record 6500512 the depth to bedrock is approximately 64 m below ground surface.

MTE notes, the depth of topsoil/silt till appears to increase at the southwestern property extent. The water table elevation presented on **Figure 11a** is based on water levels obtained from on-Site wells on November 16, 2021.

Geological Cross-Section B-B'

Geological cross section B-B` (**Figure 11b**), runs from approximately 515 m west of the Site to 585 m east of the Site. Moving west to east topography is somewhat undulating across the Site before dipping off towards the Taylors Lake Wetland. Cross-section B-B' indicates similar stratigraphy as that seen in cross section A-A' with the thickness of the sand and gravel extending up to 29 m in certain locations (MW106-20). As with cross-section A-A' the sand and gravel is interpreted to be underlain by a silty sand till (Wentworth Till) followed by the Guelph Formation. The interpreted water table elevation presented on **Figure 11b** is based on water levels obtained from on-Site wells on November 16, 2021.

5.7 Well Performance Testing

In September 2020 and June, July & November 2021, single well hydraulic response tests were performed on MW101-20 through MW109-20.

Due to the coarse grained nature of the overburden material (sand & gravel) a pneumatic initiation system was used to perform the single well hydraulic response testing. A pneumatic initiation system uses air pressure to depress (lower) the water column in the well by a known amount. The test is then initiated by releasing the air pressure and the water level recovery is measured using a data logger.

The response tests were carried out a minimum of three times using different initiation pressures to assess the viability of the assumptions underlying single well response test analysis methods.

Prior to analysis the water level data collected during single well response tests from all wells was normalized by dividing the observed head change (Ho) by the expected head change (Ho*). Normalized data plots from repeat tests (at the same well) were compared to determine coincidence between tests. Coincidence between tests suggests assumptions underlying conventional analysis methods can be considered valid at that well (Butler et. al., 1996; Butler et. al., 2003). Coincidence between tests was found to occur within all wells.

Each test was analyzed using either the Bouwer and Rice or Butler High-K method in AquiferTest© Pro (Waterloo Hydrogeologic, 2015) to estimate the horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the saturated granular materials adjacent to each well screen. AquiferTest© data sheets are presented in **Appendix E**.

The estimated horizontal hydraulic conductivity for the saturated granular materials at the Site ranges from 6.1 x 10^{-5} m/s (MW109-20) to 4.5 x 10^{-3} m/s (MW101-20). The hydraulic conductivity geometric mean was calculated to be 7.1 x 10^{-4} m/s (**Table 4**). The estimated horizontal hydraulic conductivity values for the sand and gravel on-Site are consistent with average published values for sand & gravel soils (Freeze and Cherry, 1979).

6.0 Groundwater Quality

Water quality samples were collected from on-Site monitoring wells and two nearby domestic wells for background assessment purposes. On-Site monitoring wells groundwater samples were analyzed for general chemistry including select metals, petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in the F1 through F4 fraction, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX). While samples collected from domestic wells were sampled for general chemistry and bacteria (related to the ODWS). All water quality results have been summarized in **Table 5.1 & 5.2** while Certificates of Analysis can be found in **Appendix F**. For comparison purposes water quality results from on-Site monitoring wells and domestic wells (groundwater) were compared to the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards (ODWS).

On-Site Monitoring Wells

Per the ROW Guidelines groundwater samples were collected from on-Site monitoring wells in early spring (April 18, 2021) and late summer (September 16, 2021). Prior to sample collection, all monitoring wells were purged to ensure that representative groundwater samples were collected. Following purging, groundwater samples were collected using dedicated Waterra[™] tubing and foot valves, placed into laboratory supplied bottles and delivered, in an ice packed cooler to ALS Laboratory Group in Waterloo, ON.

Groundwater samples collected from on-site monitoring wells were within the chemical standards for the ODWS with the exception of sodium in groundwater samples collected from MW101-20, MW105-20 and MW107-20 during both groundwater sampling events. Elevated concentrations of sodium in MW101-20, MW105-20 & MW107-20 is likely related to road salt runoff as these monitoring wells are within close proximity of nearby roads.

In accordance with the ODWS "Consumption of sodium in excess of 10 grams per day by normal adults does not result in any apparent adverse health effects" (Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, 2006). As such, a maximum acceptable concentration

for sodium has not be specified. The referenced concentration of 20 mg/L is in regards to those individuals who are medically required to be on a reduced sodium diet.

Exceedances of aesthetic guidelines for colour, hardness, turbidity and alkalinity were noted in various groundwater samples across the Site, however aesthetic guidelines and are not health related.

Domestic Wells

In addition to on-Site monitoring wells, water quality samples were also collected from two nearby domestic wells (**Figure 12**) on November 16, 2021. Prior to sample collection, each domestic well was purged by running the water until the (submersible) pump turned on to ensure that representative groundwater samples were collected. Following purging, groundwater samples were collected in laboratory supplied bottles and delivered, in an ice packed cooler to ALS Laboratory Group in Waterloo, ON.

PW1 was found to exceed the ODWS for colour, turbidity, iron and manganese (**Table 5.2**). These exceedances are related to aesthetic guidelines as opposed to health related. With the exception of E. coli, PW2 was found to be within the ODWS. Each well owner was given a summary and a copy of the water quality results from their well(s).

7.0 Proposed Pit Operations

7.1 Proposed Pit Floor

As per Section 0.1 of the ARA Ontario Regulation 244-97, extraction of material for a Class "A" pit above-water-table extraction is restricted to aggregate material no closer than 1.5 metres above the established water table. As such, the pit floor has been set elevation at an elevation of 309.25 mAMSL.

7.2 Proposed Water Diversion, Storage, and Drainage Facilities on Site

Since the proposed pit is for an above-water-table extraction there will be no pumping or diversion of groundwater. Any water that may potentially accumulate in the pit will be as a result of precipitation. The proposed pit will expose highly permeable materials that will allow for the rapid infiltration of water into the local groundwater system. There will be no water storage at the Site.

7.3 Discharge to Surface Water

Since the proposed pit is to remain above water table, there will be no discharge to any existing surface water bodies or courses as a result of extraction activities.

8.0 Impact Assessment

The following section identifies potential impacts the proposed West Edworthy Pit may have on existing private water users, natural features, surface water bodies, groundwater recharge, aquifer vulnerability and well head protection areas. Potential impacts have been assessed below.

8.1 Micro Drainage Analysis

MTE completed a micro drainage analysis to assess potential hydrologic impacts to nearby features resulting from pit development. Details on the micro drainage analysis can be found in the technical memorandum presented in **Appendix G**.

Following extraction, runoff from the Site will be reduced to zero due to grading constraints of the lowered pit floor and as a result of the high infiltration rates provided from the exposed sand and gravel. However, the reduction in runoff will be off-set by the increase in infiltration. Additionally, the Site does not directly contribute to any surface water features. As such, MTE does not anticipate any adverse hydrologic impacts to nearby features as a result of the proposed pit development.

8.2 Groundwater Drawdown

As this application is for an above water table pit, MTE does not predict that there will be any drawdown of the water table.

8.3 Wetland Impact Assessment

8.3.1 Galt Ridge Sudden Bog

The Galt Ridge Sudden Bog is located approximately 300 m south of the Site. Based on groundwater mapping completed by MTE the Galt Ridge Sudden Bog is located down gradient with respect to groundwater flow from the Site (**Figure 13**). As this application is for an above water table pit, MTE does not predict any change to the local groundwater flow pattern. In regards to surface water flow, based on catchment area mapping as presented in **Appendix G** and **Figure 13**, the vast majority of the Site is within the Cedar Creek Catchment and does not contribute surface water flow to the Galt Sudden Ridge Bog. Additionally, the presence of Spragues Road prevents the direct connection of any surface water flow on-Site to the Galt Ridge Sudden Bog as a result of proposed pit.

8.3.2 Taylors Lake Wetland

The Taylors Lake Wetland is located approximately 400 m southeast of the Site. Based on groundwater mapping completed by MTE the Taylors Lake Wetland is located cross gradient with respect to groundwater flow from the Site (**Figure 13**). As this application is for an above water table pit, MTE does not predict any change to the local groundwater flow pattern. In regards to surface water flow, based on catchment area mapping as presented in **Appendix G** and **Figure 13**, the vast majority of the Site is within the Cedar Creek Watershed and does not contribute surface water flow to the Taylors Lake Wetland. Additionally, the presence of Spragues Road prevents the direct connection of any surface water flow on-Site to the Taylors Lake Wetland. As such, MTE does not predict any negative impacts to the Taylors Lake Wetland as a result of proposed pit.

8.4 Local Groundwater Use – Private Wells

The proposed operation will extract aggregate from above the water table. A 1.5 m buffer of dry aggregate material will be maintained between the pit floor and the water table post-extraction. In addition, Best Management Practices will be employed by Cambridge Aggregates when operating the pit and handling fuels. A Spills Contingency Plan (Section 9.3) has been adopted to ensure groundwater is protected. MTE does not predict any adverse effects to water quantity or water quality to any private wells in the study area. However, this section will undertake a review of the surrounding wells within the study area.

8.4.1 Domestic Wells within the Study Area

Based on a review of the **Figure 2** there are 28 MECP well records identified within the study area (excluding MECP well records for on-Site monitoring wells). Of the wells located within the study area, 11 are located up or cross gradient (in regards to groundwater flow). As such, the impact in regards to water quality and quantity to these wells is interpreted to be negligible.

Details regarding the 17 MECP well records down gradient of the Site (in regards to groundwater flow) are shown in **Table 6** and summarized below:

- 11 are recorded as domestic;
- 2 are recorded as livestock, 1 of which is completed in bedrock (Well Record# 6500425);
- 2 are recorded as commercial (water supply, observation well);
- 1 is recorded as municipal; and
- 1 recorded as a well abandonment.

The livestock well completed within the bedrock (Well Record # 6500425), the observation well (Well Record # 6500512) and the abandoned well (Well Record # 6500512) are unrelated to water supply and or the overburden aquifer and as such will be excluded from any further impact assessment.

Additionally, the private well inventory identified four domestic wells south of the Site and one north of the Site which do not appear to have a MECP well record (**Figure 14**). Of these four wells, only two returned well survey forms to MTE as part of the private well inventory. As such, the exact characteristics of the other two wells is unknown, but based on surrounding domestic wells they are expected to be completed within the overburden aquifer.

As noted in **Section 5.3** the municipal water supply well (Well Record# 6508235) is specific to a municipal building rather than a Regional Drinking Water Supply.

Average Linear Groundwater Velocity

In order to assess the speed at which groundwater may travel from the Site to nearby domestic wells down gradient MTE estimated the average linear groundwater velocity by using Darcy's Law, as follows:

$$q = (-Ki)/n$$

Where:

q = average linear groundwater velocity (m/s)

K = effective hydraulic conductivity (7.1 x 10^{-4} m/s; geometric mean of calculated values from on-Site hydraulic conductivity testing)

i = horizontal hydraulic gradient (0.0006 m/m)

ne= effective soil porosity (0.35 typical for sand and gravel, Freeze and Cherry, 1979)

The average linear groundwater velocity (q) within the shallow groundwater at the Site is estimated to be 38.4 m/year.

As the closest domestic well (Well Record# 6505812) is 88 m from the Site (**Table 6**) it would take groundwater from the Site approximately 2.3 years to reach the residents well. As such, there is ample time to implement the Contingency Plans presented in **Section 10.0** if any unforeseen impacts to water quality occur.

To ensure private water supplies are protected during extraction, MTE recommends Cambridge Aggregates implement a Private Well Monitoring Program and invite those residences with water supply wells directly down-gradient (with respect to groundwater flow) from the Site

(**Figure 15**) to participate. The invitation must include a letter that provides contact information for Cambridge Aggregates and a Qualified Person, and include a well inspection form to be completed by the resident so that baseline information concerning the operational history, groundwater levels and quality can be recorded.

MTE also recommends groundwater samples be collected from select monitoring wells located on the down gradient boundary of the proposed pit (MW101-20, MW104-20, MW107-20, MW108-20 & MW109-20) and one on the up- gradient boundary (MW103-20) on an annual basis as described in **Section 9.0**.

8.4.2 Fueling Practices

MTE understands that mobile vehicles and equipment will be fueled outside of Wellhead Protection Areas (**Figure 7**). As outlined in **Section 9.3** a Spills Contingency Plan will be in place in the unlikely event of a spill within the extraction area. Additionally, there are four monitoring wells located on the southern boundary of the Site and upgradient of surrounding water supply wells which will be used to verify groundwater quality in the unlikely event of a spill.

8.5 Wellhead Protection Areas

As indicated in **Section 2.6** the closest WHPA's are the Willard Well Field (2.8 km northeast of the Site) and Middleton Street Well Field (3.7 km northeast of the Site). Both the Willard Well Field and the Middleton Street Well Field obtain water from a bedrock aquifer source. Further, the Willard Well Field screens the bedrock from an approximate elevation of 210 to 250 mAMSL while the Middleton Street Well Field screens the bedrock from approximately 204 to 235 mAMSL, the pit floor is generally around 309 mAMSL (~ 59 m vertical difference).

As such, and since this is an above water table pit with no active groundwater dewatering, the water quality and quantity of the Willard and Middleton Street Well Fields will not be jeopardized.

8.6 Groundwater Vulnerability

The area of the Site located within the Willard WHPA is considered to have a low vulnerability (2). As such, this area is not a threat to the municipal drinking water supply.

The area of the Site located within the Middleton Street WHPA is considered to have a low vulnerability (2), however this area has also been identified as an issue contributing area for Trichloroethylene (TCE), sodium and chloride (Lake Erie Region Source Protection Committee, 2021). The area of the Site within the Middleton Street WHPA will not have road salt applied and the threat of TCE is not applicable for this Site. As such, activities at this Site are not considered to be significant threats to the municipal drinking water supply.

Based on MECP Well Record 6500512 which is located directly east of the Site, the sand and gravel unconfined aquifer is underlain by approximately 38 m of fine grained material (aquitard) above bedrock. As extraction will remain above the water table (within the sand and gravel unit) no extraction will take place in the underlying aquitard. It is MTE's interpretation that this aquitard provides sufficient separation and hydraulic isolation from the underlying bedrock aquifer. As such, multi-level wells extending into the bedrock were not required.

8.7 Cumulative Effect Assessment

I would also note that all studies should continue to address/evaluate the cumulative impact policy of the Regional Official Plan (9.C.4) and should also be discussed in the submitted Planning Report.

As per the RMOW Guideline, cumulative effects must be assessed in light of the Regional Official Plan Policy number 9.C.4. The cumulative effects assessment must take into account the potential cumulative impacts that may result from a proposed new mineral aggregate operation when added to other past, present and proposed future mineral aggregate operations in the vicinity of the proposed new operation.

Cumulative effects in groundwater can occur when there is a combined effect caused by two or more operations within close proximity to each other. Since the proposed pit is to remain above water table, there is no potential for the pit to cause drawdown within the water table aquifer. There is only one other active aggregate pit operation within the Study Area, known as the Greenfield Road Pit (License No. 625482). MTE understands that this pit is extracting aggregate above water table and therefore no dewatering is occurring. As such, no cumulative impacts with respect to drawdown on the water table aquifer (water quantity) are anticipated.

Potential groundwater quality impacts will be managed through best management practices (e.g. a proven spills contingency plan). As long as this plan is adopted for the Site and followed, no cumulative effects with other active pits in the Study Area, with respect to water quality are anticipated.

MTE understands that the GRCA has produced cumulative assessment tool entitled: *Cumulative Effects Assessment (Water Quality and Quantity) Best Practices Paper for Below Water Sand and Gravel Extraction Operations in Priority Subwatersheds in the Grand River Watershed* (GRCA, 2010). However, this tool is not applicable to above water table applications.

8.8 Climate Change

The proponent should include an assessment of potential impacts to future groundwater elevations due to climate change.

Climate Change and Long-Term Monitoring

To account for climate change and the proposed pit floor, a long-term monitoring program has been included on the Site Plans which will span the life of the proposed pit. This long-term monitoring program will be used to ensure the pit floor remains 1.5 m above the reported high water table elevation.

As required under the ARA, all pits extracting aggregate above water table must set their pit floor elevation 1.5 m above the established high water table elevation. The purpose of this standard is to provide a 1.5 m buffer to account for possible fluctuations in the water table, thereby ensuring the proposed pit floor remains above the water table elevation. The 1.5 m buffer is established by the Province as a reasonable buffer to provide adequate distance to account for groundwater fluctuations.

The long-term monitoring program includes manual water levels measured on a seasonal basis, three times per year (once each in the spring, summer and fall) at all monitoring wells. Currently, five of the nine monitoring wells are instrumented with data loggers so that a continuous dataset is developed.

The Site Plans show that the proposed pit will be extracted in phases. This phasing, coupled with the data collected through the long-term monitoring program, will allow for adaptations to be made during extraction if necessary, thereby accounting for changes due to climate change.

Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events

When estimating the high water table across the proposed pit, MTE used the latest approved climate normal data from Environment Canada, which includes climate data for a 30-year period from 1981 to 2010. This data set includes all the extreme weather events that occurred during this 30-year period. As such, the high water table elevation is based on up-to-date water level data that reflects past and current climate change conditions.

9.0 Monitoring Program

MTE recommends that manual groundwater levels be collected three times per year, once in the spring, summer and fall, at on-Site monitoring wells. Monitoring will be done on an annual basis throughout the life of the operation to confirm the water table elevation with respect to the pit floor. MTE recommends groundwater levels in MW102-20 and MW106-20 be monitored until such a time that extraction activities compromise the location of the monitoring well at which time these wells shall be decommissioned in accordance to the *Wells Regulation* (O.Reg. 903).

MTE recommends that the groundwater level data be retained on-file by Cambridge Aggregates Inc. so that it can be made available upon request by agencies such as the NDMNRF, MECP, Region of Waterloo or Township of North Dumfries.

Monitoring wells that may be destroyed by extraction activities with the exception of MW102-20 and MW106-20 should be decommissioned according to the Wells Regulation (*O.Reg. 903*) and subsequently replaced at a location that will ensure the new monitoring well will remain intact to allow groundwater monitoring to continue.

MTE recommends that select monitoring wells located on the down gradient boundary of the proposed pit (MW101-20, MW104-20, MW107-20, MW108-20 & MW109-20) and one on the up-gradient boundary (MW103-20) be sampled for water quality once per year during the summer for the life of the proposed pit to track trends in water quality. Samples should be analyzed for general chemistry including select metals, petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in the F1 through F4 fraction, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX).

10.0 Contingency Plan

Since the pit will not be going below the water table, no effect on the overall groundwater balance is expected. However, contingency measures are presented below in case shallow groundwater is adversely affected.

10.1 Well Interference Complaint Procedure

All existing supply wells are protected under the Ontario Water Resources Act. If a well interference is observed (i.e. current wells experience an unacceptable reduction in groundwater quantity and/or degradation in water quality), the person or organization responsible for the interference is responsible for returning the groundwater to its former condition.

On-site monitoring wells will be used to measure seasonal fluctuations of the water table. Any variations in water levels will be observed and trends will be documented.

Response Procedures:

- 1) Owners of domestic and farm water supplies experiencing disruption or quality problems shall immediately notify Cambridge Aggregates Inc.
- Cambridge Aggregates Inc., upon receipt of any water supply disruption compliant, shall retain the services of an independent Qualified Person (QP i.e. P.Geo. or P.Eng.) to investigate the cause of the interference compliant.
- 3) If, through the investigation, it is determined that pit operations have caused an adverse effect at the well in question, Cambridge Aggregates Inc. shall, at their expense, either restore or replace the affected water supply.
- 4) If, through the investigation, it is determined that pit operations have not caused an adverse effect to the well in question, Cambridge Aggregates Inc. shall provide a report documenting the results of the investigation to the well owner and retain a copy on-file so that it can be made available upon request by agencies such as the NDMNRF or MECP.

10.2 On-Site Fuel Storage

With respect to on-Site fuel storage MTE makes the following recommendations:

- Cambridge Aggregates shall adopt their Spill Contingency Plan for the Site and that a QP be retained, in the unlikely event of a spill.
- temporary fuel storage facilities are to be located in designated area. The designated area will be located near the proposed entrance to the Site and outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.
- All fueling activities will be in accordance with the Liquid Fuels Handling Code, Technical Standards and Safety Act, 2000, as amended.
- Mobile vehicles will be fueled in a designated area located outside Wellhead Protection Areas. Immobile equipment may be re-fueled with a fuel delivery truck outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.
- Fuel delivered to the Site will be stored within a horizontal double walled steel fuel tank meeting all current regulated standards, located near the proposed entrance.
- Fuel hoses on fuel storage tanks will be locked when unattended.
- Secondary containment measures will be added to the fuel intakes of crushing and screening equipment to protect against accidental spills while be fueled by a fuel truck in the active area of the pit.
- Maintain a record of fuel deliveries noting the quantity and date of each transfer. A record of fuel deliveries will be maintained noting the quantity and date of fuel transfer.

10.3 Spills Contingency Plan

As with any aggregate extraction operation, there exists the possibility of an accidental release of petroleum hydrocarbons from equipment operating on the Site. The release of petroleum hydrocarbons at the Site has the potential to enter groundwater and impact water quality. **Appendix H** outlines Cambridge Aggregates Spills Plan. As such, any potential spills will be mitigated through the implementation of Cambridge Aggregates Spill Plan. In the unlikely event of a spill, MTE recommends that a QP be retained as part of the "Initial Measures" listed in Cambridge Aggregates Spills Contingency Plan to assess the potential impact on nearby features and make recommendations for monitoring (if required).

11.0 Conclusions

Based on the above hydrogeological investigation, MTE offers the following conclusions:

- 1. The maximum predicted water table elevation on-Site was estimated to be 307.75 mAMSL.
- 2. There will be no discharge to any surface water bodies or courses as a result of extraction activities.
- 3. As this application is for an above water table pit without active dewatering, MTE predicts that there will be no drawdown of the water table beyond the licence boundary.
- 4. Since both the Willard and Middleton Street Wells are completed within the bedrock and the Site will be extracting aggregate from the overburden aquifer above the water table, Site activities do not pose a threat to either well field.
- 5. Fluctuations in groundwater levels are expected to remain in the normal range of annual variation for the groundwater system throughout the life of the proposed pit.
- 6. As this application is for an above water table pit without active dewatering, MTE does not anticipate impacts to either the Galt Ridge Sudden Bog or Taylors Lake Wetland.
- 7. To account for climate change, a long-term monitoring program has been included on the Site Plans which will span the life of the proposed pit. This long-term monitoring program in combination with the mandated 1.5 m buffer above the established high water table elevation will be used to ensure the pit floor remains above water table.
- 8. No cumulative impacts with respect to water quantity or quality are anticipated.
- 9. As long as the recommendations provided in Section 12.0 of this report are implemented, then groundwater and surface water resources and their uses will not be impacted by the proposed pit.

In summary, the nature of the proposed operation (above water-table pit) is predicted to not affect the overburden aquifers. As a result, there is no measurable risk to existing water supply wells, surface water features or to any of the local natural features or functions.

12.0 Recommendations

Based on the above hydrogeological investigation, MTE offers the following recommendations:

Groundwater Monitoring Program:

- 1. Manual water levels shall be collected on a seasonal basis, three times per year, once in the spring, summer and fall, at all on-Site monitoring wells and participating domestic wells.
- 2. An annual groundwater monitoring program shall extend throughout the life of the operation so that confirmatory water table elevations can be obtained as the pit develops.

- 3. The results of the monitoring shall be retained on-file by Cambridge Aggregates so that it can be made available upon request by agencies such as the NDMNRF, MECP, Region of Waterloo or Township of North Dumfries.
- 4. Monitoring wells that may be destroyed by extraction activities shall be decommissioned according to the Wells Regulation (*O.Reg. 903*) and subsequently replaced (with the exception of MW102-20 and MW106-20) at a location that will ensure the new monitoring wells will remain intact to allow groundwater monitoring to continue.
- 5. MW101-20, MW103-20, MW104-20, MW107-20, MW108-20 & MW109-20 shall be sampled for water quality once per year during the summer for the life of the proposed pit to track trends in water quality. Samples shall be analyzed for general chemistry including select metals, petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in the F1 through F4 fraction, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX).

Maximum Predicted Groundwater Table and the Proposed Pit Floor:

- 1. The maximum depth of extraction shall not occur within 1.5 m of the maximum predicted high water table (307.75 mAMSL).
- 2. Should the water table elevation occur at higher levels than anticipated based on the results of the ground water monitoring program, the depth of extraction shall be adjusted to ensure a minimum of 1.5 metres is maintained above the water table at all times.

Fuel Storage:

- 1. Cambridge Aggregates shall adopt their Spill Contingency Plan for the Site and that a QP be retained, in the unlikely event of a spill.
- 2. Temporary fuel storage facilities are located in designated area. The designated area will be located near the proposed entrance to the Site and outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.
- 3. All fueling activities will be in accordance with the Liquid Fuels Handling Code, Technical Standards and Safety Act, 2000, as amended.
- Mobile vehicles will be fueled in a designated area located outside Wellhead Protection Areas. Immobile equipment may be re-fueled with a fuel delivery truck outside of Wellhead Protection Areas.
- 5. Fuel delivered to the Site will be stored within a horizontal double walled steel fuel tank meeting all current regulated standards, located near the proposed entrance.
- 6. Fuel hoses on fuel storage tanks will be locked when unattended.
- Secondary containment measures will be added to the fuel intakes of crushing and screening equipment to protect against accidental spills while be fueled by a fuel truck in the active area of the pit.
- 8. Maintain a record of fuel deliveries noting the quantity and date of each transfer. A record of fuel deliveries will be maintained noting the quantity and date of fuel transfer.

Well Interference Complaint Procedure:

Cambridge Aggregates shall adopt the following Well Interference Complaint Procedure:

- 1. Owners of domestic and farm water supplies experiencing disruption or quality problems shall immediately notify Cambridge Aggregates Inc.
- 2. Cambridge Aggregates Inc., upon receipt of any water supply disruption compliant, shall retain the services of an independent Qualified Person (QP i.e. P.Geo. or P.Eng.) to investigate the cause of the interference compliant.
- 3. If, through the investigation, it is determined that pit operations have caused an adverse effect at the well in question, Cambridge Aggregates Inc. shall, at their expense, either restore or replace the affected water supply.
- 4. If, through the investigation, it is determined that pit operations have not caused an adverse effect to the well in question, Cambridge Aggregates Inc. shall provide a report documenting the results of the investigation to the well owner and retain a copy on-file so that it can be made available upon request by agencies such as the NDMNRF or MECP.

13.0 Limitations

Services performed by **MTE Consultants Inc.** (MTE) were conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the Environmental Engineering & Consulting profession. No other warranty or representation expressed or implied as to the accuracy of the information, conclusions or recommendations is included or intended in this report.

This report was completed for the sole use of MTE and Cambridge Aggregates Inc. It was completed in accordance with the Scope of Work referred to in Section 1.2. As such, this report may not deal with all issues potentially applicable to the Site and may omit issues, which are or may be of interest to the reader. MTE makes no representation that the present report has dealt with any and all of the important features, including any or all important environmental features, except as provided in the Scope of Work. All findings and conclusions presented in this report are based on Site conditions as they existed during the time period of the investigation. This report is not intended to be exhaustive in scope or to imply a risk-free facility.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on, or decisions to be made based upon it, are the responsibility of such third parties. MTE accepts no responsibility for liabilities incurred by or damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken, based upon this report. Others with interest in the Site should undertake their own investigations and studies to determine how or if the condition affects them or their plans.

It should be recognized that the passage of time may affect the views, conclusions and recommendations (if any) provided in this report because environmental conditions of a property can change. Should additional or new information become available, MTE recommends that it be brought to our attention in order that we may re-assess the contents of this report.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

MTE Consultants Inc.



2022-06-30

Fraser Cummings, M.Sc., P.Geo. Manager, Hydrogeological Services 519-743-6500 ext. 1248 fcummings@mte85.com Peter A. Gray, P.Geo., QP_{ESA} VP., Senior Hydrogeologist 519-743-6500 ext. 1306 pgray@mte85.com

OFE

PETER A. GRAY

PRACTISING MEMBER

0335

TFC:smk M:\46639\100\06 - Reports\Hydro-G Report\46639-100_2022-06-30_rpt_Maximum Predicted Water Table.docx

14.0 References

- Chapman, L. J., & Putnam, D. F. (1984). *The Physiography of Southern Ontario*. Ontario Geological Survey.
- *GIS Locator*. (2021, December 14). Retrieved from Region of Waterloo: https://maps.grandriver.ca/webgis/public/?theme=General&bbox=550582,4795687,555461,4798365
- Karrow, P. F. (1987). *Quaternary geology, Cambridge area, southern Ontario.* Ontario Geological Survey.
- Lake Erie Region Source Protection Committee. (2021). *Draft Updated Source Protection Plan Volume II.* Cambridge.
- Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. (2006). *Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, objectives and Guidelines.* Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Region of Waterloo. (2015). Regional Official Plan. Region of Waterloo.

Telford, P. (1979). *Geological series, Paleozoic geology of the Cambridge area, southern Ontario.* Ontario Geological Survey.

Township of North Dumfries. (2018). Township of North Dumfries Official Plan.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Science and Research Branch April 2019

















AR2.4

uary 15, 2022 - 10:09 - Plotted By: FCumming



N N			
NORTH	PROJECT NORTH		
Leo	aend		
Boudaries	n nata a ma		
License Boun	darv		
Extraction Are	a		
Waterbody			
Wetlands			
Provincally Sid	unificant Wetland		
Quaternary Geo	logy		
20. Organic D	eposits: peat, muck,		
marl	al deposits: Sandy		
deposits	ar deposits. Oundy		
7b. Glaciofluvi deposits	ial deposits: Gravelly		
6. Ice-contact	stratified deposit: sand		
and gravel, m	inor silt, clay and till or, sandy silt to silty		
sand-textured	till on Paleozoic terrain		
Data Sources:			
Contains information license Government License Ontaric	d under the Open o.		
Contains Information made a	vailable under Grand River		
Conservation Authority's Ope	en Data Licence v2.0. arv geology Cambridge area		
southern Ontario. M2508. Or	ntario Geologic Survey.		
0 90 180 2	70 360 450 m		
Scale (11x17):1	10000		
Project CRS: NAD83 / UTM zo	ne 17N		
J	MIF		
Engineers,Scientists, Surveyors Ph. (519) 743-6500			
Cambridge Aggregates			
Proposed West Edworthy Pit			
ite			
Township of North Dumfries			
Quaternary Geology			
eviewed By PAG			
repared By TFC Project No 46639-100			
Drawn By TFC	Figure No 5		
December 2021			














AR







AR2.10





















Table 1: MECP Well Records within the Study Area

MECP Well Record ID	Date Completed	Final Status	Primary Use	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (mbgs)	Static Water Level (mbgs)	Casing Depth (mbgs)	Water Found Depth (mbgs)	Screen top (mbgs)	Screen bottom (mbgs)
6505812	4/22/1986			552646.1	4796506	56.4	29.3	45.72	45.72	46.02	50.60
6504259	7/13/1974			553266.1	4797021	16.1	6.7	14.94	NA	13.72	16.15
6505014	11/15/1979			552134.1	4796003	41.1	30.5	41.15	30.48	NA	NA
6503063	7/9/1969			552654.1	4796263	39.9	29.6	39.93	39.93	NA	NA
6506190	7/29/1987			551917.1	4796052	37.2	29	37.19	37.19	NA	NA
6500513	3/15/1963			552664.1	4796548	39.6	31.1	38.10	38.10	38.10	39.62
6500514	10/24/1967			551924.1	4796143	47.2	30.5	47.24	47.24	NA	NA
6502922	7/30/1968		Domestic	552654.1	4796498	40.8	26.2	39.93	38.10	39.93	40.84
6505465	5/9/1983			551484.1	4797013	36.9	21	36.88	36.88	NA	NA
6503519	10/26/1971			551764.1	4796723	36.6	25	36.58	36.58	NA	NA
6503563	1/24/1972			551739.1	4796473	44.2	35	42.98	44.20	42.98	44.20
6500509	5/4/1961	1		552914.1	4796953	21.9	16.1	21.03	19.51	21.03	21.95
6504345	9/16/1975	Water Supply		552786.1	4796121	56.1	20.7	53.95	38.40	52.73	55.17
6504066	1/8/1974			553034.1	4797313	23.2	18.6	23.16	23.16	NA	NA
6502992	10/18/1968			551724.1	4796823	38.7	27.4	38.71	38.71	NA	NA
6508038	8/9/1996			553011.1	4796351	27.1	13.7	27.13	25.91	25.60	26.52
7274606	6/21/2016			552485	4796273	57	25.1	54.25	57.00	NA	NA
6510326	10/4/2005			551922	4796146	47.60	32.1	NA	NA	NA	NA
7152443*	5/12/2010			552049	4795718	36.6	27.7	36.58	36.58	NA	NA
6500512	9/15/1960			552564.1	4796863	74.7	17.7	64.01	67.70	NA	NA
6500425	2/27/1965		Livesteck	551714.1	4795803	78.3	13.7	78.33	78.03	NA	NA
6500511	10/31/1967		LIVESLOCK	552974.1	4796823	19.2	27.1	19.20	19.20	NA	NA
6505804	3/19/1986		Irrigation	551817.1	4796806	45.1	29.3	45.11	45.11	NA	NA
6508235	5/30/1998		Municipal	552440.3	4796195	36	12.3	35.05	35.97	35.05	35.97
6510438	11/25/2005		Commerical	552947	4796377	23.2	27.7	22.50	22.25	22.25	23.16
6510436	12/2/2005	Observation	Commoriaal	552724	4796793	23.8	19.2	20.72	0.00	22.25	23.77
6510435	11/6/2005	Wells	Commerical	553213	4797022	24.4	15.4	18.89	0.00	14.56	21.03
6509881	10/27/2004	Abandoned	NA	552443	4796197	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Notes:

7152443 primary use is assumed to be domestic.





Table 2: Groundwater Levels mbTOC

Date	MW101-20	MW102-20	MW103-20	MW104-20	MW105-20	MW106-20	MW107-20	MW108-20	MW109-20	
6/2/2020	25.95	21.84	19.24	23.39	Not Installed					
6/9/2020	-	21.86	19.27	23.38			Not installed			
11/5/2020	26.5	22.4	19.8	23.92	23.86	26.84	26.3	26.58	19.26	
4/22/2021	26.79	22.7	20.07	24.18	24.2	27.16	26.63	26.89	19.5	
9/16/2021	27	22.91	20.32	24.38	24.4	27.36	26.82	27.09	19.7	
11/16/2021	26.88	22.77	20.14	24.26	24.28	27.24	26.7	26.98	19.53	

Note:

mbTOC = meters below top of casing

- = Not Measured



Table 3: Groundwater Elevations mAMSL

Date	MW101-20	MW102-20	MW103-20	MW104-20	MW105-20	MW106-20	MW107-20	MW108-20	MW109-20
6/2/2020	306.98	307.23	307.37	306.98	Not Installed				
6/9/2020	-	307.21	307.34	306.99			Not installed		
11/5/2020	306.44	306.68	306.81	306.46	306.78	306.71	306.64	306.61	306.54
4/22/2021	306.14	306.37	306.54	306.19	306.44	306.39	306.32	306.29	306.31
9/16/2021	305.93	306.16	306.29	305.99	306.24	306.19	306.13	306.09	306.11
11/16/2021	306.06	306.3	306.47	306.11	306.36	306.31	306.25	306.2	306.28

Note:

mAMSL = meters above mean sea level

- = Not Measured

Table 4: Summary of Hydraulic Conductivities



Well ID	Hydrauluic Conductivity (m/s)
MW101-20	4.54E-03
MW102-20	2.10E-04
MW103-20	1.76E-03
MW104-20	2.81E-03
MW105-20	2.85E-04
MW106-20	1.70E-03
MW107-20	3.33E-04
MW108-20	9.91E-04
MW109-20	6.10E-05
GEOMEAN	7.10E-04

Table 5.1: Water Quality Results - On-Site Monitoring Wells

Parameter	Units	Reporting Detection	ODWS	MW101-20 4/22/2021	MW102-20 4/22/2021	MW103-20 4/22/2021	MW104-20 4/22/2021	MW105-20 4/22/2021	MW106-20 4/22/2021	MW107-20 4/22/2021	MW108-20 4/22/2021	MW109-20 4/22/2021
		Limit										
Colour	CU	2	5	6.8	36.4	<2	<2	38.1	37.1	56.6	31.9	53.6
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	3/1	-	873	578	598	595	842	594	1060	558	576
Hardness	mg/L	0.5	80/100	388	333	336	325	354	360	394	337	318
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	20	500	518	369	343	346	502	351	648	361	389
l urbidity	NIU	0.1	5	57.4	200	20.2	4.03	238	866	843	211	>4000
pH	pH units	0.1	6.5/8.5	7.67	7.76	7.8	7.81	7.77	7.61	7.72	7.69	7.73
Aikalinity	mg/L	10/1	30/500	281	270	296	265	284	385	299	304	400
Ammonia	mg/L	0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	0.039	<0.01	<0.01	0.033	0.012	<0.01	0.019
Chioride	mg/L	0.5/2.5	250	99.6	9.6	10.2	0.061	94.4	5.02	156	0.38	12.4
Nitroto	mg/L	0.02/0.1	1.5	6.70	0.077	5.41	0.061	7.76	5.04	0.064	0.000	0.000
Nitrite	mg/L	0.02/0.1	10	<0.19	4.03	<u></u>	9.1 <0.01	<0.01	<0.04	<0.01	<0.20	0.016
Orthophosphate	mg/L	0.01/0.03		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	<0.01	<0.010
Sulfate	mg/L	0.000	500	20.9	32.7	10.9	11 9	9.82	12	17.1	10.4	31.4
Antimony	mg/L	0.0/1.0	0.006	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0001	0.000	0.00011	0.00018	0.00012	<0.0001	0.00016	0.0001	0.00014	0.00013	0.00011
Selenium	mg/L	5E-05	0.05	0.000228	0.000144	0.00024	0.0003	0.000239	0.000207	0.000238	0.000196	0.000208
Aluminum	ma/l	0.005	0.00	0.0089	0.0718	<0.005	<0.005	0,0061	0.0233	<0.005	0.0138	0.0059
Barium	mg/L	0.0001	1	0.136	0.235	0.0749	0.0615	0.19	0.104	0.209	0.093	0.0866
Bervllium	ma/l	0.0001	-	< 0.0001	<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	< 0.0001
Bismuth	ma/L	5E-05	-	<5E-05								
Boron	ma/L	0.01	5	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cadmium	ma/L	5E-06	0.005	9.6E-06	7.3E-06	<5E-06	<5E-06	<5E-06	<5E-06	<5E-06	9.5E-06	<5E-06
Calcium	ma/L	0.05	-	98.4	80.3	78.9	75.5	90.5	87.8	103	83.9	78.6
Chromium	ma/L	0.0005	0.05	< 0.0005	0.00052	0.00065	< 0.0005	0.00059	< 0.0005	0.00053	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Cobalt	mg/L	0.0001	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Copper	ma/L	0.0002	1	0.00071	0.00037	0.0003	0.00042	0.00084	0.00032	< 0.0002	0.00106	0.00084
Iron	mg/L	0.01	0.3	<0.01	0.063	<0.01	<0.01	0.011	0.031	<0.01	0.014	<0.01
Lead	mg/L	5E-05	0.01	9.3E-05	0.00173	<5E-05	<5E-05	6.5E-05	0.000157	<5E-05	8.1E-05	<5E-05
Magnesium	mg/L	0.005	-	34.7	32.1	33.9	33.2	31.1	34.3	33.2	31	29.6
Manganese	mg/L	0.0005	0.05	0.00139	0.0078	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.00395	0.00529	0.0103	0.00882	0.00797
Molybdenum	mg/L	5E-05	-	0.00022	0.000415	0.000218	0.000165	0.000429	0.000317	0.00043	0.000417	0.000699
Nickel	mg/L	0.0005	-	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0005	<0.0005	0.00059	<0.0005	0.00054
Phosphorus	mg/L	0.05	-	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Potassium	mg/L	0.05	-	1.26	1.23	0.896	0.927	1.48	1.03	1.79	1.22	1.11
Silicon	mg/L	0.05	-	5.57	6.59	6.18	4.71	6.63	6.4	6.69	6.05	5.66
Silver	mg/L	5E-05	-	<5E-05								
Sodium	mg/L	0.05	20/200	37.1	2.69	3.51	2.91	39	2.06	72.4	1.8	5.26
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	-	0.135	0.103	0.0884	0.074	0.122	0.101	0.183	0.1	0.103
Thallium	mg/L	1E-05	-	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	1.3E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05
Tin	mg/L	0.0001	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.00016	0.00024	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Titanium	mg/L	0.0003/0.0004	-	<0.0003	0.00246	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	0.00086	<0.0003	0.00037	<0.0003
Tungsten	mg/L	0.0001	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Uranium	mg/L	1E-05	0.02	0.000403	0.000578	0.000355	0.000285	0.00053	0.000508	0.000551	0.000479	0.000411
Vanadium	mg/L	0.0005	-	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005
	mg/L	0.001	5	0.0046	0.0055	0.0023	0.0025	0.0083	0.002	0.0015	0.0027	0.0014
	mg/L	0.0003	-	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
	ug/L	25	-	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
F1 (C0-C10) - B1EX	ug/L	25	-	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
F_2 (C10-C10 Hydrocarbons)	ug/L	250	-	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
E4 (C34 C50 Hydrocarbons)	ug/L	250	-	~250	<250	<250	~200	<250	~250	<250	~200	~250
Total Hydrocarbona (C6 C50)	ug/L	250	-	<230	<230	<230	<230	<230	<230	<230	<200	<230
Ronzono	ug/L	570	- 1	-0.5	~0.5	~0.5	~0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	~0.5
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.5	140/2 4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	60/24	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5
	ug/L	0.5	90/200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.54
	ug/L	0.3		<0.3	<0.0	<0.0	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.0	<0.0	<0.3
n+m-Xvlene		0.0		<0.5	<0.0	<0.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.0	<0.0	<0.0
	ug/L	0.7	1	-V.T	-0.4	-U	-U	-U.T	-U.T	-0	-U. T	-U.T

*Reporting Detection Limit (RDL) may have been altered based on the RDL at the time of analyis.

Values exceeding the ODWS are bolded.

Metals were field filtered.



Table 5.1: Water Quality Results - On-Site Monitoring

Parameter	Units	Reporting Detection	ODWS	MW101-20 9/16/2021	MW102-20 9/16/2021	MW103-20 9/16/2021	MW104-20 9/16/2021	MW105-20 9/16/2021	MW106-20 9/16/2021	MW107-20 9/16/2021	MW108-20 9/16/2021	MW109-20 9/16/2021
Colour	CU	Limit 2	5	<2	18	<2	<2	3.4	27.9	32	20.4	37.5
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	3/1	-	942	593	636	613	848	610	1150	568	593
Hardness	mg/L	0.5	80/100	345	309	332	318	347	348	377	327	307
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	20	500	492	356	335	352	520	343	659	329	318
Turbidity	NTU	0.1	5	1.43	159	0.63	2.47	41.5	571	16.3	550	>4000
рН	pH units	0.1	6.5/8.5	7.92	7.89	7.94	8	7.93	7.86	7.89	7.91	7.95
Alkalinity	mg/L	10/1	30/500	284	271	307	251	286	339	268	290	271
Ammonia	mg/L	0.01	-	0.011	<0.01	0.029	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.018
Chloride	mg/L	0.5/2.5	250	98.8	8.59	8.9	16.9	100	4.27	182	7.42	12.7
Fluoride	mg/L	0.02/0.1	1.5	0.068	0.074	0.054	0.064	0.058	0.058	<0.1	0.065	0.054
Nitrate	mg/L	0.02/0.1	10	6.32	4.46	4.89	8.8	7.75	4.54	7.82	5.17	3.76
Orthophosphoto	mg/L	0.01/0.05	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01		0.0036		<0.05	<0.01	<0.01
Sulfato	mg/L	0.003	500	<0.003 21.3	<0.003 31.2	<0.003 0.5	11.4	10.2	11.7	15 /	<0.003 0.58	~0.003
Antimony	mg/L	0.0/1.0	0.006	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.0001
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0001	0.000	0.00012	0.00015	0.00015	<0.0001	0.00016	0.00012	0.00014	0.00015	0.00012
Selenium	mg/L	5E-05	0.05	0.000232	0.000264	0.000217	0.000343	0.000241	0.000213	0.000248	0.000181	0.000244
Aluminum	ma/L	0.005	0.1	< 0.005	0.0107	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.0103	<0.005	0.019	< 0.005
Barium	mg/L	0.0001	1	0.129	0.22	0.0773	0.0617	0.207	0.106	0.214	0.0842	0.088
Beryllium	mg/L	0.0001	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Bismuth	mg/L	5E-05	-	<5E-05								
Boron	mg/L	0.01	5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cadmium	mg/L	5E-06	0.005	7.3E-06	6.2E-06	<5E-06						
Calcium	mg/L	0.05	-	87.1	74.5	77.7	74.5	87.6	83	99.6	80	75.4
Chromium	mg/L	0.0005	0.05	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.00064	<0.0005	0.00051	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
Cobalt	mg/L	0.0001	-	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Copper	mg/L	0.0002	1	0.0004	0.0003	0.00031	0.00087	0.00093	0.00026	0.00153	0.00028	0.00071
Iron	mg/L	0.01	0.3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.014	<0.01	0.017	0.014
Lead	mg/L	5E-05	0.01	<5E-05	<5E-05	<5E-05	<5E-05	<5E-05	5.9E-05	<5E-05	9.4E-05	<5E-05
Magnesium	mg/L	0.005	-	30.9	30	33.6	32	31.2	34.2	31.1	30.9	28.8
Manganese	mg/L	0.0005	0.05	<0.0005	0.00131	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.00068	0.00122	0.00159	0.00245	0.00056
Niolybdenum	mg/L	5E-05	-	0.000228	0.000434	0.000173	0.000174	0.000321	0.000232	0.000268	0.000271	0.000223
Phosphorus	mg/L	0.0005	-	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
Potassium	mg/L	0.05	_	1 31	1 17	<0.05 0.87	0.05	1.48	0.00	17	<0.05 1 14	1.04
Silicon	mg/L	0.05	_	5.72	6.32	6.47	4 84	6.88	6.58	6.75	6 39	5.73
Silver	mg/L	5E-05	_	<5E-05								
Sodium	mg/L	0.05	20/200	57.5	2.66	3.28	2.85	44.8	1.93	85.5	1.81	5.81
Strontium	mg/L	0.001	-	0.12	0.0963	0.0857	0.0696	0,124	0.0916	0,16	0.0962	0.0922
Thallium	mg/L	1E-05	-	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	1.3E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05	<1E-05
Tin	mg/L	0.0001		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Titanium	mg/L	0.0003/0.0004	-	< 0.0003	< 0.0003	< 0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	0.00044	< 0.0003	<0.0004	< 0.0003
Tungsten	mg/L	0.0001	-	<0.0001	< 0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Uranium	mg/L	1E-05	0.02	0.000433	0.000597	0.000399	0.000298	0.000582	0.000532	0.000528	0.000474	0.000423
Vanadium	mg/L	0.0005	-	< 0.0005	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
	mg/L	0.001	5	0.0038	0.0011	0.0023	0.0028	0.002	0.0018	0.0012	0.0018	0.0016
	mg/L	0.0003	-	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
	ug/L	25	-	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
FI (U0-U10) - BIEX	ug/L	25	-	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
$= \frac{1}{2} (C16 C34 \text{ Hydrocarbons})$	ug/L	250	-	<100	< 100	<100	<100	<100	< 100	< 100	<100	<100
E4 (C34-C50 Hydrocarbons)		250	-	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	ug/L	370	-	<370	<370	<370	<370	<370	<370	<370	<370	<370
Benzene	ua/l	0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	ua/L	0.5	140/2.4	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Toluene	ua/L	0.5	60/24	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Total Xylenes	ug/L	0.5	90/300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
o-Xylene	ug/L	0.3	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3
p+m-Xylene	ug/L	0.4	-	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4

*Reporting Detection Limit (RDL) may have been altered based on the RDL at the time *Reporting Detection Limit (RDL) may have been altered based on the RDL at the time of analysis. Values exceeding the ODWS are bolded. Metals were field filtered

Values exceeding the ODWS are b**olded.** Metals were field filtered.





Table 5.2: Water Quality Results - Domestic Wells

Parameter	Units	Reporting Detection	ODWS	PW1 11/16/2021	PW2 11/16/2021
Alkalinity	mg/L	1	30/500	255	227
Ammonia	mg/L	0.01	_	0.044	<0.01
Bromide	mg/L	0.1	_	<0.1	<0.1
Chloride	ma/L	0.5	250	9.86	33.2
Fluoride	mg/L	0.1	1.5	0.11	<0.1
Nitrate	mg/l	0.02	10	<0.02	7.29
Nitrite	mg/l	0.01	1	<0.01	<0.01
Orthophosphate	mg/L	0.003	-	<0.003	<0.003
Sulfate	mg/L	0.3	500	51.5	16
E Coli	CEU/100ml	0.0	0	0	0
Total Coliforms	CEU/100ml	0/10	0	0	520
Antimony		0.6	6	<0.6	<0.6
Arsenic		1	10	83	<1
Selenium		1	50	<1 <1	<1
		10	0.1	<10	<10
Rarium		10	1000	246	
Bondlium		10	1000	<0.5	-0.5
Biomuth Total		0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Bisiliutii-Totai		50	- 5000	<	<
		50	5000	<0.1	<0.1
Cadmium	ug/L	0.1	5	<0.1	<0.1
	mg/L	0.5	-	12.3	67.3
Cesium-Total	ug/L	0.1	-	<0.1	<0.1
Chromium	ug/L	1	50	<	<
Cobalt	ug/L	0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Copper	ug/L	1	1000	6.7	139
Iron-I otal	ug/L	50	300	3110	122
Lead	ug/L	1	10	<1	<1
Lithium-Total	ug/L	100	-	<100	<100
Magnesium	mg/L	0.5	-	33.2	26.9
Manganese-Total	ug/L	1	50	61.9	1.8
Molybdenum	ug/L	0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Nickel	ug/L	1	-	<1	<1
Phosphorus-Total	mg/L	0.05	-	<0.05	<0.05
Potassium-Total	mg/L	1	-	<1	<1
Rubidium-Total	ug/L	2	-	<2	<2
Silicon-Total	ug/L	1000	-	7200	6100
Silver	ug/L	0.05	-	<0.05	<0.05
Sodium	mg/L	0.5	20/200	3.81	14
Strontium-Total	ug/L	1	-	110	85.2
Sulfur-Total	ug/L	500	-	17000	5120
Tellurium-Total	ug/L	2	-	<2	<2
Thallium	ug/L	0.06	_	<0.06	<0.06
Thorium-Total	ug/L	1	-	<1	<1
Tin-Total	ug/L	1	-	<1	<1
Titanium-Total	ug/L	2	-	<2	<2
Tungsten-Total	ug/L	6	-	<6	<6
Uranium	ug/L	2	20	<2	<2
Vanadium	ug/L	0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Zinc	ug/L	3	5000	11.1	11.1
Zirconium-Total	ug/L	0.8	-	<0.8	<0.8
Colour	ĊU	2	5	13.1	<2
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	3	-	605	609
Hardness	mg/L	-	80/100	317	279
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	20	500	338	333
Turbidity	NŤU	0.1	5	44.6	1.66
Hq	pH units	0.1	6.5/8.5	8.2	8.28

*Reporting Detection Limit (RDL) may have been altered based on the RDL at the time of analyis.

Values exceeding the ODWS are bolded.

Proposed West Edworhty Pit Cambridge Aggregates Inc.







Hydrograph 1: Monitoring Well Groundwater Elevations



Proposed West Edworthy Pit Cambridge Aggregates Inc.

MTE File No.: 46639-100 Printed on: 12/21/2021

Hydrographs



Maximum Predicted Water Table Report Terms of Reference





MTE Consultants 520 Bingemans Centre Drive, Kitchener, Ontario N2B 3X9

November 17, 2021 MTE File No.: C46639-100

Mr. Chris Isley Cambridge Aggregates Inc. 1182 Alps Road Cambridge, ON N1R 5S5

Dear Mr. Isley:

RE: **Terms of Reference – Maximum Predicted Water Table Report Proposed Edworthy West Pit Class A Pit Above Water** Part of Lot 17, Concession 9, Township of North Dumfries

MTE Consultants Inc. (MTE) is pleased to present the following Terms of Reference (TOR) for a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report to support an application for an aggregate license for a Class A, Pit Above Water on the above noted property, hereby referred to as the "Site".

In addition to the 2020 Reporting Standards issued by the Ministry of Northern Development, Mines, Natural Resources and Forestry (NDMNRF), MTE also reviewed the Regional Municipality of Waterloo (RMOW) Guidelines for Hydrogeological Assessments for Proposed Mineral Aggregate Resource Extraction.

Work related to the Maximum Predicted Water Table Report began in 2020. As such, portions of the field program described herein have already been completed but the data compilation and assessment portions are still in progress. The following TOR documents what has been done and what has yet to be completed.

Scope of Work

A Maximum Predicted Water Table Report is required to:

Identify the maximum predicted water table elevation across the Site.

In accordance with the RMOW Guidelines the Maximum predicted Water Table Report will also assess the potential impacts to:

- Water wells;
- Springs;
- Groundwater aquifers;
- Water discharge areas; and
- Determine the significance of the impacts and feasibility of mitigation.

The following describes the tasks included in the Maximum Predicted Water Table Report.

1. Background Review

The Maximum Predicted Water Table Report began with a review of the following documents:

- Permits in place for existing major water users including nearby Aggregate Sites;
- Topography, physiographic, geological and hydrogeological mapping on file with NDMNRF;
- Private well records on file with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP);
- Relevant Government Regulations and Acts;
- The Provincial Policy Statement;
- Source Water Protection Plan (SWPP) and vulnerability mapping;
- The Region of Waterloo's Official Plan; and
- The Township of North Dumfries Official Plan.

2. Field Work

Monitoring Wells

Between April and November 2020, nine monitoring wells were installed at the locations shown on **Figure 1** (attached) to a depth of approximately 26-29 m. MTE was on-Site during the drilling program to log drill cuttings and document well installation details. As per the *Wells Regulation*, monitoring wells were constructed by a MECP licensed well technician. The construction of the monitoring wells allowed for the collection of groundwater levels and the determination of the properties of the groundwater system.

Private Wells

To augment the information collected from MECP well records, MTE conducted a private well survey of domestic wells within one kilometer of the Site in December 2020. In total 46 private well inventories were delivered with nine being returned to MTE.

Well Development and Well Tests

Monitoring wells were developed to remove fines from the well screen and the sand pack. This process ensured that representative water levels and hydraulic parameters were obtained from each monitoring well.

A single well hydraulic response test (K-test) was completed on each monitoring well to determine the hydraulic properties of the aquifer immediately surrounding each well screen. Data collected was used to establish background groundwater flow conditions.

Groundwater Levels

To understand the seasonal fluctuation of the water table under the Site, MTE installed pressure transducers (data loggers) into Five monitoring wells:

MW101, MW103, MW104, MW105 & MW107.

Data loggers are computerized instruments that measure water levels automatically. Water levels recorded by the data loggers were used to assess seasonal changes to groundwater levels. Data obtained using the data loggers were compensated for barometric pressure using a barometric pressure logger.

In addition to water levels read by data loggers, MTE visited the site four times to measure water levels manually. These measurements were used to calibrate the data logger readings.

To compare groundwater levels across the Site, each monitoring well was surveyed in meters above mean sea level (mAMSL).

As per the ARA reporting standards, the maximum predicted water table will be determined by monitoring groundwater levels for a minimum of one year to account for seasonal variations and influences due to precipitation. Section 2.33 of the Region of Waterloo 2015 Draft Aggregate Assessment Guidelines indicates that two years of monitoring data is required so that seasonal fluctuations of the water table can be determined. Monitoring of groundwater levels is ongoing so that two years of groundwater levels will be obtained before the proposed pit is licensed.

Groundwater Samples

Per the RMOW Guidelines groundwater samples were collected from on-Site monitoring wells in early spring (April 18, 2021) and late summer (September 16, 2021). and analyzed for general chemistry and hydrocarbons. Groundwater sample results will be compared to the Ontario Drinking Water Standards. This information will be used to establish background water quality.

3. Data Compilation and Assessment

As previously mentioned, the field work and data collection for the Maximum Predicted Water Table Report began in 2020 and the data compilation and assessment portion of the investigation is ongoing. The following describes how the field data collected will be used to characterize the groundwater conditions on-Site and complete the impact assessment of the proposed pit on existing groundwater users.

Water Table Mapping

Using the groundwater levels measured from monitoring wells, MTE will determine the horizontal groundwater gradient and groundwater flow direction and present the results on a map. This information will be used to interpolate a water table elevation under the proposed pit to ensure there is adequate separation distance (a minimum of 1.5 m) from the pit floor to the spring high water table.

Geological Cross-sections

MTE will construct two geological cross-sections through the Site to show:

- 1) Geology;
- 2) The proposed pit floor;
- 3) The water table surface; and
- 4) Existing water supply wells.

The geological cross-section will be constructed using MECP well records and the information collected through the drilling program and private well survey. Geological cross-sections will show where residential water supply wells obtain water with respect to the pit floor, which will be important for assessing potential impacts from the proposed extraction on existing groundwater users.

Source Water Protection

MTE understands that the closest Municipal Well Field is approximately 2.8 km from the Site. MTE will review the Source Water Protection Plan (SWPP) policy implications for the Site and identify:

- Wellhead Protection Areas (WHPAs);
- Groundwater vulnerability scores;
- Significant drinking water threats; and
- Significant groundwater recharge areas.

After reviewing the SWPP, MTE will assess the Site's vulnerability and its potential to effect WHPAs.

Wetlands

MTE will complete a drainage assessment using topography maps to delineate surface water catchment areas for wetlands in the study area. The drainage assessment will be used to determine if the proposed pit will affect average annual flows to wetlands. The drainage assessment will also compare drainage patterns across the Site pre and post extraction. This assessment will identify changes to drainage patterns as a result of the proposed pit and identify where mitigation may be required. This assessment will ensure that the design for the proposed pit will not block existing drainage patterns nor cause negative impacts to adjacent properties.

4. Reporting

All data, hydrographs, maps, calculations, and geological cross-sections will be compiled into the report that meets provincial requirements for a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report as set out in the *Aggregate Resources Act* as well as municipal requirements as set out in the Region and Township Official Plans.

The report will present the data collected, assess the potential for adverse effects to groundwater and surface water resources and make recommendations on pit operations so it can operate without causing adverse impacts to existing water resources.

This report will be submitted in support of an:

- ARA license application;
- Zoning By-Law Amendment; and
- Township Official Plan Amendment.

Topics to be covered in the Maximum Predicted Water Table Report include (but not limited to):

- Background Information;
- Geological setting and description;
- Hydrogeological setting and description;
- Impact assessment of the pit on existing surface water and groundwater resources;
- Discussion of mitigation measures including trigger mechanisms;
- Recommendations for a monitoring plan; and
- Technical support data in the form of tables, graphs, and figures.

Closing

We trust this work plan for a Maximum Predicted Water Table Report meets the requirements set out by the reviewing agencies. Please feel free to contact the undersigned if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

TFC: smk

MTE Consultants Inc.

Fraser Cummings, M.Sc., P.Geo. Manager Environmental Practices 519-743-6500 ext. 1248 fcummings@mte85.com

Jay Flanagan, B.E.S., B.Ed. Manager Aggregate Resources 519-743-6500 ext. 1289 <u>iflanagan@mte85.com</u>

Attach. M:\46639\100\02 - Correspondence\Correspondence\46639-100_2021-11-17_ltr rpt_Hydro-G Study_Edworthy West Pit_Maximum Predicted Water Table Report_Terms of Reference.docx

MTE Consultants | C46639-100 | Terms of Reference - Maximum Predicted Water Table Report









Jay B. Flanagan

From:	Matthew Colley <mcolley@regionofwaterloo.ca></mcolley@regionofwaterloo.ca>
Sent:	Wednesday, January 26, 2022 12:05 PM
То:	'Caitlin Port'
Cc:	Geoff Moroz; Jane Gurney; Isley, Chris (Cambridge, ON) CAN; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN; Neal DeRuyter; Jay B. Flanagan; Fraser Cummings
Subject:	RE: Report TORs - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Caitlin,

Yes please ensure that the comments below are considered/included in the Final Report submitted with the app. With regards to the groundwater data I would recommend that rationale is provided for what is submitted with your formal hydrogeology report, in terms of the groundwater data if you choose to provide the one year of data. Given this property lies partially within a Wellhead Protection Area there are potential risks to municipal water supply that must be considered.

Regional Staff, or a third party peer reviewer will provide comments on that submitted study and if there is not sufficient data then a re-submission/further updates may be required prior to signing off on the study.

In terms of more specific questions I would defer to Geoff.

Thank you.

Matthew Colley, MCIP, RPP

Principal Planner Planning, Development and Legislative Services Regional Municipality of Waterloo T: 519-575-4757 ext. 3210 C : 519-577-6241 F: 519-575-4449 <u>Mcolley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>

From: Caitlin Port <cport@mhbcplan.com>
Sent: January 25, 2022 3:00 PM
To: Matthew Colley <MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca>
Cc: Geoff Moroz <GMoroz@regionofwaterloo.ca>; Jane Gurney <JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca>; Isley, Chris
(Cambridge, ON) CAN <chris@iugroup.ca>; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN <Kevin.Hurley@LehighHanson.com>; Neal
DeRuyter <nderuyter@mhbcplan.com>; Jay B. Flanagan <JFlanagan@mte85.com>; Fraser Cummings
<FCummings@mte85.com>
Subject: RE: Report TORs - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi all – Thanks for the feedback

For the HydroG Report Comments #2-4 below, did you want us to provide a response or make sure that this info is considered/included in the Final Report that is submitted with the Application?

With regard to the two years of groundwater data required for submission, I wanted to clarify the requirements as outlined in the ROW Hydrogeological Assessment Guidelines which state:

- Section 2.3.3 (page 5) "Water levels from wells and surface water will be measured on a quarterly basis for a <u>minimum of one year</u>"
- Section 2.4.2 (Page 7) A Stage 2 Assessment is triggered if impacts are identified or if extracting below water table occurs (see Table 2c). Given this criteria, a Stage 2 is not required for the site.
- Section 3.0 Stage Two: Detailed Hydrogeological Assessment (Page 8) "if after one year of the two year required monitoring period the data suggests that there will not be any adverse effects an application can be submitted prior to the full two years of monitoring is completed... the two year monitoring requirements have to be completed and submitted as an addendum to the original application for review prior to initiating operations."
- Table 2b states that a minimum of one-year of data is required and two years is preferable.

For this application, we will have the two-years of groundwater monitoring data for all installed wells as of November 2022, for four of the nine wells we will have the two-years of data in the late Spring of 2022. This is well before extraction will occur on this site as it takes a number of years to work through the approval process and monitoring at the site will be on-going. We have worked on other recent above-water-table pit applications in the ROW where the Region has accepted the one-year of monitoring data for application submission.

Let me know if we need to set-up a call to further discuss.

Regards, Caitlin

From: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>
Sent: January 21, 2022 8:31 AM
To: Jane Gurney <<u>JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Cc: Geoff Moroz <<u>GMoroz@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Report TORs - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Thank you Jane.

Caitlin I also just received these comments from Geoff Moroz regarding the Hydro-g. I have cc'ed him here and happy to discuss further, particularly with regards to the first point I would assume.

Hi Matthew, please note that with a limited submission of information these are considered preliminary information gaps. Additional work may be required to address information gaps and concerns once a full report is submitted. Here are preliminary comments:

1) This sentence was in the TOR:

influences due to precipitation. Section 2.33 of the Region of Waterloo 2015 Draft Ag Assessment Guidelines indicates that two years of monitoring data is required so tha fluctuations of the water table can be determined. Monitoring of groundwater levels i so that two years of groundwater levels will be obtained before the proposed pit is lice

The proponent should complete two years of groundwater monitoring prior to submission, not just prior to licensing.

2) There should be more monitoring points across the pit floor. The Region may require a multi-level monitoring well to be installed to evaluate potential impacts to deeper supply aquifers.

- 3) Data-loggers should be installed in all monitoring wells used as part of this assessment. There are no dataloggers in wells within the proposed extraction area, so there appears to be limited groundwater elevation data beneath the proposed pit floor.
- 4) The proponent should include an assessment of potential impacts to future groundwater elevations due to climate change.

I would also note that all studies should continue to address/evaluate the cumulative impact policy of the Regional Official Plan (9.C.4) and should also be discussed in the submitted Planning Report.

Thank you.

Matthew Colley, MCIP, RPP

Principal Planner Planning, Development and Legislative Services Regional Municipality of Waterloo T: 519-575-4757 ext. 3210 C : 519-577-6241 F: 519-575-4449 <u>Mcolley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>

From: Jane Gurney <<u>JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>
Sent: January 21, 2022 7:33 AM
To: 'Caitlin Port' <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Cc: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Chris Isley <<u>chris@iugroup.ca</u>>; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN
<<u>Kevin.Hurley@LehighHanson.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Report TORs - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Caitlin,

My apologies for the delay and lack of communication on the EIS Terms of Reference (TOR) that was provided by Goodban Ecological Consulting Inc. (November 25, 2021). As advised through the pre-submission, we will be taking this file to the Ecological and Environmental Advisory Committee (EEAC), and I have now confirmed that there will be an EEAC meeting this month. I am including TOR to the agenda for January 31 (5:30 virtual meeting) and I will send the TOR recommendation report to you next week. If you would like to have someone in attendance at the meeting for any questions that may come up, you are more than welcome to do so. I realize the notice is short, however, so if you aren't able to have anyone in attendance I do not anticipate any issues or delays as a result given the site is fairly straight forward from a Regional environmental perspective.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns, and I will be in touch with the EEAC meeting information next week.

Thank you for your patience! Jane

Jane E. Gurney, RPP, MCIP, AICP

Principal Planner Community Planning Region of Waterloo Mobile: 519-589-6921 Office: 519-575-4500 Ext. 3454 JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca From: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>> Sent: January 20, 2022 3:33 PM To: Geoff Moroz <<u>GMoroz@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Jane Gurney <<u>JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Chris Isley <<u>JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Matthew Colley <<u>Cc</u>: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>; Chris Isley <<u>chris@iugroup.ca</u>>; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN <<u>Kevin.Hurley@LehighHanson.com</u>>

Subject: Report TORs - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Matthew, Jane, and Geoff,

Can you let me know if you have any comments on the HydroG and EIS Terms of References that were provided to the Region in November?

We are full speed ahead on completing reports and I want to make sure that the Region's comments are incorporated into the final versions of the Reports that are submitted.

Thank-you, Caitlin

From: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>
Sent: January 4, 2022 10:03 AM
To: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>; Geoff Moroz <<u>GMoroz@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Jane Gurney
<JGurney@regionofwaterloo.ca>
Cc: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>; Chris Isley <<u>chris@iugroup.ca</u>>; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN
<<u>Kevin.Hurley@LehighHanson.com</u>>; Neal DeRuyter <<u>nderuyter@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Caitlin,

Yes the Terms of Reference for the Hydro-G has been sent to Geoff Moroz and the EIS Terms of Reference has been provided to Jane Gurney who would facilitate this review through EEAC. I have included Jane on this email as she can work with you to set up a site visit and determine the timing for this to go to EEAC.

Aercoustic Engineering is on the Region's list of approved noise consultants.

Lastly, I would note that the Region's fee by-law has been updated in 2022. The Regional fee for the review of this app, to be provided at submission of ZBL, is now \$25,000.00.

Thank you.

Matthew Colley, MCIP, RPP

Principal Planner Planning, Development and Legislative Services Regional Municipality of Waterloo T: 519-575-4757 ext. 3210 C : 519-577-6241 F: 519-575-4449 <u>Mcolley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u> From: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>> Sent: January 3, 2022 10:04 AM

To: Matthew Colley </ Colley@regionofwat

To: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>

Cc: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>; Chris Isley <<u>chris@iugroup.ca</u>>; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN <<u>Kevin.Hurley@LehighHanson.com</u>>; Neal DeRuyter <<u>nderuyter@mhbcplan.com</u>>

Subject: FW: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Matthew,

Happy New Year.

I wanted to touch-base on a few items outlined in the Region's pre-consultation comments:

- Has the Hydrogeology Terms of Reference that I provided in November (see attached) been circulated to Geoff Moroz at the Region?
- The Region's pre-consultation comments indicate that the Noise Consultant preparing the noise study must be pre-approved by the Region of Waterloo. The Noise Consultant retained to complete the noise study for this project is <u>Aercoustic Engineering</u>. I can provide copies of CVs if needed.
- Has EEAC been provided a copy of the EIS TOR we provided? We would be happy to accommodate a site visit for EEAC members... probably in the Spring would be best.

Let me know if it would be easier to set-up a call to discuss the above.

Thanks, Caitlin

From: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>
Sent: December 24, 2021 7:05 AM
To: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Good morning, Caitlin.

Please find attached the comments received from the following agencies:

- Region of Waterloo
- Enbridge
- Energy Plus
- Waterloo Catholic District School Board
- GRCA

I hope to receive some outstanding comments from the internal department after Christmas break. As soon as I receive all outstanding comments, I will send you the summary of comments, including submission requirements, fee, etc.

Wishing you a happy holidays and a wonderful New Year!

Thanks,

Best regards,

Shahid Mughal, MCIP, RPP Township Planner

The Corporation of the Township of North Dumfries North Dumfries Community Complex 2958 Greenfield Road, P.O. Box 1060 Ayr, Ontario NOB 1E0

Office: 519-632-8800 ext. 132 Fax: 519-632-8700 Cell: 519-242-0301 smughal@northdumfries.ca

From: Caitlin Port <u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>
Sent: December 23, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Shahid Mughal <u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Shahid,

Besides the GRCA, did you receive anymore comments this week?

Thanks, Caitlin

From: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>
Sent: December 7, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Caitlin,

I hope you are well.

Just to provide an update regarding the pre-consultation application for Edworthy West Pit. The submission package has been circulated to all external agencies and the internal department for comments. The comments deadline is December 21, 2021. I will send you the comments as soon as I receive them from the agencies/department.

We will certainly schedule a virtual meeting if you need clarification on any comments or require additional information from agencies/departments.

Thanks,

Best regards,

Shahid Mughal, MCIP, RPP Township Planner

The Corporation of the Township of North Dumfries North Dumfries Community Complex 2958 Greenfield Road, P.O. Box 1060 Ayr, Ontario NOB 1E0 From: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Sent: November 29, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>
Cc: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Shahid – With the arrangements made for the fees to be paid, can we schedule the pre-con meeting now?

Please find attached to TOR for the Water Report (Hydrogeology) and the Natural Environment Report (Environmental Impact Study).

Thanks, Caitlin

From: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>
Sent: November 22, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hi Caitlin,

Sorry for the delayed response as I was on vacation and back in the Office last week.

I am working on your file now. I received the submission package with the pre-consultation application form signed by the property Owner.

Could you please confirm if the pre-consultation application fee of \$450 has been paid to the Township. Sorry I am missing anything.

Please find attached earlier email, including E-transfer payment form/direction on how to make the e-transfer payment if that is preference over cheque.

The Region would require a pre-submission application fee of \$300. The Region's pre-sub fee can be submitted via e-transfer if that is easier for you. Peggy Walter can assist you if you would like to pay the fee via e-transfer. Peggy Walter can be reached at: <u>pwalter@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>

Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Thanks,

Best regards,

Shahid Mughal, MCIP, RPP Township Planner

The Corporation of the Township of North Dumfries North Dumfries Community Complex

2958 Greenfield Road, P.O. Box 1060 Ayr, Ontario NOB 1E0

Office: 519-632-8800 ext. 132 Fax: 519-632-8700 Cell: 519-242-0301 smughal@northdumfries.ca

From: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Sent: November 4, 2021 3:51 PM
To: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>

Cc: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>; Andrew Mcneely <<u>amcneely@northdumfries.ca</u>>; Isley, Chris (Cambridge, ON) CAN <<u>chris@iugroup.ca</u>>; Hurley, Kevin R (Cambridge) CAN <<u>Kevin.Hurley@LehighHanson.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Hello Shahid and Andrew -

Please find attached the completed and commissioned Pre-Consultation Application form with Landowner Authorizations.

I have reattached my original Sept 7th Coverletter; however, I have included an updated Concept Plan.

The original has been sent in the mail.

Thanks, Caitlin

From: Shahid Mughal <<u>smughal@northdumfries.ca</u>>
Sent: October 26, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Caitlin Port <<u>cport@mhbcplan.com</u>>
Cc: Matthew Colley <<u>MColley@regionofwaterloo.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Meeting Request - Cambridge Aggregates Edworthy West Pit, North Dumfries

Good morning, Caitlin.

My apologies, Staff will be able to process the pre-consultation application once the complete application form is submitted to Township.

The Application form needs to be signed by the Property Owners and witnessed and signed by a Commissioner of Oaths.

I will be away from the Office from tomorrow, October 27th, returning on November 15th, 2021. if you submit the complete application form during my absence, please also Cc to Mr. McNeely.

Thank you,

Best regards,

Shahid Mughal, MCIP, RPP Township Planner

The Corporation of the Township of North Dumfries North Dumfries Community Complex 2958 Greenfield Road, P.O. Box 1060 Ayr, Ontario NOB 1E0

Office: 519-632-8800 ext. 132 Fax: 519-632-8700 Cell: 519-242-0301 smughal@northdumfries.ca


Borehole Logs



ID Number: MW101-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 4/24/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages L.B.M. Inc

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air Rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile		Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ground Surface SAND AND GRAVEL Brown sand and Gravel, coarse, damp	332.0				Normal delinition of the sector of the sec	Image: Sector of the	Bentonite
Field Drafte	Tecl ed by	hnician: JKS y: JKS	9			V	TE		



ID Number: MW101-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 4/24/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages L.B.M. Inc

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air Rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile	5	Sam	ple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
58 60 64 66 67 70 72 74 76 80 81 70 72 74 80 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81		SAND AND GRAVEL Brown sand and Gravel, coarse, damp SAND Grey brown sand, medium to coarse Drilling Terminated	<u>308.9</u> 23.2 <u>303.4</u> 28.7				ImageI	Image <td< td=""><td>Samd Pack</td></td<>	Samd Pack

Field Technician: JKS

Drafted by: JKS



ID Number: MW102-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 4/27/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages LBM

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air rotary

	Subsurface Profile				nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		SILTY SAND Brown, Sand, damp, coarse GRAVEL Grey/brown Gravel, coarse.	328.2 0.0 323.0 5.2					ImageImag	Bentonite
Field [*] Drafte	i eci d b	nnician: JKS y: JKS				M	TE		
Revie	Reviewed by: TFC				heet	:1 o	f 2		

ID Number: MW102-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 4/27/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages LBM

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile		Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
58 60 64 18 64 20 64 20 64 20 68 20 70 22 74 22 74 30 82 24 84 86 90 92 92 28 90 93 92 28 94 96 93 30 102 104 104 32 108 34 114 116 112 34		SAND Red/brown sand, wet, fine to coarse, trace gravel Drilling Terminated	305.3 22.9 302.3 25.9						Sand Pack
Field	Tecl	nnician: JKS							

Drafted by: JKS



ID Number: MW103-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 4/28/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages LBM

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air Rotary

		Subsurface Profile		San	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{ft} & \text{m} \\ 0 & line of the second sec$		Ground Surface SAND AND GRAVEL Brown sand and gravel, damp, coarse, some silt near top of unit	325.7						Bentonite
Field [·] Drafte	Tecl ed by	hnician: JKS y: JKS		P		M	TE		





ID Number: MW103-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 4/28/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages LBM

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air Rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

Subsurface Profile				Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content - % - 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} 58\\ 60\\ 64\\ 66\\ 70\\ 72\\ 74\\ 76\\ 80\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81\\ 81$		SAND AND GRAVEL Brown sand and gravel, damp, coarse, some silt near top of unit SILTY SAND AND GRAVEL Red/brown, silty sand fine to coarse sand, wet SAND Red brown sand, some gravel, fine to coarse sand, wet Drilling Terminated	304.4 21.3 301.9 23.8 300.1 25.6				Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image	Image: Section of the section of t	51mm Slotted Screen

Field Technician: JKS

Drafted by: JKS



ID Number: MW104-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 5/6/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages LBM

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile	:	Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} ft \\ m \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$		Ground Surface TOPSOIL Grey/ brown sand and gravel, coarse, some red/brown sand near lower contact Grey/ brown sand and gravel, coarse, some red/brown sand near lowercontact	329.5						S1mm PVC Riser
Field	Tec d b	hnician: JKS y: JKS		P		M	TE		



ID Number: MW104-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 5/6/2020

Drilling Contractor: Les Forages LBM

Drill Rig: DB-430

Drill Method: Air rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

			Subsurface Profile		San	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale		Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
58 60 62 64 66 70 72 74 76 80 82 84 90 92 94 96 100 102 104 106 108 110 112 114 116	118 220 222 224 226 228 330 332 334		SAND Grey/brown sand, medium to coarse, wet. Trace gravel	<u>311.5</u> 18.0 <u>300.9</u> 28.7					Image: state	51mm Slotted Screen
Fiel										

Drafted by: JKS



ID Number: MW105-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 11/15/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM Forages

Drill Rig: DR-24HD

Drill Method: Dual Rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile		Sam	ple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} ft \\ m \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10$		Ground Surface TOPSOIL Brown Silty topsoil GRAVEL Grey Gravel, Coarse SILTY SAND Brown, Silty Sand, medium- fine SAND Red/Brown, Sand, coarse SAND Red/Brown, Sand, coarse SAND Brown/grey gravel, coarse	329.9 0.0 327.7 2.1 324.7 5.2 323.2 6.7 6.7 314.9 14.9						Bentonite Concrete
Drafte Revie	ed by wed	y: JKS by:	J				JC		

Sheet: 1 of 2

ID Number: MW105-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 11/15/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM Forages

Drill Rig: DR-24HD

Drill Method: Dual Rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile		Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
58 60 64 64 66 70 72 74 76 80 82 74 76 82 84 82 90 92 92 93 94 100 102 104 104 103 104 112 104 114 114 116		GRAVEL Brown/grey gravel, coarse SAND AND GRAVEL Grey/brown sand and gravel, medium coarse sand, coarse gravel Drilling Terminated	310.4 19.5 304.9 25.0 300.6 29.3				ImageImag	Image Image Image Image Image Image <td< td=""><td>51mm Slotted Screen</td></td<>	51mm Slotted Screen

Field Technician: JKS

Drafted by: JKS

Reviewed by:



ID Number: MW106-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 10/31/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM Forages

Drill Rig: DR-24HD

Drill Method: Dual rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile	5	Sam	ple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content - % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} {\rm ft} & {\rm m} \\ 0 & 2 \\ 4 & {\rm ft} \\ 10 & {\rm himseline hi$		Ground Surface TOPSOIL Brown topsoil, fine and silty GRAVEL Grey/brown gravel, coarse Sand Brown sand, coarse GRAVEL Grey gravel, medium to coarse	332.8 0.0 330.9 1.8 319.1 13.7 317.8 14.9 315.1				Note	NoteN	Bentonite
Field Drafte Revie	Tecl ed by wed	hnician: JKS y: JKS I by: TFC				N	TE		

Sheet: 1 of 2

ID Number: MW106-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 10/31/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM Forages

Drill Rig: DR-24HD

Drill Method: Dual rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

		Subsurface Profile		Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} 58\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 10$		SAND Brown sand, coarse, trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL Grey/brown sand and gravel, coarse Drilling Terminated	315.1 17.7 305.3 27.4 302.0 30.8						51mm Slotted Screen
Field	Tecl	hnician: JKS		D			TC		

Drafted by: JKS



ID Number: MW107-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 10/28/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM forages

Drill Rig: DR-24HD

Drill Method: Dual rotary

		Subsurface Profile	5	Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	_				
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details				
π m 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 ²	Ground Surface TOPSOIL Silty topsoil, some clay and stones, brown, fine grained SAND AND GRAVEL Grey brown, gravel and sand, coarse Thician: JKS y: JKS	332.1 0.0 326.6 5.5					NoteNoteImage<	Bentonite				
Revie	wed	by: TFC		S	– heet	:10	f 2						

ID Number: MW107-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 10/28/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM forages

Drill Rig: DR-24HD

Т

Drill Method: Dual rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

	Subsurface Profile				Sample		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content - % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
dec 58 Million 18 64 Million 18 74 Million 18	Syn	SAND Brown Sand, coarse-medium	313.2 18.9 301.3 30.8	Nun	Typ	Rec			51mm Slotted Screen
Field Drafte	Tecl ed by	hnician: JKS y: JKS		þ		Ν	TE		



ID Number: MW108-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 10/29/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM Forages

Drill Rig: DH-24HD

Drill Method: Dual Rotary

	Subsurface Profile			Sam	ple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content - % - 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{tt} & \text{m} \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 6 & 2 \\ 10 & 2 & 10 \\ 12 & 14 & 10 \\ 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 14 & 16 & 18 \\ 20 & 22 & 24 \\ 26 & 28 \\ 30 & 314 & 10 \\ 33 & 30 & 10 \\ 34 & 10 \\ 36 & 33 \\ 40 & 21 \\ 44 & 6 \\ 50 & 21 \\ 10 \\ 36 & 31 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\$		Ground Surface TOPSOIL Brown topsoil, fine silty	<u>101.3</u> 0.0 93.1 8.2				NoteImage: Note <td< th=""><th>NoteImage: NoteImage: NoteImage:</th><th>Eentonite</th></td<>	NoteImage:	Eentonite
Field [·] Drafte	Tecl ed by	hnician: JKS y: JKS				N	TE		





ID Number: MW108-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggergates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 10/29/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM Forages

Drill Rig: DH-24HD

Drill Method: Dual Rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

	Subsurface Profile		Sample			SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Soil Description Soil Description Handler Handler		Moisture Content - % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details		
58 60 61		SILTY CLAY AND GRAVEL Grey coarse gravel, mixed with medium to coarse grey brown sand SAND Brown reddish sand, medium to coarse Drilling Terminated	80.9 20.4 76.9 24.4 70.8 30.5			Image in the sector of the s	Image <td>51mm Slotted Screen</td>	51mm Slotted Screen

Field Technician: JKS

Drafted by: JKS



ID Number: MW109-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 11/2/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM forages

Drill Rig: DH-24HD

Drill Method: Dual rotary

	Subsurface Profile			Sam	nple		SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value • Blows/305mm • 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content - % • 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
т m 0 2 4 10 2 8 10 12 10 12 10 10 12 10 10 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Ground Surface TOPSOIL silty topsoil, brown top soil SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse sand with coarse sand Sand And GRAVEL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand CLAY TILL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand CLAY TILL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand CLAY TILL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand CLAY TILL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand CLAY TILL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand	325.0 0.0 324.1 0.9 315.9 9.1 312.8 12.2 311.9 13.1						Bentonite
Drafte Revie	ed by wed	y: JKS by: TFC	J						
				S	neet	:10	T Z		

ID Number: MW109-20

Project Name: West Edworthy Pit

Project No: 46639-100

Client: Cambridge Aggregates

Site Location: North Dumfries

Date Completed: 11/2/2020

Drilling Contractor: LBM forages

Drill Rig: DH-24HD

Drill Method: Dual rotary

Protective Cover: Monument Casing

	Subsurface Profile			Sample			SPT	Moisture	
Depth Scale	Symbol	Soil Description	Elevation (masl) Depth (m)	Number	Type	Recovery (%)	N-Value ● Blows/305mm ● 20 40 60 80	Moisture Content - % 10 20 30	Well Completion Details
58 61 64 64 66 70 72 74 76 72 74 76 78 82 84 82 84 86 90 92 92 94 96 93 93 100 102 104 102 104 112 104 112 114 116 111 114 116		CLAY TILL brown, fine till with trace stones SAND AND GRAVEL grey coarse with coarse sand Drilling Terminated	306.1 18.9 304.6 20.4 302.1 22.9				Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>Sand Pack</td></th<>		Sand Pack

Field Technician: JKS

Drafted by: JKS



SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL 홍국 Y (m VC 등 음 음 공 공	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	LTHOLOGY	NUTES
		ns 1 to 3	n.s. 1.22 to 2.44, 3.96 to 5.49, 5.49 to 6.71	na >2.5		0 to 12.2003 Topol, organic rish zore, demiction at base 1122 to 6.00 mBGS. Stant and gravel, + 30% store, ALCR 4 to 7 m, IC 14 cm (Covel) lyvesd, medium to medium come graved stand, dust (x8) produced by ording
4 5 6 7		ns 4	ns 7.01 to 8.53	na <2.6		6.60 to 6.71 e005 SNL motion trage 647 to 8.04 e005 SNL motion trage 647 to 8.04 e005 SNL motion to cores grand, ACB 0 9 and motion works with the to cores grand, ACB 0
8 - 9 - 10 -		5 10 7	8.84 to 10.06, 13.11 to 14.83, 14.63 to 16.15	>2.5		nyon 594 to 16,40 mB/00 Stand and gmed, in east, -00% table, ALC54 to 10 cm, LC 58 cm (Conto) Jayrent, madvar to methum coarse graned and, due (ally concluded by delings, and to 30 cm their, kindshi tinga and markit segurato to be ally dehough it may be from d filing data.
					488 483488 483488 483488 483488 483488 48348	
17	28828828	8	16,46 to 17,68 17,68 to 18,90		22	18,46 to 17.88 mBGS Sand, fine to coarse gravel (up to 19 mm), sand medium, medium fine to medium coarse grained 17,68 to 18,90 mBGS Sand and gravel, +30% stone, ALCS 4 to 80 m, LC 20 cm, sets 0.3 to 0.7 m thick
19 -		10, 11 & 12	19.51 1020.73, 22.25 10 23.77, 23.77 10 25.30	2.5 to 4.5	200.201	appears coarser grained sand than sample 8 18-50 to 27-43 mBGS Sand, sets of madium, medium coarse to madium fine grained sand, sets 10 to 40 cm relick, lively fine gravel at base of two (tabular scotci)
						water toble at 23,93 mB005 ECH 27,43 mB005

BCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (m803)	ESTIMATED FM	UTHOLOGY	NOTES
	r r lun ff ff	13	2 to 2.3	na		0 to 2.74 mBGS Topsoil, diamiton, clayish to sitly, story, with small sand layers
		14, 15 & 16	3.05 to 3.96, 7.82 to 5.49, 7.32 to 8.53	>2,6		2. Ye to 9, 14 mBrcS Sand and greed, +30% store, ALCS & to 10 m. LC 15 cm (Carel) bypest, median by the store of the store of the store of the store although it may be then draining dust.
7		17, 18	8.84 to 10.06, 10.36 to 11.28			5: 41 to 11.08 m 0.08 Sant, metium fire to very tire granical, FM Dialy loss Tan 2.2, from is tire graved but Would lie of Ibase of web
		19	11.58 to 12.50	>2.4		11.58 to 12.80 mBC/S Sand and gravel, +30% stone, ALCS 5 to 10 cm, LC 12 cm (Cored) severed, median to motium coarse grained rand, dust (sit) produced by drilling, in sats, sets 30 to 50 cm thick, appears to be sky abhough in may be from drilling dust
13-	******	20	13.11 % 14.63	<2.2	6659	12.80 to 14.94 mBQS Sand, some gravel, medium to very fire grained cand, fire gravel at base of unit, FM Bialy less than 2.2
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		21	15.24 to 16.15	>2.4		14.04 to 16.15 mBGS Sand and gravel, fine to coarse gravel, ALCS 10.5 cm, stly fine to medium ally sand to medium coarse grained sand, layered
17 -	*********	22	16.46 to 17.37		-059 1	16.15 to 17.37 mBGS Sand, medium to medium line grained, fine gravel base, tabular x-beds
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		23	17.68%010.81	>2.5		17.37 to 20.12 mBGS. Sand and gaved, ALCS 4 to 6 cm. IC 8 cm kb of data problem, which m b medium cancer to modum free gaved and concer to modum free gaved and
21 -		24	20.42 % 22.25	>2,5	3464	20.12 to 28.35 mB/GS Sand, medium time to very course grained sand, fire gravet, acts with story bases
						water table at 22.55 mB005
29-						28.35 to 29.35 mBGS TIL sandy sit, story EOH 28.35 mBGS

EW 21	03 552385 4796448					
SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	ASOTOHUTI	WITES
		ns. 27 lb 32 33, 34	25 40 to 7.0 4 7.0 10 6.453 7.0 10 6.453 9.78 to 11.28 10.20 to 12.3 10.20 to 12.3 10.20 to 12.3 10.20 to 22.5 22.5 to 22.5 23.77 to 25.591 23.77 to 25.591	na ≈2.5 ~2.5		Dec. 137 mBCS Deniction, sky day te savary etc. set, sky utb and level level LST 02 12 44 mBCB Excel and prevel with site of the set median comes to very cares grand and, duel (set median comes to very cares grand and, duel (set median comes to very cares grand and, duel (set median comes to very cares grand and, duel (set set) and the set of the set of the set of the set median comes to very cares grand and, duel (set and the set of the set) set of the set of the set set of the set of the set of the set of the set set of the set of the set of the set of the set set of the set of the set of the set of the set set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set set of the set of the set set of the set of the set set of the set of the set set of the set of th
	<u>enered</u>				energiji.	EOH 27.43 mBGS



EW 2	-05 552320 4796680					
SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	(milds) (milds)	ESTIMATED FM	U1001067	NOTES
		50 to 55 to 60	5 0.6 10251 to 2073. 10251 to 2074. 10250 to 2075. 10250 to 2075.	>2.5 >2.5		Start 1860 Baniston, day all to sandy all, all sandy all san
					2016	EOH 27.43 mBGS

EW 2	-06 552215 4796994					
SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL 한국 약 M m vc 등 왕 80 80	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	UTHOLOGY	WUES
1- 2- 3- 3- 4- 5- 6-		61 to 63	n.k. 3.05 to 4.27, 4.38 to 5.70, 7.32 to 8.38	na >2.5	अर्थित रहित्रहीत रहित्रहीत रहित्रहीत	0 to 2.2 miRGS Demotion, day at to sendy 4t, stry
8- 9- 10- 11-		64	10.35 to 11.89	1.8 %	: 42552 42522 42552 4	16.36 to 11.89 mB08 Sind, medium coarse grated sand, ALCB 1 to 3 cm, LC 5 cm
12		65 to 69	12:18 to 13:41. 13:41 to 13:41 14:22 to 15:05 14:22 to 15:51, 14:22 to 15:51, 14:21 to 15:51, 14:5	>25		11-68 to 2400 mBols Short and goved, short May Short Short Short Short Short Short Short Short Short Short Short for graned and cops. Sets 25 to 45 on thick.
20		70	25.45 to 20.63	>2	and an and an	Water Table at 23.47 mBGS 24.09 to 27.43 mBGS Sand some tidnes, medium coarse to medium fine and, ALCE 21 to 3 cm, LC 6 cm

EW 21-37 552360 4766663	SAMPLE NO.	MPLED NTERVAL (m8GS)	ESTIMATED FM	ASOTOHUTI	NOTES
	ns	a n.s.	na		0 to 1.83 mBGS Diamidon, day sit to sendy all, alony
	71 to 73	3.09 to 4.27, 7.622 to 8.53, 6.14 to 10.36	>2.5		U3 to 13.11 mB/G. Send and grout, -13% store, ALC2 4 to 5 cm. LC 14 cm, dusty from diffing, medium canne to medium grained and
	74	13.72 to 14.94	1,8 to 2,5	2 29	13,11 to 15,24 mBQS Sand, medium ozerne to coarse grained sand, pebbles
15	76 to 77	16.76 to 17.68, 19.51 to 20.73, 21.03 to 23.47	>2.5 >2.5		15.24 to 16.15 mBGS Sand and gravel, In fining upward sets, ALCS 2 to 4 cm, LC 10 cm, medium cases to coarse grained and 16.15 to 23.77 mBGS sand and gravel. +30% stone, ALCS 4 s 7 cm LC 14 cm, in sets, there is a lot of chling dust.
	78	23,47 16 24,99			23.77 to 2749 mBGS Sard, petMm, medum coares
23	78	23.47 to 24.09			cz. (r w 27,4 mBOS Bend, petetes, mesium come generale alend, May 20,8 mBOS Bend, petetes, and a second Water halb at 24,23 m BOS
					EOH 27.43 mBGS

-

SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	1001061	NOTES
(a) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c)		04 3460 883 00	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	PATERNAME PA		Big 11 to 27 41 mBGB Band, modulum fires to fire Strange Band Band Band Band Band Band Band Band
24 25 28 27						Water Table at 23.77 ECN 27.43 mB/05





SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	LITHOLOGY	MOTES
		ns	n.a.	na		0 to 122 mBGS Topsoil, organics sitly sand
1		106, 107	1.22 to 2.44, 3.35 to 4.27	>2.5		0.01 to 5.18 mBGS Band and gravel = 30% shows, graded open work present, shows in open work 0.1 to 10 m, are worked in your chard same and and gravel ALCS 3 to 6 m, 1.0 f 5 m;
4- 4- 5- 6-		108	5.79 to 6.40	>2.2		5.18 to 6.07 mBCS Sand, stores; median overse to medium grained and and fine gravel to overse gravel
7	an a	ns	ns			640 bi 1138 médis Rhythmiae. Yin tuck oʻ (diy, all and fine aand
.	2. 	ns	ns			11.28 to 11.89 mBGS Sand, fine sity
12		DF	05			11 89 to 14 94 mBGS. Dividenities involved from on the
						all and day
15		108, 110	18,46 to 17,98, 19,51 to 21,03	>2.4		14 (H iz 23) antibits favore, stowe, «15% alone, ALGS 24 or u., L 12, medium to medium coarse graved and
21 -					124	
		111	24.38 to 25.91	2		21.03 to 24.69 mBGS Sand, medium fine to medium coarse grained
22 -						Water Table at 21.64 mBGS
23 -						
8- 8-		ns	ns	>2.5		24.68 to 27.43 mBGB Sand, medium coanse to very coanse sand
27 -						
						EOH 27.43 mBGS

SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL 함 및 V m vc 등 유 용 용	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	UTHOLOGY	NOTES
		ns	n.a.	na		0 to 1.07 mBGS Diamicton, fine sity sand to sandy sit, stony
1- - 2-		112 lo 114	1.52 to 2.74, 4.88 to 5.79, 7.62 to 8.53	×2,5	242 222	1.07 to 5.75 mBD8. Name and yound30% stores. ALCS 4 to 5 cm, 5C 12 cm (Cored) lowest medium to medium coarse graned send, durit (BD produced by onling), in sets, set 30.05 6 cm fitsk, genera to be sity attrough it may be from driging dust.
3 4 5 6 7					e and the address address address a	
10 -		115 to 117	10.67 to 11.58, 11.89 to 14.94, 15.24 to 16.15	>2.2	8 8 8	9.76 to 16.29 mBGS Sand, stones, in sets 26 to 40 cm thick, stony base with ALCS 2 to 4 cm with medium to fine grained sand cass, overlying sand thicker than underlying story zone, overall the unit fines downward to medium fine sand
11 -						
13 -						
14						
15 -					100 Percent	
16 -					100 TO 100	
17 -						
18 -		118 to 120	20.73 to 21.95, 23.77 to 25.60	×2.2	1000 000 0000	18,29 to 27,43 mBGS Sand, some stones, Coarse and fine beds, 10 to 15 cm fine gravel medium sand overlain by fine sand, medium coarse to coarse grained sand and fine to coarse gravel, all is sate 40.25 th 3 cm
20 -					0 400 10 400 0	LC 10 cm
21 -					يتوكيون والرون	
- 22 -					Sou of Sou of	
23 -					2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	
24 -					1000 Carlo Carlo	Water Table at 23.47 m8GS
25 -					0.000 (PM) 0	
26 -					100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
27 -					10.0 M	EOH 27.43 mBGS

SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL 홈팩 Y mys c 북 왕 중	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBG5	ESTIMATED FM	LITHOLOGY	MOTES
	17700127727 0	ns	n.a.	na		0 to 1.07 mBGS Diamicton, sitly sand to silt, stony
-						
1 —	01000000000	121,	1.52 to 2.74,	>2.5	0991	1.07 to 5.48 mBGS Sand and grave], +30% stone,
-		122	4.57 to 5.79			ALCS 4 to 8 cm, LC 14 cm (Cored) layered, medium to medium coarse grained sand, dust (sit) produced by
2 —						driling, sets 30 to 50 cm thick, appears to be sity although it may be from driling dust
-						
з —						
-						
4 —						
6-						
		123, 124	6.71 to 8.23, 8.84 to 10.36	>2.2		5.48 to 11.89 mBGS Sand, medium, medium coarse to very coarse grained, some stones, ALCS 0.5 to 1.5
6					1	cm,<10% stone
					ļ	
7						
					ł	
8 —						
-					4	
9 -						
-					4	
10 —						
-					3	
11 -						
12 —		ns	ns	<1.5	ģ	11.89 to 12.81 mBGS_Sand fine sity sand
13		125,	11,89 to 12,80,	>2.2	L.	12.81 to 19.20 mBGS Sand, medium to medium coarse
		126, 127	16.76 to 17.98, 17.98 to 19.20			to very coarse grained, fine gravel
					1	
14						
-						
15						
-					2	
16 —					1	
17 —					Į.	
-					194	
18 —					ş	
-						
19 —					,	
-		128	19.51 to 21.03	<2		19.20 to 21.03 mBGS Sand, medium to medium fine grained
20 —						
-						
21 —						
-		ns 129	118 21.95 to 23.47	<2.2		21.05 to 21.34 mBGS Sand, medium, medium fine
22 -						grained, reddish brige
_						
23 -						
~						
<i>2</i> 4 —	1	130	23.47 to 24.99			24.08 to 24.99 mBGS Sand, stones, medium coarse to very coarse grained, ALCS 1 to 4 cm. LC 7 cm
-	$ \rangle \rangle$					
25 —		131	25.30 to 27.43			24.99 to 27.43 mBGS Send, medium fine to very fine grained
-						-
26 —						
-						
27 —						water Lable at 26.67 mBGS
-	<u>1997</u>					EOH 27.43 mBGS
		1	1	1		



SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	100108A	NOTES
	177101717777 	ns	n.a.	na		0 to 2.74 mBGS Topsoil of beds of fine sand and sity clay to dayey sit
1		137	4.57 to 5.79	×2.5		274 to 7.82 mB05 Sand and genet ALCS 5 to 8 m. LC 19 cm, metum course to medium gened aard but jots of dust from drafing
6- 7- 8-		138	7.32 to 8.84	1.8 to 2.5		7.02 to 8.84 eB05 Sand median to nodium coarse granes and, binocal - free and coarse nothing in midde
- e		139, 140	10,36 to 11,89, 11,89 to 13,41	×2.5	5	8.84 to 13.72 mBGS Sand, medium line to medium grained to medium coarse, sets of likely tabular xhad.
.					2002	some stones. Jots of dust created from driling
10					<u> </u>	
12		141	13.72 to 14.94	<2.6	State and a state of the state	13.72 to 16.74 mBGS Stard, modum flow, modum to modum coarse grained, in rats
15						
17 -		142	16.76 to 17.98			16.76 to 18.29 mBGS Sand, pebbles, bimodel matrix supported beds present, medium fine to fine grained sand and fine gravel
18		143	19.51 to 21.03	4		18.29 to 21.03 mBGS Sand, medium fine grained
20 -			71 05 21			20 00 to 24 28 mB/7 0
22-		144	2130 10 2430	-2.2	0.64	21.03 to 24 36 mbc/s sent), modulin to modulin coale to very coarse grained, <15% stone, ALCS <1.5 cm
23 -						
24 - 		145	24.38 to 25.60	>2.5	10-00-00-00-0	24.38 to 27.43 mBGS Sand, medium coarse to very coarse grained, file gravel, ALCS +1.5 cm, LC 4 cm,
·						
27 -						water Lable at 26.82 mBGS
1						EOH 27.43 mBGS

-

EW 21	16 552046 4796436					
SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	UT10L06Y	NOTES
- 1- 2- 3- 3- 4- 5-		148	n.s. 4,27 to 5,79	na <2.5		0 to 2.4 mBCG Dismitton, skly sand to ski to dayay uk
6		147, 148, 150	6.71 to 6.23, 10.87 to 11.56, 12.34 to 12.20	>23		S-49 to 1220 mBGS Band and gravet, +30% stohen, ALCS 6 to 10 m, 12 23 cm (colored), maciam to ALCS 6 to 10 m, 12 23 cm (colored), maciam to from d*l-to colored and the store of the produced from d*l-to colored and the store of the produced and the store from d*l-to colored and the store of the store of the produced and the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store of the store
13		149, 150	14.33 to 15.255, 12.34 to 12.20	~2		All of the second
19		ns 152 & 153	n 22,56 to 24,06, 24,08 to 25,30	×22	Lever and a strate a strate and a strate and a strate and a strate	18:05 to 19:30 million generation, sandy all 19:05 to 19:30 million generation of the source of the medium fragment sets. For grand to coarse grand. Invers. ALCS 10:4 cm, LC 8 cm
27 -					. 60.601 60.64	ЕСН 27.43 m8GS

EW 21	-17 551889 4796338					
SCALE (m)	MUD SAND GRAVEL 유명 및 (기술 등 응 응 응 응 응	SMMPLE NO.	SWAPLED NTERVAL (mBGS)	ESTIMATED FM	UTINOLOGY	NOTES
1- 1- 2- 3-		ns 154	n.a. 1.83 to 3.96	na <2		Die 1.23 mBGS Demictor, skly sand to all to duyer at 1.43 to 3.90 mBGS Sant, some store, mix of module coarse and fire to coarse gaved, ACS 3 to 6 on, L0 to some anse specification and manual medum coarse to the aird bimodel study
4		155,	3.96 to 5.79, 5.79 to 7.32	> 2.3		336 Ib 8.51 m603 Sant and prevel - 395 stoon. ALGB 10 2 cm. LC 25 cm. Modum coarse to very coarse sard ball at of dual mask from of () ng. in 20 to 60 cm axis
9		157, 158	7.32 to 8.23, 10.06 to 11.89	>2		8.53 to 11.28 mBCR Sand and greet, +15% store, ALCB 15 do m_LC 10 cm. Medium course to very course graved small bits all of dust make time effeng, in 20 to 60 cm set, there is adva bimodel medium free and its greet, mathin exponent
.	5	ns	ns			11.28 to 11.89 mBGS Clay
12		159	13.41 to 14.33	>22		11.68 to 14.33 mBGS Sard and gravel, +30%, store, ALCE to 16 cm, LC 12 cm (Coald), coaling grained sard , loci dust created from defing
15		160 to 162	18:46 B 27 3.2 19:49 B 27 3.2 22:59 b 24:49	>2		14.33 to 2019 mBRB device participants (model). It is possible were at laster of what looks line totalar incoses possible were at laster of what looks line totalar incoses in the looks of the looks in the looks
25 -		ns 163	ns 24.99 to 27.13	>2.4	•	24.38 to 24.39 mBGS Damitton, sandy alt 24.69 to 27.43 mBGS Spain, methon methon teacome graned to very cases graned. The grand, Jhely patche bases, Water table at 24.39 mBGS ECH 27.43 mBGS


Private Well Survey



	46639-100		

Resident Name	
911 Number 1396 Road Green field	
Address Can bridge Oft	
	N
Phone Number, email_	,
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	
Previous Property Owners?	
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know
Type of Well: Drilled Dug Sand Point Other	Don't Know
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 fee	et Don't Know
Depth of Well	Don't Know
Depth to Water	Don't Know
Pump Depth	Don't Know
Pump Type <u>Submersible</u>	Don't Know
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know
Name of Well Driller	🗶 Don't Know
Date Installed	Don't Know
I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes XNo	Don't Know
MOE Water Well Record Number	🔀 Don't Know
Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other_	
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter	Aluminum Oxide
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchang	e Ozonation
Other Water Treatment Iron Blaster.	Don't Know

Condition of Well Casing Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know
Other
Any problems with water quantity in the past? The No
Any problems with water quality in the past?
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria
Other <u>High Iron content</u> , stains cinks & Toilets Not suitable for drinking. Location of Septic Bed <u>See diagram</u>
Potential Sources of Contamination: barn manure pile gas tanks heating oil tank
Other
Is the well easily accessible?
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well?
Describe Well Location West side of house 7' from house wall.
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:
Water Weiter Weiter 250 House Drive
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>

<u>Water Well Inventory – Page 1</u>

Resident Name	
911 Number 1650 Road Shoul Aire	
Address 1650 Shouldice Site Road	
CAMBRIDGE NIRSS	35
Phone Numberemail	
Property Owner: 🖄 Yes 🗌 No If No, Property Owner's Name	
Previous Property Owners	
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know
Type of Well: X Drilled Dug Sand Point Other	Don't Know
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 fee	t Don't Know
Depth of Well 180 foot	Don't Know
Depth to Water	Don't Know
Pump Depth	Don't Know
Pump Type $J_e \neq$	Don't Know
Water Source: 🕢 Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know
Name of Well Driller	Don't Know
Date Installed 1987 or 1988	Don't Know
I have the MOE Water Well Record 🗌 Yes 🗹 No	Don't Know
MOE Water Well Record Number	Don't Know
Type of Water Use: 🔀 Domestic 🔲 Farm 🗍 Irrigation 🗍 Industrial 🗌 Other_	,
Water Treatment: 🔀 Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter Filter]Aluminum Oxide
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchanged	ge_Ozonation
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know

Condition of Well Casing ZGood Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know
Other
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes 🔀 No
Any problems with water quality in the past?
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria
Other
Location of Septic Bed Front Yord
Potential Sources of Contamination:barnmanure pilegas tanksheating oil tank
Other
Is the well easily accessible? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well? Yes 🗌 No
Describe Well Location Buck Yard between fool and dect
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:
Weit Ale
Drive vos P &
N is
Pool Will Septic Septic
24
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit:
www.wellaware.ca

Resident Name	
911 Number 1103 Road Greenfield	
Address 1103 Greenfield Road RR4 (Camba; dge
NIR555	
Phone Number email	
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	
Previous Property Owners	
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know
Type of Well: 🔀 Drilled 🗌 Dug 🔲 Sand Point 🛄 Other	Don't Know
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 fee	t Don't Know
Depth of Well / 80 /	Don't Know
Depth to Water	Don't Know
Pump Depth	Don't Know
Pump Type <u>Grun fos 4"Stainless steel submensible</u>	Don't Know
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know
Name of Well Driller	Don't Know
Date Installed House built 1976	Don't Know
I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No	Don't Know
MOE Water Well Record Number	☑Don't Know
Type of Water Use: 🔀 Domestic 🗌 Farm 🛄 Irrigation 🛄 Industrial 🗌 Other_	
Water Treatment: 🔀 Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter	Aluminum Oxide
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchang	ge_Ozonation
Other Water TreatmentNone	Don't Know

<u>Water Well Inventory – Page 2</u>

46639-100

.

Condition of Well Casing 🖾 Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know	
Other	
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes XNo	
Any problems with water quality in the past? Yes No	
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria	
Other	
Location of Septic Bed <u>East of house</u>	
Potential Sources of Contamination: barn manure pile gas tanks heating oil tank	
Other	
Is the well easily accessible? X Yes No	
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well? Xes \square No β_{ay} if you down	,eunythin
Describe Well Location west of house in a cement Vault.	
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:	
Field Rd 1103	7
Sprajueson di 103 Lune	
Circular Driveway Circular Driveway House Septic Bel M Barn-no livestock. M Barn-no livestock.	
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>	

4	6	6	3	9	_	1	0	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Resident Name	0	
911 Number Image Address Image Phone Number email Property Owner: Image Previous Property Owners email Previous Property Owners Image Number of Wells on property Image View of Well: Image Don't Know Image Diameter of Well: Image Image	Resident Name	
Address Cambridge Phone Number email Property Owner: Types Previous Property Owners	911 Number 1262 Road breen field Re	-1
Phone Number email Property Owner: If No, Property Owner's Name Previous Property Owners	Address Campridge	
Phone Number	0	
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	Phone Number email	
Previous Property Owners	Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	
Number of Wells on property	Previous Property Owners	
Number of Wells on property		
Type of Well: Diameter of Well: Dug Sand Point Other Don't Know Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 feet Don't Know Depth of Well	Number of Wells on property	Don't Know
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 feet Don't Know Depth of Well 39	Type of Well:	Don't Know
Depth of Well /39 Don't Know Depth to Water 97 March/08 Don't Know Pump Depth 126 Don't Know Don't Know Pump Type Jeff Don't Know Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden Don't Know Name of Well Driller Don't Know Don't Know Date Installed Don't Know Don't Know I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No Don't Know MOE Water Well Record Number Don't Know Don't Know Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment Mone Don't Know Don't Know	Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 fee	t Don't Know
Depth to Water 97 March/08 Don't Know Pump Depth 126 Don't Know Pump Type Jef Don't Know Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden Don't Know Name of Well Driller Don't Know Don't Know Date Installed	Depth of Well _/ <u>39</u>	Don't Know
Pump Depth Image: Constraint of the second seco	Depth to Water March /08	Don't Know
Pump Type Jet Don't Know Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden Don't Know Name of Well Driller Don't Know Don't Know Date Installed Don't Know Don't Know I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No Don't Know MOE Water Well Record Number Don't Know Don't Know Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment Mone Don't Know Don't Know	Pump Depth 126	Don't Know
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden Don't Know Name of Well Driller Don't Know Don't Know Date Installed	Pump Type	Don't Know
Name of Well Driller Don't Know Date Installed Don't Know I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No Don't Know MOE Water Well Record Number Don't Know Don't Know Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment More Don't Know Don't Know	Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know
Date Installed Don't Know I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No Don't Know MOE Water Well Record Number Don't Know Don't Know Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment Mone Don't Know Don't Know	Name of Well Driller	Don't Know
I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No Don't Know MOE Water Well Record Number Don't Know Don't Know Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment Mode Don't Know Don't Know	Date Installed	Don't Know
MOE Water Well Record Number Don't Know Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment Mone Don't Know	I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No	Don't Know
Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment None Don't Know	MOE Water Well Record Number	Don't Know
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fiber Filter Aluminum Oxide UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment None Don't Know	Type of Water Use:	
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation Other Water Treatment <i>None</i> Don't Know	Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter]Aluminum Oxide
Other Water Treatment Mone Don't Know	UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange	ge_Ozonation
	Other Water Treatment None	Don't Know

Water Well Inventory – Page 2 46639-100
Condition of Well Casing Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know
Other
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes No
Any problems with water quality in the past?
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria
Other
Location of Septic Bed North West corner of house
Potential Sources of Contamination: barn manure pile gas tanks heating oil tank
Other
Is the well easily accessible?
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well?
Describe Well Location
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:
your well W N E Green Shed
5 b 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0
d Mell Way e Green field
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>

MTE#	
Berd DEC 2 1 2020 Water Well Inventory – Page 1	46639-100
Resident Name	
911 Number Road Road	·
Address1208 green sed rd	-
Phone Numberemailemail	
Previous Property Owners	
	*
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know
Type of Well: 🔀 Drilled 🗌 Dug 🔲 Sand Point 🛄 Other	Don't Know
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 fee	et 🔲 Don't Know
Depth of Well / 39	Don't Know
Depth to Water	Don't Know
Pump Depth	Don't Know
Pump Type electric	Don't Know
Water Source: 🔲 Bedrock 😡 Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know
Name of Well Driller	🕅 Don't Know
Date Installed 35 915 0.00	Don't Know
I have the MOE Water Well Record 🔲 Yes 🕅 No	Don't Know
MOE Water Well Record Number	Don't Know
Type of Water Use: 🔀 Domestic 🗌 Farm 🗍 Irrigation 🗌 Industrial 🗌 Other_	
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter	Aluminum Oxide
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchan	ge_Ozonation
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know

Condition of Well Casing Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Know
Other
Any problems with water quantity in the past?
Any problems with water quality in the past? Yes No
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria
Other
Location of Septic Bed back
Potential Sources of Contamination: barn manure pile gas tanks kheating oil tank
Other
Is the well easily accessible? Ves No
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well? Yes No
Describe Well Location
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:
N septic 1
A house Shed 75
ALTS DE
×
weil
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit:
<u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>

Resident Name		
911 Number 1282 Road Greenfield		
Address 1282 treenfield Rd, Cambridge		
	J	
Phone Numberemail		
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name		
Previous Property Owners		
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know	
Type of Well: Drilled Dug Sand Point Other	Don't Know	
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 7 inches 3 feet Don't Know		
Depth of Well	Don't Know	
Depth to Water	Don't Know	
Pump Depth	Don't Know	
Pump Type	Don't Know	
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know	
Name of Well Driller	Don't Know	
Date Installed	Don't Know	
I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No	Don't Know	
MOE Water Well Record Number <u>A 00 1 9 7 1</u>	Don't Know	
Type of Water Use:		
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter Aluminum Oxide		
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation		
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know	

Condition of Well Casing Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know
Other
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes No
Any problems with water quality in the past?
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria
Other
Location of Septic Bed Behind the home-
Potential Sources of Contamination: Darn manure pile gas tanks heating oil tank
Other
Is the well easily accessible?
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well?
Describe Well Location In front of the house on the west
corner of the home.
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:
garaige 1 driveway garden / trushes Deck (Septic)
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>

Resident Name			
911 Number Road			
Address 1220 Alps Rd			
Phone Numberemail			
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	<i>V</i>		
Previous Property Owners			
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know		
Type of Well: Drilled Dug Sand Point Other	Don't Know		
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 fee	et 🔲 Don't Know		
Depth of Well <u>80</u>	Don't Know		
Depth to Water	Don't Know		
Pump Depth <u>30'?</u>	Don't Know		
Pump Type <u>Submersible</u>	Don't Know		
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know		
Name of Well Driller	Don't Know		
Date Installed 2006	Don't Know		
I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No	Don't Know		
MOE Water Well Record Number	✓Don't Know		
Type of Water Use: 🗹 Domestic 🗌 Farm 🛄 Irrigation 🔲 Industrial 🗌 Other			
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter Aluminum Oxide			
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation			
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know		

Condition of Well Casing IGood Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know		
Other		
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes Yo		
Any problems with water quality in the past?		
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria		
Other		
Location of Septic Bed West of house		
Potential Sources of Contamination:barnmanure pilegas tanksheating oil tank		
Other		
Is the well easily accessible?		
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well?		
Describe Well Location east of house		
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:		
House × well		
Alps Rd		
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>		

<u> Water Well Inventory – Page 1</u>

Resident Name	
911 Number 1915 Road SHOULDICE CIDE	RD
Address 1915 SHOULDICE SIDE RP, CAMBRIDO	-E
NIR 55	5
Phone Numberemail	
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	
Previous Property Owners	
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know
Type of Well: Drilled Dug Sand Point Other	Don't Know
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 feet	Don't Know
Depth of Well 75'	Don't Know
Depth to Water 61 FROM GRADE	Don't Know
Pump Depth	Don't Know
Pump Type <u>Submersible</u>	Don't Know
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know
Name of Well Driller	Don't Know
Date Installed	TDon't Know
I have the MOE Water Well Record Yes No	Don't Know
MOE Water Well Record Number	Don't Know
Type of Water Use: Domestic Farm Irrigation Industrial Other	
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter	Aluminum Oxide
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange	
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know
MTE#	
Roord FEB 0 3 20)21

Condition of Well Casing Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know
Other
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes
Any problems with water quality in the past? 🗌 Yes 🛂 No
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria
Other
Location of Septic Bed_Behind House
Potential Sources of Contamination: barn manure pile gas tanks heating oil tank
Other
Is the well easily accessible?
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well?
Describe Well Location Behind Stop
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:
WELL SH 160' P 160'
HOUSE SHOUL DICE SIDE RD
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>

<u> Water Well Inventory – Page 1</u>

4

.

Resident Name		
911 Number 1866 Road Shouldice	Rd	
Address <u>Cambridge</u> , ON		
NIR 555		
Phone Numberemail		
Property Owner: Yes No If No, Property Owner's Name	07110 1000	
Previous Property Owners		
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know	
Type of Well: 🚺 Drilled 🗌 Dug 🛄 Sand Point 🔲 Other	Don't Know	
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches	3 feet Don't Know	
Depth of Well	Don't Know	
Depth to Water	Don't Know	
Pump Depth	Don't Know	
Ритр Туре	Don't Know	
Water Source: Bedrock Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know	
Name of Well Driller	Don't Know	
Date Installed	Don't Know	
I have the MOE Water Well Record See See See Sec.	Don't Know	
MOE Water Well Record Number	Don't Know	
Type of Water Use: 🗹 Domestic 🗌 Farm 🔲 Irrigation 🔲 Industrial 🗌 Other		
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Fibe	er Filter Aluminum Oxide	
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation		
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know	
N	ATE#	
Regid	FEBUDZUZI	

.

÷

46639-100

Condition of Well Casing Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know		
Other		
Any problems with water quantity in the past? Yes No		
Any problems with water quality in the past? Yes		
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria		
Other		
Location of Septic Bed		
Potential Sources of Contamination:barnmanure pilegas tanksheating oil tank		
Other		
Is the well easily accessible? Ves No		
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well?		
Describe Well Location Rear vard		
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:		
septic		
P		
House		
Work		
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>		

_

Resident Name		
911 Number 1354 Road Spraques rd		
AddressCambridge		
Phone Number mail		
Property Owner: XYes No If No, Property Owner's Name		
Previous Property Owners		
Number of Wells on property	Don't Know	
Type of Well: 🕅 Drilled 🗌 Dug 🔲 Sand Point 🔲 Other	Don't Know	
Diameter of Well: 2 inches 4 inches 6 inches 8 inches 3 feet	t Don't Know	
Depth of Well <u>245 fl</u>	Don't Know	
Depth to Water	Don't Know	
Pump Depth <u>80 FL</u>	Don't Know	
Pump Type <u>Sub murschel</u>	Don't Know	
Water Source: 🔀 Bedrock 🗌 Sand/Gravel/Overburden	Don't Know	
Name of Well Driller <u>JL Graham</u> Guelph	Don't Know	
Date Installed Sept 30 - 1960	Don't Know	
I have the MOE Water Well Record 🔲 Yes 🖾 No	Don't Know	
MOE Water Well Record Number	Don't Know	
Type of Water Use: 🔟 Domestic 🗌 Farm 🛄 Irrigation 🔲 Industrial 🗌 Other		
Water Treatment: Softener Sand Filter Carbon Filter Filter Aluminum Oxide		
UV Reverse Osmosis Distillation Ion Exchange Ozonation		
Other Water Treatment	Don't Know	

Condition of Well Casing 🕅 Good Buried Corroded Seized Broken Don't Know		
Other		
Any problems with water quantity in the past? 🗍Yes 🖾No		
Any problems with water quality in the past?		
If yes, what type ? Sulphur smell Iron taste Brown water Bacteria		
Other		
Location of Septic Bed more then 50 Ft		
Potential Sources of Contamination: Darn manure pile gas tanks heating oil tank		
Other		
Is the well easily accessible? 🕅 Yes 🗌 No		
If Yes, may we measure the water level in this well? Yes No No Describe Well Location in the pressence of the owner		
Sketch a diagram to show the location of well(s), house, buildings, road(s), and septic bed:		
Important!! Learn to protect your water supply. Ask us for an information package or visit: <u>www.gca.ca</u> <u>www.wellaware.ca</u>		



Golder 2020 Monitoring Report







LEGEND

- Sector 2017 Expansion Pit Monitoring Well
- Section 2017 Existing Pit Monitoring Well
- Decommissioned Well (Sept. 2010)
- -- LHCAI Existing Licence Boundary
- LHCAI Expansion Licence Boundary
- Licensed Boundary (Owned by Others) - -
- Limit of Extraction Boundary



REFERENCE

Base Data - MNR NRVIS, obtained 2004, CANMAP v2006.4 Produced by Golder Associates Ltd under licence from Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, © Queens Printer 2008 Data within study area provided by Cambridge Aggregates, 2008 and 2013. Imagery - ESRI World Imagery, 2017 Projection: Transverse Mercator Datum: NAD 83 Coordinate System: UTM Zone 17 100 150 50 25 0 50 SCALE 1:5,000 METRES PROJECT LEHIGH HANSON/CAMBRIDGE AGGREGATES INC. NORTH DUMFRIES PIT TITLE SITE PLAN

	PROJECT NO. 19121075		SCALE AS SHOWN	REV. 0.0	
💊 GOLDER	DESIGN	KD	21 Mar. 2021		
	GIS	JMC	21 Mar. 2021	FICUDE	. 2
	CHECK	GP	21 Mar. 2021	FIGURE	Z
Mississauga, Ontario	REVIEW	GP	21 Mar. 2021		







Aquifer Test Data Sheets























Certificates of Analysis




MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) ATTN: FRASER CUMMINGS 520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9 Date Received: 23- APR-21 Report Date: 03- MAY-21 12:20 (MT) Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 519-743-6500

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L2579646

Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc: NOT SUBMITTED 46639- 100 20- 894223

Harses

Emily Hansen Account Manager [This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 60 Northland Road, Unit 1, Waterloo, ON N2V 2B8 Canada | Phone: +1 519 886 6910 | Fax: +1 519 886 9047 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

Environmental 🐊

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-1 MW101-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 14:36 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	6.8		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	873		3.0	umhos/cm		26-APR-21	R5441631
Hardness (as CaCO3)	388		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
pH	7.67		0.10	pH units		26-APR-21	R5441631
Total Dissolved Solids	518	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	57.4		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440782
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	281		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441631
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441175
Chloride (Cl)	99.6		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Fluoride (F)	0.067		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrate (as N)	6.79		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	20.9		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	0.0089		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00011		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.136		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	0.0000096		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	98.4		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00071		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.000093		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	34.7		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00139		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000220		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.26		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000228		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	5.57		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	37.1		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-1 MW101-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 14:36							
Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.135		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Litanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000403		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	0.0046		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bonzono	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/l			D5442506
Ethylhonzono	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		23-AFIX-21	R5442590
Toluono	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		23-AFR-21	R3442390
	<0.30		0.50	ug/L		29-AFR-21	R3442390
	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		29-AFR-21	R3442390
Yulanas (Total)	<0.40	OWF	0.40	ug/L		29-AFR-21	K3442390
Surragata: 4 Promofluorobonzono	<0.50		70.120	0/L		29-AFR-21	DE442506
Surregete: 1.4 Diffuerebenzene	114.2		70-130	70 0/		29-APR-21	R3442390
Hvdrocarbons	101.6		70-130	70		29-APR-21	K0442090
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ua/l		29-APR-21	R5442596
F1-BTFX	<25		25	ug/L		29-APR-21	110112000
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/l	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ua/l	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ua/L		29-APR-21	
Chrom, to baseline at nC50	YES		0.0	9	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	86.0		60-140	%	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 3.4-Dichlorotoluene	102.2		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
L2579646-2 MW102-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 13:42 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	36.4		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	578		3.0	umhos/cm		26-APR-21	R5441615
Hardness (as CaCO3)	333		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
Hq	7.76		0.10	pH units		26-APR-21	R5441615
Total Dissolved Solids	369	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	200		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440781
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	270		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441615
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441175
Chloride (Cl)	9.60		0.50	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976

L2579646 CONTD.... PAGE 4 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-2 MW102-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 13:42 Matrix: WATER							
Anions and Nutrients							
Fluoride (F)	0.077		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrate (as N)	4.65		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	32.7		0.30	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved	0.0718		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00018		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.235		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	0.0000073		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	80.3		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	0.00052		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00037		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.063		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.00173		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	32.1		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00780		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000415		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.23		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000144		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.59		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	2.69		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.103		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	0.00246		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000578		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0055		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Volatile Organic Compounds							

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-2 MW102-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 13:42 Matrix: WATER							
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103.1		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	101.1		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		29-APR-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	88.8		60-140	%	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	97.1		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
L2579646-3 MW103-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 16:26 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	<2.0		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	598		3.0	umhos/cm		26-APR-21	R5441631
Hardness (as CaCO3)	336		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
рН	7.80		0.10	pH units		26-APR-21	R5441631
Total Dissolved Solids	343	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	20.2		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440782
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	296		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441631
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.039		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441175
Chloride (Cl)	10.2		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Fluoride (F)	0.044		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrate (as N)	5.41		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	10.9		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00012		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-3 MW103-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 16:26							
Matrix: WATER							
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.0749		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	78.9		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	0.00065		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00030		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	33.9		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000218		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	0.896		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000240		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.18		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	3.51		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0884		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000355		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0023		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
o-Xylene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Xylenes (Total)	<0 <u>.</u> 50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103.9		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.1		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25		25	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-3 MW103-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 16:26							
Mainx. WATER							
	-25		25	ug/l			
	<20		20	ug/L		23-AFR-21	D5441677
F2 (C16 C34)	<100		100	ug/L	20-AFR-21	27-AFR-21	R3441077
F4 (C34 C50)	<250		250	ug/L	20-AFR-21	27-AFR-21	R0441077
Tatal Hydrocarbons (C6 C50)	<230		250	ug/L	20-AF N-2 I	20 ADD 21	K3441077
Chrom to baseline at $p(50)$	<370 VES		370	uy/L		23-AFR-21	D5441677
Surrogate: 2 Bromohonzotrifluoride	123		60 1 40	0/_	20-AFIX-21	27-AFIC-21	R5441077
Surrogate: 3.4-Dichlorotoluene	102.5		60 140	70 0/	20-4111-21	20 APP 21	D5442506
	102.5		00-140	70		23-7117-21	N3442390
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 17:42 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	<2.0		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	595		3.0	umhos/cm		26-APR-21	R5441631
Hardness (as CaCO3)	325		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
рН	7.81		0.10	pH units		26-APR-21	R5441631
Total Dissolved Solids	346	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	4.03		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440782
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	265		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441631
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441175
Chloride (Cl)	16.7		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Fluoride (F)	0.061		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrate (as N)	9.10		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	11.9		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.0615		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	75.5		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00042		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577

L2579646 CONTD.... PAGE 8 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-4 MW104-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 17:42							
Dissolved Metals							
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0 000050	ma/l	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	33.2		0.0050	ma/l	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	ma/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000165		0.000050	ma/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	0.927		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000300		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	4.71		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	2.91		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0740		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000285		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0025		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
o-Xylene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101.8		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	99.8		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
F1 (C6-C10)	<25		25	ua/l		29-APR-21	P5442506
F1_BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		20-APR-21	N3442390
F2 (C10-C16)	<25		20 100	ug/L	26-APR-21	23-AFR-21	R5441677
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L	20701021	29-APR-21	
Chrom to baseline at nC50	YES		010	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	84 6		60-140	%	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	102.4		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
L2579646-5 MW105-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 10:00 Matrix: WATER	102.7			~~~~~		20 / 11 / 21	

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-5 MW105-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 10:00							
Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	38.1		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	842		3.0	umhos/cm		24-APR-21	R5441402
Hardness (as CaCO3)	354		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
рН	7.77		0.10	pH units		24-APR-21	R5441402
Total Dissolved Solids	502	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	238		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440781
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	284		10	mg/L		24-APR-21	R5441402
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		01-MAY-21	R5445318
Chloride (Cl)	94.4		0.50	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Fluoride (F)	0.065		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrate (as N)	7.76		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	9.82		0.30	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	0.0061		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00016		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.190		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	90.5		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	0.00059		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00084		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.011		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.000065		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	31.1		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00395		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000429		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.48		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000239		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.63		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	39.0		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-5 MW105-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 10:00							
Matrix: WATER							
Dissoived Metals	0.400		0.0040				DE 444577
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.122		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	0.000013		0.000010	mg/L	20-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titonium (Ti) Dissolved	0.00016		0.00010	mg/L	20-APR-21	20-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lingsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000530		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	0.0083		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bonzono	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/l			D5442506
Ethylhonzono	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-AFR-21	R3442390
	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
o-xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
m+p-xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Aylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	55440500
Surrogate: 4-Bromotiuorobenzene	100.7		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.4		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
	-25		25	ug/l			DE442506
	<25	000	25	ug/L		23-AFIX-21	K0442090
F2 (C10 C16)	<25		20	ug/L		23-AFIX-21	D5441677
F3 (C16 C34)	<100		250	ug/L	20-AFR-21	27-AFR-21	R3441077
F3 (C10-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	20-APR-21	27-APR-21	R0441077
F4 (C34-C30)	<250		250	ug/L	20-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441077
Chrom to baseline at pC50	<370 VE0		370	ug/L		29-AFR-21	DE444077
Surragete: 2 Promobenzatrifluoride	TES OF O		CO 140	0/	20-APR-21	27-APR-21	R0441077
Surregate: 2-Bromobenzoumuonde	85.9		60-140	%	20-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
	82.7		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
L2579646-6 MW106-20 Sampled By: TEC on 22-APR-21 @ 12:20							
Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	37.1		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	594		3.0	umhos/cm		26-APR-21	R5441615
Hardness (as CaCO3)	360		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
рН	7.61		0.10	pH units		26-APR-21	R5441615
Total Dissolved Solids	351	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	866		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440781
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	385		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441615
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.033		0.010	mg/L		01-MAY-21	R5445318
Chloride (Cl)	5.02		0.50	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976

Sample Details	/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-6 Sampled By: Matrix:	MW106-20 TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 12:20 WATER							
Anions and	Nutrients							
Fluoride (F)		0.065		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrate (as N	1)	5.04		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Orthophosp	, hate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO	4)	12.0		0.30	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Dissolved N	letals				Ū			
Dissolved M	letals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (/	AI)-Dissolved	0.0233		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (S	b)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As))-Dissolved	0.00015		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)	-Dissolved	0.104		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (B	e)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-D	issolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (C	Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca	a)-Dissolved	87.8		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-	Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu))-Dissolved	0.00032		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dis	ssolved	0.031		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-D	bissolved	0.000157		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium	(Mg)-Dissolved	34.3		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese	(Mn)-Dissolved	0.00529		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenun	n (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000317		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-E	Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus	(P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.03		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (S	Se)-Dissolved	0.000207		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-l	Dissolved	6.40		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-[Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	2.06		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Strontium (S	Sr)-Dissolved	0.101		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (Tl)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tin (Sn)-Dis	solved	0.00024		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	0.00086		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tungsten (V	V)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U))-Dissolved	0.000508		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zinc (Zn)-Di	ssolved	0.0020		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Z	Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Volatile Org	anic Compounds							

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-6 MW106-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 12:20 Matrix: WATER							
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101.0		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.0		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		29-APR-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	86.8		60-140	%	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	74.8		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
L2579646-7 MW107-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 11:00 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	56.6		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	1060		3.0	umhos/cm		24-APR-21	R5441406
Hardness (as CaCO3)	394		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
рН	7.72		0.10	pH units		24-APR-21	R5441406
Total Dissolved Solids	648	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	843		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440781
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	299		10	mg/L		24-APR-21	R5441406
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.012		0.010	mg/L		01-MAY-21	R5445318
Chloride (Cl)	156		0.50	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Fluoride (F)	0.064		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrate (as N)	8.37		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	17.1		0.30	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Dissolved Metals							
	FIELD		0.00			26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
	0.00014		0.00010	mg/L	20-APR-21	26-APR-21	K5441577

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-7 MW107-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 11:00							
Matrix: WATER							
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.209		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (BI)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	103		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	0.00053		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	<0.00020		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	33.2		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.0103		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000430		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	0.00059		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.79		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000238		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.69		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	72.4		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.183		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000551		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0015		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102.3		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.1		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5442596

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-7 MW107-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 11:00							
Hydrocarbons							
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ua/l		29-APR-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES			0	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	88.0		60-140	%	26-APR-21	27-APR-21	R5441677
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	86.9		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5442596
L2579646-8 MW108-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 12:55 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	31.9		2.0	CU		23-APR-21	R5440632
Conductivity	558		3.0	umhos/cm		26-APR-21	R5441615
Hardness (as CaCO3)	337		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	
рН	7.69		0.10	pH units		26-APR-21	R5441615
Total Dissolved Solids	361	DLDS	20	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441806
Turbidity	211		0.10	NTU	24-APR-21	24-APR-21	R5440781
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	304		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441615
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		01-MAY-21	R5445318
Chloride (Cl)	6.38		0.50	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Fluoride (F)	0.068		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrate (as N)	6.28		0.020	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	10.4		0.30	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441976
Dissolved Metals							D5441400
Aluminum (Al) Dissolved			0.0050	ma/l		20-AFR-21	R3441420
Antimony (Sh)-Dissolved	~0.0010		0.0050	mg/L	20-AFR-21	20-AFR-21	R5441577
Arcenic (As)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	20-AFIX-21	20-AFT-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.00013		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bervllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.000000		0.010	ma/l	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	0.000095		0.000050	ma/l	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	83.9		0.050	ma/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	ma/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	ma/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00106		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.014		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-8 MW108-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 12:55							
Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.000081		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	31.0		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00882		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000417		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (NI)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	20-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.22		0.050	mg/∟	20-APR-21	20-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si) Dissolved	0.000196		0.000050	mg/L	20-APR-21	20-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (SI)-Dissolved	6.05		0.000	mg/L		20-APR-21	R0441077
Soliver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	20-AFR-21	20-AFR-21	R3441377
Strontium (Sr) Dissolved	1.80		0.0010	mg/L	20-APR-21	20-APR-21	R0441077
Thallium (TI) Dissolved	<0.100		0.0010	mg/L	20-AFR-21	20-AFR-21	R3441377
Tin (Sp) Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	20-AFR-21	20-AFR-21	R3441377
Titanium (Ti) Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	20-AFR-21	20-AFR-21	R3441377
	<0.00037		0.00030	mg/L	20-AFIX-21	20-AFIX-21	R5441577
Iranium (II)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.000479		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	D5441577
	<0.00030		0.00000	mg/L	20-ALIX-21	20-ALIX-21	D5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.0027		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	D5441577
Volatile Organic Compounds	~0.00030		0.00030	ilig/L	20-4111-21	20-7117-21	113441377
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.4		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5443076
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	99.4		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5443076
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		29-APR-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	94.3		60-140	%	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	84.3		60-140	%		29-APR-21	R5443076
L2579646-9 MW109-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 15:28 Matrix: WATER							

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-9 MW109-20							
Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 15:28							
Matrix: WATER							
	52.6		2.0	CU		23 ADD 21	B5440622
Conductivity	576		2.0	umbos/om		25-AFIX-21	R5440032
	219		0.50	ma/l		20-AFR-21	K3441031
nH	7 73		0.50	nH unite		26-APR-21	D5441631
pri Total Dissolved Solids	290	פחום	20	pri units ma/l		20-AFIX-21	R5441051
	>1000	DEDO	20	NTH			D5440792
Anions and Nutrients	~4000		0.10	NIO		24-76-11-21	N3440762
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	400		10	mg/L		26-APR-21	R5441631
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.019		0.010	mg/L		01-MAY-21	R5445318
Chloride (CI)	12.4		0.50	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Fluoride (F)	0.066		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrate (as N)	3.62		0.020	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Nitrite (as N)	0.016		0.010	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5441758
Sulfate (SO4)	31.4		0.30	mg/L		27-APR-21	R5442659
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					26-APR-21	R5441420
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	0.0059		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00011		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.0866		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	78.6		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00084		0.00020	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	29.6		0.0050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00797		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000699		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	0.00054		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.11		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000208		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	5.66		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	5.26		0.050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577

L2579646 CONTD.... PAGE 17 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2579646-9 MW109-20 Sampled By: TFC on 22-APR-21 @ 15:28 Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.103		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000411		0.000010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0014		0.0010	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	26-APR-21	26-APR-21	R5441577
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
Toluene	0.54	OWP	0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		29-APR-21	R5443076
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		29-APR-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.9		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5443076
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	99.2		70-130	%		29-APR-21	R5443076
F1 (C6-C10)	~25	OWP	25	uo/l		20 4 00 21	B5442076
F1-BTEX	<25	0.111	25	ug/L		29-APR-21	113443070
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	P5441667
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L	20701021	29-APR-21	1007
Chrom to baseline at nC50	YES		010	ug/L	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	89.1		60-140	%	26-APR-21	28-APR-21	R5441667
Surrogate: 3.4-Dichlorotoluene	73.0		60-140	%	20741121	29-APR-21	R5443076

Reference Information

QC Samples wi	th Qualifiers & Co	mments:		
QC Type Descrip	otion	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Matrix Spike		Fluoride (F)	MS-B	L2579646-1, -3, -4, -9
Matrix Spike		Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Uranium (U)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2579646-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike		Nitrate (as N)	MS-B	L2579646-2, -5, -6, -7, -8
Sample Parame	eter Qualifier key li	isted:		
Qualifier	Description			
DLDS	Detection Limit Raise	ed: Dilution required due to high Disso	lved Solids / Electr	rical Conductivity.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recover	y could not be accurately calculated du	ue to high analyte	background in sample.
OWP	Organic water sampl substances in water	e contained visible sediment (must be can be biased high due to presence of	included as part of sediment.	of analysis). Measured concentrations of organic
Test Method Re	eferences:			
ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Refere	ence**
ALK-WT	Water	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	APHA 2320B	
This analysis is pH 4.5 endpoint BTX-511-HS-WT	carried out using proc Water	cedures adapted from APHA Method 2 BTEX by Headspace	320 "Alkalinity". To SW846 8260 (:	otal alkalinity is determined by potentiometric titration to a 511)
BTX is determin	ned by analyzing by he	eadspace-GC/MS.		
CL-IC-N-WT	Water	Chloride by IC	EPA 300.1 (mo	od)
Inorganic anions	s are analyzed by l on	Chromatography with conductivity and	l/or UV detection.	
Analysis conduct Protection Act (cted in accordance wi July 1, 2011).	th the Protocol for Analytical Methods	Used in the Asses	sment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental
COLOUR-APPAR	RENT-WT Water	Colour	APHA 2120	
Apparent Colou decanting. Colo adjustment. Co	r is measured spectro our measurements ca ncurrent measuremer	photometrically by comparison to plati n be highly pH dependent, and apply t nt of sample pH is recommended.	num-cobalt standa o the pH of the sar	ards using the single wavelength method after sample mple as received (at time of testing), without pH
EC-SCREEN-WT	Water	Conductivity Screen (Internal Use Only)	APHA 2510	
Qualitative anal	ysis of conductivity wh	nere required during preparation of oth	er tests - e.g. TDS	S, metals, etc.
EC-WT	Water	Conductivity	APHA 2510 B	
Water samples	can be measured dire	ectly by immersing the conductivity cel	l into the sample.	
F-IC-N-WT	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mo	bd)
Inorganic anions	s are analyzed by Ion	Chromatography with conductivity and	l/or UV detection.	
F1-F4-511-CALC-	-WT Water	F1-F4 Hydrocarbon Calculated Parameters	CCME CWS-P	PHC, Pub #1310, Dec 2001-L
Analytical metho	ods used for analysis	of CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons ba	ve been validated	and comply with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC
In cases where	results for both F4 an	d F4G are reported, the greater of the cannot be added to the C6 to C50 hydr	two results must b	be used in any application of the CWS PHC guidelines and

In samples where BTEX and F1 were analyzed, F1-BTEX represents a value where the sum of Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and total Xylenes has been subtracted from F1.

In samples where PAHs, F2 and F3 were analyzed, F2-Naphth represents the result where Naphthalene has been subtracted from F2. F3-PAH represents a result where the sum of Benzo(a)anthracene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene,

Reference Information

Fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, Phenanthrene, and Pyrene has been subtracted from F3.

Unless otherwise qualified, the following quality control criteria have been met for the F1 hydrocarbon range:

1. All extraction and analysis holding times were met.

2. Instrument performance showing response factors for C6 and C10 within 30% of the response factor for toluene.

3. Linearity of gasoline response within 15% throughout the calibration range.

Unless otherwise qualified, the following quality control criteria have been met for the F2-F4 hydrocarbon ranges:

1. All extraction and analysis holding times were met.

2. Instrument performance showing C10, C16 and C34 response factors within 10% of their average.

3. Instrument performance showing the C50 response factor within 30% of the average of the C10, C16 and C34 response factors.

4. Linearity of diesel or motor oil response within 15% throughout the calibration range.

F1-HS-511-WT Water F1-O.Reg 153/04 (July 2011) E3398/CCME TIER 1-HS

Fraction F1 is determined by analyzing by headspace-GC/FID.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011), unless a subset of the Analytical Test Group (ATG) has been requested (the Protocol states that all analytes in an ATG must be reported).

F2-F4-511-WT Water F2-F4-O.Reg 153/04 (July 2011) EPA 3511/CCME Tier 1

Petroleum Hydrocarbons (F2-F4 fractions) are extracted from water using a hexane micro-extraction technique. Instrumental analysis is by GC-FID, as per the iReference Method for the Canada-Wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil ñTier 1 Method, CCME, 2001.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011), unless a subset of the Analytical Test Group (ATG) has been requested (the Protocol states that all analytes in an ATG must be reported).

HARDNESS-CALC-WT Water Hardness APHA 2340 B

Hardness (also known as Total Hardness) is calculated from the sum of Calcium and Magnesium concentrations, expressed in CaCO3 equivalents. Dissolved Calcium and Magnesium concentrations are preferentially used for the hardness calculation.

MET-D-CCMS-WT Water Dissolved Metals in Water by CRC APHA 3030B/6020A (mod) ICPMS

Water samples are filtered (0.45 um), preserved with nitric acid, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS.

Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011).

NH3-F-WT Water Ammonia in Water by Fluorescence J. ENVIRON. MONIT., 2005, 7, 37-42, RSC

This analysis is carried out, on sulfuric acid preserved samples, using procedures modified from J. Environ. Monit., 2005, 7, 37 - 42, The Royal Society of Chemistry, "Flow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection for the determination of trace levels of ammonium in seawater", Roslyn J. Waston et al.

NO2-IC-WT Water Nitrite in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
--	-----------------

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

NO3-IC-WT Water Nitrate in Water by IC EPA 300.1 (mod)

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

PH-WT Water pH APHA 4500 H-Electrode

Water samples are analyzed directly by a calibrated $\ensuremath{\mathsf{p}}\xspace{\mathsf{H}}$ meter.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011). Holdtime for samples under this regulation is 28 days

PO4-DO-COL-WT	Water	Diss. Orthophosphate in Water by Colour	APHA 4500-P PHOSPHORUS

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-P "Phosphorus". Dissolved Orthophosphate is determined colourimetrically on a sample that has been lab or field filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter.

SO4-IC-N-WT Water Sulfate in Water by IC EPA 300.1 (mod)

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

SOLIDS-TDS-WT Water Total Dissolved Solids APHA 2540C

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2540 "Solids". Solids are determined gravimetrically. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) are determined by filtering a sample through a glass fibre filter, TDS is determined by evaporating the filtrate to dryness at 180 degrees celsius.

Reference Information

TURBIDITY-WT	Water	Turbidity	APHA 2130 B					
Sample result is based on a comparison of the intensity of the light scattered by the sample under defined conditions with the intensity of light scattered by a standard reference suspension under the same conditions. Sample readings are obtained from a Nephelometer.								
XYLENES-SUM-CALC- WT	Water	Sum of Xylene Isomer Concentrations	CALCULATION					
Total xylenes represents the sum of o-xylene and m&p-xylene.								
ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.								

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
WT	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

20-894223

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid weight of sample

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory. UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION. Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



		Workorder:	L257964	16	Report Date: 0	3-MAY-21		Page 1 of 15
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS 520 BINGEMANS CEN KITCHENER ON N2B	INC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE 3X9						
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
ALK-WT	Water							
Batch WG352363: Alkalinity, T	R5441402 3-4 DUP Total (as CaCO3)	WG3523633-3 284	286		mg/L	0.7	20	24-APR-21
WG352363 Alkalinity, T	3-2 LCS Fotal (as CaCO3)		103.7		%		85-115	24-APR-21
WG352363 Alkalinity, T	3-1 MB ⊺otal (as CaCO3)		<10		mg/L		10	24-APR-21
Batch WG352363 Alkalinity, T	R5441406 5-4 DUP Fotal (as CaCO3)	WG3523635-3 286	287		mg/L	0.4	20	24-APR-21
WG352363 Alkalinity, T	5-2 LCS 「otal (as CaCO3)		105.4		%		85-115	24-APR-21
WG352363 Alkalinity, T	5-1 MB Fotal (as CaCO3)		<10		mg/L		10	24-APR-21
Batch WG352408(Alkalinity, T	R5441615 0-4 DUP Total (as CaCO3)	WG3524080-3 294	281		mg/L	4.4	20	26-APR-21
WG352408 Alkalinity, T	0-2 LCS Total (as CaCO3)		101.8		%		85-115	26-APR-21
WG352408 Alkalinity, T	0-1 MB Fotal (as CaCO3)		<10		mg/L		10	26-APR-21
Batch WG352428: Alkalinity, T	R5441631 3-6 DUP Total (as CaCO3)	WG3524283-5 281	282		mg/L	0.4	20	26-APR-21
WG352428 Alkalinity, T	3-2 LCS Total (as CaCO3)		97.6		%		85-115	26-APR-21
WG352428 Alkalinity, T	3-1 MB Fotal (as CaCO3)		<10		mg/L		10	26-APR-21
BTX-511-HS-W	VT Water							
Batch	R5442596							
WG352549 Benzene	5-4 DUP	WG3525495-3 <0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	28-APR-21
Ethylbenze	ne	<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	28-APR-21
m+p-Xylen	es	<0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	28-APR-21
o-Xylene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	28-APR-21
Toluene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	28-APR-21
WG352549 Benzene	5-1 LCS		98.9		%		70-130	28-APR-21



		Workorder:	L257964	6	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 2 of 15
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS 520 BINGEMANS CEN KITCHENER ON N2B	INC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE 3X9						
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
BTX-511-HS-WT	Water							
Batch I	R5442596							
WG3525495-1	LCS		80.3		0/		70 420	
m+n-Xylenes			92.6		70 %		70-130	28-APR-21
o-Xvlene			90.5		%		70-130	20-AFR-21
Toluene			87.1		%		70-130	28-APR-21
WG3525495-2	2 MB						70 100	20741121
Benzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	28-APR-21
Ethylbenzene	•		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	28-APR-21
m+p-Xylenes			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	28-APR-21
o-Xylene			<0.30		ug/L		0.3	28-APR-21
Toluene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	28-APR-21
Surrogate: 1,4	4-Difluorobenzene		100.2		%		70-130	28-APR-21
Surrogate: 4-	Bromofluorobenzene		100.8		%		70-130	28-APR-21
WG3525495-5	5 MS	WG3525495-3	00.0		0/			
Benzene			98.6		% 0/		50-140	28-APR-21
	•		87.1		% 0/		50-140	28-APR-21
n+p-xylenes			90.3		70 0/		50-140	28-APR-21
Toluono			84.7		70 0/		50-140	28-APR-21
Toldene			04.7		70		50-140	28-APR-21
Batch I	R5443076	WC2526024.2						
Benzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	29-APR-21
Ethylbenzene	•	<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	29-APR-21
m+p-Xylenes		<0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	29-APR-21
o-Xylene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	29-APR-21
Toluene		0.54	0.54		ug/L	0.0	30	29-APR-21
WG3526021-1 Benzene	LCS		103.2		%		70-130	29-APR-21
Ethylbenzene	1		90.7		%		70-130	29-APR-21
m+p-Xylenes			89.7		%		70-130	29-APR-21
o-Xylene			89.0		%		70-130	29-APR-21
Toluene			97.3		%		70-130	29-APR-21
WG3526021-2 Benzene	2 MB		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	29-APR-21
Ethylbenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	29-APR-21



			Workorder:	L257964	-6	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 3 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS IN EMANS CENTF ER ON N2B 32	C. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE X9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
BTX-511-HS-WT	-	Water							
Batch	R5443076								
WG3526021-	2 MB								
m+p-Xylenes	5			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	29-APR-21
o-Xylene				<0.30		ug/L		0.3	29-APR-21
Toluene				<0.50		ug/L		0.5	29-APR-21
Surrogate: 1,	4-Difluorob	enzene		98.5		%		70-130	29-APR-21
Surrogate: 4-	Bromofluor	obenzene		96.6		%		70-130	29-APR-21
WG3526021-	5 MS		WG3526021-3	104.6		0/		50 440	
Ethylbenzen	.			03.7		76 9/2		50-140	29-APR-21
				93.7		70 0/2		50-140	29-APR-21
o-Xylene				93.1		%		50-140	29-AFR-21
Toluene				99.95		%		50-140	29-AFR-21
		Water		00.00		,,,		30-140	23-4111-21
Batch	R5441976	Wator							
WG3524393-	19 DUP		WG3524393-18	3					
Chloride (CI)			9.57	9.59		mg/L	0.1	20	26-APR-21
WG3524393 - Chloride (Cl)	17 LCS			101.0		%		90-110	26-APR-21
-0.000 WG3524393 Chloride (Cl)	16 MB			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	26-APR-21
WG3524393- Chloride (Cl)	20 MS		WG3524393-18	3 101.2		%		75-125	26-APR-21
Batch	R5442659								
WG3525158- Chloride (Cl)	10 DUP		WG3525158-8 <0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-21
WG3525158- Chloride (Cl)	7 LCS			101.3		%		90-110	27-APR-21
WG3525158- Chloride (Cl)	6 MB			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	27-APR-21
WG3525158- Chloride (Cl)	9 MS		WG3525158-8	101.3		%		75-125	27-APR-21
COLOUR-APPA	RENT-WT	Water							
Batch	R5440632								
WG3523436- Colour, Appa	3 DUP		L2579629-1 <2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	CU	N/A	20	23-APR-21
WG3523436- Colour, Appa	2 LCS			102.7		%		85-115	23-APR-21



			Workorder:	L257964	6	Repor	t Date: 03	-MAY-21		Page 4 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS INC. EMANS CENTRE ER ON N2B 3X9	(Kitchener) DRIVE							
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS								
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Ur	nits	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
COLOUR-APPA	RENT-WT	Water								
Batch	R5440632									
WG3523436- Colour, Appa	1 MB rent			<2.0		C	J		2	23-APR-21
EC-WT		Water								
Batch	R5441402									
WG3523633- Conductivity	4 DUP		WG3523633-3 2060	2080		ur	nhos/cm	1.0	10	24-APR-21
WG3523633-2 Conductivity	2 LCS			102.6		%			90-110	24-APR-21
WG3523633- Conductivity	1 MB			<3.0		ur	nhos/cm		3	24-APR-21
Batch	R5441406									
WG3523635- Conductivity	4 DUP		WG3523635-3 1550	1550		ur	nhos/cm	0.1	10	24-APR-21
WG3523635-2 Conductivity	2 LCS			100.6		%			90-110	24-APR-21
WG3523635- Conductivity	1 MB			<3.0		ur	nhos/cm		3	24-APR-21
Batch	R5441615									
WG3524080-4 Conductivity	4 DUP		WG3524080-3 970	980		ur	nhos/cm	1.0	10	26-APR-21
WG3524080-2 Conductivity	2 LCS			101.4		%			90-110	26-APR-21
Batch	R5441631									
WG3524283- Conductivity	6 DUP		WG3524283-5 873	870		ur	nhos/cm	0.3	10	26-APR-21
WG3524283-2 Conductivity	2 LCS			99.3		%			90-110	26-APR-21
WG3524283- Conductivity	1 MB			<3.0		ur	nhos/cm		3	26-APR-21
F-IC-N-WT		Water								
Batch	R5441976									
WG3524393- Fluoride (F)	19 DUP		WG3524393-18 0.077	3 0.077		m	g/L	0.8	20	26-APR-21
WG3524393- Fluoride (F)	17 LCS			102.0		%			90-110	26-APR-21
WG3524393-	16 MB								0.02	



			Workorder: I	L2579646	6 R	eport Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 5 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS INC. EMANS CENTRE ER ON N2B 3XS	. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
F-IC-N-WT		Water							
Batch R WG3524393-1 Fluoride (F)	85441976 6 MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	26-APR-21
WG3524393-2 Fluoride (F)	0 MS		WG3524393-18	3 99.4		%		75-125	26-APR-21
Batch R	5442659								
WG3525158-1	0 DUP		WG3525158-8	4.00					
			1.08	1.08		mg/L	0.0	20	27-APR-21
Fluoride (F)	LCS			101.8		%		90-110	27-APR-21
WG3525158-6 Fluoride (F)	MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	27-APR-21
WG3525158-9 Fluoride (F)	MS		WG3525158-8	N/A	MS-B	%		-	27-APR-21
F1-HS-511-WT		Water							
Batch R	5442596								
WG3525495-4 F1 (C6-C10)	DUP		WG3525495-3 <25	<25	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	28-APR-21
WG3525495-1 F1 (C6-C10)	LCS			89.0		%		80-120	28-APR-21
WG3525495-2	MB			<25		ug/l		25	
Surrogate: 3.4	-Dichlorot	oluene		106.7		%		20 60-140	20-AFR-21
WG3525495-5 F1 (C6-C10)	MS		WG3525495-3	88.6		%		60-140	28-APR-21
Batch R	5443076							00 110	20741121
WG3526021-4 F1 (C6-C10)	DUP		WG3526021-3 <25	<25	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	29-APR-21
WG3526021-1 F1 (C6-C10)	LCS			91.4		%		80-120	29-APR-21
WG3526021-2 F1 (C6-C10)	MB			<25		ug/L		25	29-APR-21
Surrogate: 3,4	-Dichlorot	oluene		112.9		%		60-140	29-APR-21
WG3526021-5 F1 (C6-C10)	MS		WG3526021-3	80.1		%		60-140	29-APR-21

F2-F4-511-WT

Water



			Workorder:	L2579646	;	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 6 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS INC. EMANS CENTRE ER ON N2B 3X9	(Kitchener) EDRIVE						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
F2-F4-511-WT		Water							
Batch	R5441667								
WG3524185	-2 LCS			100.1		0/			
F2 (C10-C1)	6) 4)			100.1		%		70-130	27-APR-21
F3 (C16-C3	4)			100.9		%		70-130	27-APR-21
F4 (C34-C5	0) 			104.3		70		70-130	27-APR-21
F2 (C10-C1	-1 MB 6)			<100		ug/L		100	27-APR-21
F3 (C16-C3	4)			<250		ug/L		250	27-APR-21
F4 (C34-C5	0)			<250		ug/L		250	27-APR-21
Surrogate: 2	2-Bromobenz	zotrifluoride		91.5		%		60-140	27-APR-21
Batch	R5441677								
WG3523856	-2 LCS								
F2 (C10-C1	6)			98.6		%		70-130	27-APR-21
F3 (C16-C3	4)			100.1		%		70-130	27-APR-21
F4 (C34-C5	0)			103.9		%		70-130	27-APR-21
WG3523856 F2 (C10-C1	- 1 MB 6)			<100		ug/L		100	27-APR-21
F3 (C16-C3	4)			<250		ug/L		250	27-APR-21
F4 (C34-C5	0)			<250		ug/L		250	27-APR-21
Surrogate: 2	2-Bromobenz	zotrifluoride		88.6		%		60-140	27-APR-21
MET-D-CCMS-\	NT	Water							
Batch	R5441577								
WG3524311 Aluminum (/	-4 DUP AI)-Dissolvec	ł	WG3524311-3 0.0089	0.0087		mg/L	2.7	20	26-APR-21
Antimony (S	b)-Dissolved	t	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Arsenic (As))-Dissolved		0.00011	0.00011		mg/L	5.2	20	26-APR-21
Barium (Ba)	-Dissolved		0.136	0.135		mg/L	0.9	20	26-APR-21
Beryllium (B	e)-Dissolved	i	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Bismuth (Bi))-Dissolved		<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Boron (B)-D	issolved		<0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Cadmium (C	Cd)-Dissolve	d	0.0000096	0.0000089)	mg/L	7.6	20	26-APR-21
Calcium (Ca	a)-Dissolved		98.4	98.8		mg/L	0.4	20	26-APR-21
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolve	d	<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Cobalt (Co)-	-Dissolved		<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Copper (Cu))-Dissolved		0.00071	0.00071		mg/L	0.6	20	26-APR-21
Iron (Fe)-Dis	ssolved		<0 <u>.</u> 010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21



Client:

Contact:

Batch

MET-D-CCMS-WT

Test

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2579646 Report Date: 03-MAY-21 Page 7 of 15 MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) 520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9 FRASER CUMMINGS Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed Water R5441577 WG3524311-4 DUP WG3524311-3 Lead (Pb)-Dissolved 0.000093 0.000092 mg/L 0.4 20 26-APR-21 Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved 34.7 34.3 mg/L 1.0 20 26-APR-21 Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved 0.00139 0.00148 mg/L 6.3 20 26-APR-21 Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved 0.000220 0.000222 mg/L 0.6 20 26-APR-21

Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.26	1.28		mg/L	1.0	20	26-APR-21
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000228	0.000226		mg/L	1.2	20	26-APR-21
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	5.57	5.58		mg/L	0.2	20	26-APR-21
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	37.1	36.7		mg/L	1.2	20	26-APR-21
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.135	0.134		mg/L	1.0	20	26-APR-21
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010	<0.000010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030	<0.00030	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000403	0.000410		mg/L	1.8	20	26-APR-21
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0046	0.0046		mg/L	1.4	20	26-APR-21
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00020	<0.00020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
WG3524311-2 LCS Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved		99.4		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved		100.0		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved		95.8		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved		98.7		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved		101.2		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved		92.6		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Boron (B)-Dissolved		94.9		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved		95.0		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved		100.9		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved		92.0		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved		92.3		%		80-120	26-APR-21
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved		90.9		%		80-120	26-APR-21



Test

Batch

Vanadium (V)-Dissolved

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2579646 Report Date: 03-MAY-21 Page 8 of 15 MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) Client: **520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE** KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9 Contact: FRASER CUMMINGS Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed MET-D-CCMS-WT Water R5441577 WG3524311-2 LCS Iron (Fe)-Dissolved 91.3 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Lead (Pb)-Dissolved 94.2 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved 97.3 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved 94.0 % 80-120 26-APR-21 % Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved 101.3 80-120 26-APR-21 Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved 90.7 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved % 94.7 80-120 26-APR-21 Potassium (K)-Dissolved 97.8 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Selenium (Se)-Dissolved 95.4 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Silicon (Si)-Dissolved 98.5 % 60-140 26-APR-21 Silver (Ag)-Dissolved 102.4 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Sodium (Na)-Dissolved 95.9 % 80-120 26-APR-21 106.9 Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved % 80-120 26-APR-21 Thallium (TI)-Dissolved 95.4 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Tin (Sn)-Dissolved 96.3 % 80-120 26-APR-21 Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved 90.2 % 80-120 26-APR-21 % Tungsten (W)-Dissolved 94.9 80-120 26-APR-21 Uranium (U)-Dissolved 95.2 % 80-120 26-APR-21

%

80-120

26-APR-21

Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved 100.2 % 80-120 Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved 100.1 % 80-120 WG3524311-1 MR Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved <0.0050 mg/L 0.005 Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved < 0.00010 mg/L 0.0001 Arsenic (As)-Dissolved < 0.00010 mg/L 0.0001 0.0001 Barium (Ba)-Dissolved < 0.00010 mg/L 0.0001 Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved < 0.00010 mg/L Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved <0.000050 0.00005 mg/L Boron (B)-Dissolved < 0.010 mg/L 0.01 0.000005 Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved <0.0000050 mg/L Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved <0.050 0.05 mg/L Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved < 0.00050 mg/L 0.0005 0.0001 Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved < 0.00010 mg/L 0.0002 Copper (Cu)-Dissolved < 0.00020 mg/L

95.5



		Workorder:	L2579646	6	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 9 of 15
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS IN 520 BINGEMANS CENT KITCHENER ON N2B 3	IC. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE 8X9						
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-D-CCMS	S-WT Water							
Batch WG352431 Iron (Fe)-I	R5441577 I 1-1 MB Dissolved		<0.010		mg/L		0.01	26-APR-21
Lead (Pb)	-Dissolved		<0.000050)	- mg/L		0.00005	26-APR-21
Magnesiur	m (Mg)-Dissolved		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	26-APR-21
Manganes	e (Mn)-Dissolved		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	26-APR-21
Molybden	um (Mo)-Dissolved		<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	26-APR-21
Nickel (Ni)	-Dissolved		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	26-APR-21
Phosphoru	us (P)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	26-APR-21
Potassium	n (K)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	26-APR-21
Selenium	(Se)-Dissolved		<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	26-APR-21
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	26-APR-21
Silver (Ag))-Dissolved		<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	26-APR-21
Sodium (N	la)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	26-APR-21
Strontium	(Sr)-Dissolved		<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	26-APR-21
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved		<0.000010)	mg/L		0.00001	26-APR-21
Tin (Sn)-D	vissolved		<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	26-APR-21
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved		<0.00030		mg/L		0.0003	26-APR-21
Tungsten	(W)-Dissolved		<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	26-APR-21
Uranium (U)-Dissolved		<0.000010)	mg/L		0.00001	26-APR-21
Vanadium	(V)-Dissolved		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	26-APR-21
Zinc (Zn)-I	Dissolved		<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	26-APR-21
Zirconium	(Zr)-Dissolved		<0.00020		mg/L		0.0002	26-APR-21
WG352431	1-5 MS	WG3524311-6						
Aluminum	(AI)-Dissolved		91.6		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Antimony	(Sb)-Dissolved		101.8		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Arsenic (A	s)-Dissolved		100.9		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Barium (B	a)-Dissolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	26-APR-21
Beryllium	(Be)-Dissolved		98.9		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Bismuth (B	3i)-Dissolved		82.4		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Boron (B)-	Dissolved		91.4		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Cadmium	(Cd)-Dissolved		94.9		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Calcium (0	Ca)-Dissolved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	26-APR-21
Chromium	(Cr)-Dissolved		91.8		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Cobalt (Co	o)-Dissolved		91.4		%		70-130	26-APR-21
Copper (C	u)-Dissolved		95.7		%		70-130	27-APR-21



Workorder: L2579646 Report Date: 03-MAY-21 Page 10 of 15 MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) Client: **520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE** KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9 Contact: FRASER CUMMINGS Test Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed MET-D-CCMS-WT Water R5441577 Batch WG3524311-5 MS WG3524311-6 Iron (Fe)-Dissolved N/A % MS-B 26-APR-21 _ Lead (Pb)-Dissolved 86.1 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved N/A MS-B % 26-APR-21 Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved 96.0 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved % 104.6 70-130 26-APR-21 Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved 88.6 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved % 100.9 70-130 26-APR-21 Potassium (K)-Dissolved 103.5 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Selenium (Se)-Dissolved 103.3 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Silicon (Si)-Dissolved N/A MS-B % -26-APR-21 Silver (Ag)-Dissolved 104.9 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Sodium (Na)-Dissolved N/A MS-B % 26-APR-21 Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved N/A MS-B % -26-APR-21 Thallium (TI)-Dissolved % 91.8 70-130 26-APR-21 Tin (Sn)-Dissolved 96.0 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved 94.3 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Tungsten (W)-Dissolved % 94.8 70-130 26-APR-21 Uranium (U)-Dissolved N/A MS-B % 26-APR-21 -Vanadium (V)-Dissolved 96.6 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved 77.7 % 70-130 26-APR-21 Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved 104.6 % 70-130 26-APR-21 NH3-F-WT Water R5441175 Batch WG3523559-3 DUP L2578321-15 0.013 0.014 Ammonia, Total (as N) mg/L 4.5 20 26-APR-21 WG3523559-2 LCS % Ammonia, Total (as N) 106.6 85-115 26-APR-21 WG3523559-1 MB Ammonia, Total (as N) < 0.010 mg/L 0.01 26-APR-21 WG3523559-4 L2578321-15 MS Ammonia, Total (as N) 108.7 % 75-125 26-APR-21 Batch R5445318 WG3525861-3 L2579947-3 DUP <0.010 <0.010 Ammonia, Total (as N) mg/L **RPD-NA** N/A 20 01-MAY-21 WG3525861-2 LCS



			Workorder:	L257964	6 R	eport Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 11 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	NSULTANTS INC EMANS CENTR IER ON N2B 3X	2. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 39						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NH3-F-WT		Water							
Batch WG3525861-2 Ammonia, To	R5445318 2 LCS otal (as N)			108.3		%		85-115	01-MAY-21
WG3525861- Ammonia, To	1 MB otal (as N)			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	01-MAY-21
WG3525861- Ammonia, To	4 MS otal (as N)		L2579947-3	103.0		%		75-125	01-MAY-21
NO2-IC-WT		Water							
Batch	R5441976								
WG3524393- Nitrite (as N)	19 DUP		WG3524393-1 8 <0.010	8 <0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	26-APR-21
WG3524393- Nitrite (as N)	17 LCS			101.0		%		90-110	26-APR-21
WG3524393- Nitrite (as N)	16 MB			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	26-APR-21
WG3524393-2 Nitrite (as N)	20 MS		WG3524393-18	B 101.2		%		75-125	26-APR-21
Batch	R5442659								
WG3525158- Nitrite (as N)	10 DUP		WG3525158-8 <0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-21
WG3525158-7 Nitrite (as N)	7 LCS			101.1		%		90-110	27-APR-21
WG3525158-6 Nitrite (as N)	6 MB			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	27-APR-21
WG3525158- Nitrite (as N)	9 MS		WG3525158-8	100.7		%		75-125	27-APR-21
NO3-IC-WT		Water							
Batch	R5441976								
WG3524393- Nitrate (as N)	19 DUP		WG3524393-1 8 4.65	B 4.65		mg/L	0.0	20	26-APR-21
WG3524393- Nitrate (as N)	17 LCS			100.0		%		90-110	26-APR-21
WG3524393- Nitrate (as N)	16 MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	26-APR-21
WG3524393-2 Nitrate (as N)	20 MS		WG3524393-18	8 N/A	MS-B	%		_	26-APR-21



			Workorder:	L2579646	; I	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 12 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	NSULTANTS INC. SEMANS CENTRE IER ON N2B 3XS	(Kitchener) EDRIVE						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NO3-IC-WT		Water							
Batch F WG3525158-1 Nitrate (as N)	R5442659 0 DUP		WG3525158-8 <0.020	<0.020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-21
WG3525158-7 Nitrate (as N)	LCS			99.7		%		90-110	27-APR-21
WG3525158-6 Nitrate (as N)	MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	27-APR-21
WG3525158-9 Nitrate (as N)	MS		WG3525158-8	97.0		%		75-125	27-APR-21
PH-WT		Water							
Batch F	R5441402								
WG3523633-4 рН	DUP		WG3523633-3 7.76	7.78	J	pH units	0.02	0.2	24-APR-21
WG3523633-2 рН	LCS			7.02		pH units		6.9-7.1	24-APR-21
Batch F	R5441406								
WG3523635-4 рН	DUP		WG3523635-3 8.00	7.99	J	pH units	0.01	0.2	24-APR-21
WG3523635-2 рН	LCS			7.02		pH units		6.9-7.1	24-APR-21
Batch F	R5441615		W00504000 0						
wG3524080-4 рН	DUP		WG3524080-3 7.84	7.75	J	pH units	0.09	0.2	26-APR-21
WG3524080-2 рН	LCS			7.03		pH units		6.9-7.1	26-APR-21
Batch F	R5441631		WC2524202 5						
vvG3524283-6 рН	DUP		7.67	7.68	J	pH units	0.01	0.2	26-APR-21
WG3524283-2 рН	LCS			7.01		pH units		6.9-7.1	26-APR-21
PO4-DO-COL-W1	Г	Water							
Batch F	R5441758								
WG3524485-3 Orthophospha	DUP ate-Dissolv	ved (as P)	L2579525-1 0.0031	<0.0030	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	27-APR-21
WG3524485-2 Orthophospha	LCS ate-Dissolv	ved (as P)		108.2		%		80-120	27-APR-21
WG3524485-1 Orthophospha	MB ate-Dissolv	/ed (as P)		<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	27-APR-21



			Workorder:	L257964	6	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 13 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS INC EMANS CENTRI ER ON N2B 3X	. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PO4-DO-COL-V	VT	Water							
Batch	R5441758								
WG3524485 Orthophospl	-4 MS hate-Dissolv	ed (as P)	L2579525-1	109.7		%		70-130	27-APR-21
SO4-IC-N-WT		Water							
Batch	R5441976								
WG3524393 Sulfate (SO4	- 19 DUP 4)		WG3524393-18 32.7	3 32.7		mg/L	0.0	20	26-APR-21
WG3524393 Sulfate (SO4	-17 LCS 4)			101.8		%		90-110	26-APR-21
WG3524393 Sulfate (SO4	-16 MB 4)			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	26-APR-21
WG3524393 Sulfate (SO4	-20 MS 4)		WG3524393-18	3 103.1		%		75-125	26-APR-21
Batch	R5442659								
WG3525158 Sulfate (SO	-10 DUP		WG3525158-8 30.9	30.9		mg/L	0.0	20	27-APR-21
WG3525158 Sulfate (SO4	-7 LCS			101.8		%		90-110	27-APR-21
WG3525158 Sulfate (SO	-6 MB 4)			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	27-APR-21
WG3525158 Sulfate (SO4	-9 MS		WG3525158-8	102.5		%		75-125	27-APR-21
SOLIDS-TDS-W	, /Т	Water						10 120	2,70,1021
Batch	R5441806								
WG3523939 Total Dissol	-3 DUP ved Solids		L2579639-1 311	319		mg/L	2.5	20	26-APR-21
WG3523939 Total Dissol	-2 LCS ved Solids			101.4		%		85-115	26-APR-21
WG3523939 Total Dissol	-1 MB ved Solids			<10		mg/L		10	26-APR-21
TURBIDITY-WT		Water							
Batch	R5440781								
WG3523453 Turbidity	-3 DUP		L2579815-16 293	305		NTU	4.0	15	24-APR-21
WG3523453 Turbidity	-2 LCS			97.0		%		85-115	24-APR-21
WG3523453-	-1 MB								



			Workorder:	L2579646	;	Report Date:	03-MAY-21		Page 14 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS INC EMANS CENTRE IER ON N2B 3X	. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
TURBIDITY-WT		Water							
Batch	R5440781								
WG3523453- Turbidity	1 MB			<0.10		NTU		0.1	24-APR-21
Batch	R5440782								
WG3523454-3 Turbidity	3 DUP		L2579824-1 139	139		NTU	0.0	15	24-APR-21
WG3523454-2 Turbidity	2 LCS			97.0		%		85-115	24-APR-21
WG3523454- Turbidity	1 MB			<0.10		NTU		0.1	24-APR-21

Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener)
	520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE
	KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS

Legend	:
--------	---

Lin	nit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DL	IP	Duplicate
RP	D	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	4	Not Available
LC	S	Laboratory Control Sample
SR	M	Standard Reference Material
MS	3	Matrix Spike
MS	SD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
AD	E	Average Desorption Efficiency
ME	3	Method Blank
IRI	N	Internal Reference Material
CF	RM	Certified Reference Material
CC	:V	Continuing Calibration Verification
C٧	'S	Calibration Verification Standard
LC	SD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

CCME F2-F4 HYDROCARBON DISTRIBUTION REPORT



< F2-	* 4	- <mark>F3 → </mark>	! →	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	8
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline ->			Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	•
4	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.

Note: This chromatogram was produced using GC conditions that are specific to ALS Canada CCME F2-F4 method. Refer to the ALS Canada CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Library for a collection of chromatograms from common reference samples (fuels, oils, etc.). The HDR Library can be found at <u>www.alsglobal.com</u>.


<f2-< th=""><th>*4</th><th>-F3 ► ← F4</th><th>·</th><th></th></f2-<>	*4	- F 3 ► ← F4	·	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	ie →	← N	Notor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
4	- Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→</th><th>-F3F4F4</th><th>·</th><th></th></f2-<>	→	- F3 F4F4	·	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline -> 🗧		۱ ۱	Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	
4	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→</th><th>-<mark>F3 → </mark></th><th>I▶</th><th></th></f2-<>	→	- <mark>F3 → </mark>	I▶	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	5
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline →			Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	•
+	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→ ◀</th><th>-F3</th><th>↓▶</th><th></th></f2-<>	→ ◀	- F3	↓ ▶	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	2
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	e ->		Notor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
4	-Diesel/Jet I	Fuels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



< F2-	→∢	- F3		
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	e ->	← N	Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	•
•	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→</th><th>-<mark>F3 → </mark></th><th></th><th></th></f2-<>	→	- <mark>F3 → </mark>		
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline → ←		→ 1	Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	,
+	- Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>*4</th><th>-F3</th><th>i€</th><th></th></f2-<>	* 4	- F3	i €	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	e →	< N	Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
+	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>*4</th><th>-<mark>F3 → </mark></th><th>!→</th><th></th></f2-<>	* 4	- <mark>F3 → </mark>	! →	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	8
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	e →		Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	•
+	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.





COC Number: 20 - 894223

Page of

Report To	Contact and company name below will appe	ar on the final report	Reports / Recipients			Turnaround Time (TAT) Requested						T											
Company:	MTE Censultents	Inc.	Select Report Fo	ormat: 🗗 PDF	EXCEL BO) (DIGITA	NL)	ER	outine (R] if rece	eived by	3pm M	F - no si	urcharges	apply								
Contact:	Freser annulas		Merge QC/QC	I Reports with COA	YES NO	N/	/A	04	day [P4]	if recei	ived by	3pm M-	F- 20%	rush surc	harge mir	imum	j.						<u> 19</u>
Phone:	519-743-6500 0		Compare Resu	ults to Criteria on Report - p	rovide details below if I	oox checke	ed	□ 3	day [P3] if rece	eived by	3pm M	F - 25%	rush sur	charge mi	nimum		AFFI	X ALS E	SARCOD	E LABE oniv)	EL HEF	Æ
	Company address below will appear on the final	l report	Select Distribution	on: EMAIL	🔲 MAIL 🔲 F	AX			day [P2 day [F]	j it rece if receiv	eived by ved by 3	∃pm M∘ Rom M-F	F - 50%	rush sur	charge mi charge mi	nimum nimum							
Street:	520 Bryeman Cent	we Dr.	Email 1 or Fax	Flumm	SQ ME85	.com	•	Same day [E2] if received by 10am M-S - 200% rush surcharge. Additional fees						l fees									
City/Province:	Kitchener ION		Email 2	0				may apply to rush requests on weekends, statutory holidays and non-routine tests						e tests									
Postal Code:	NZB		Email 3						Date an	d Time	e Requir	red for a	II E&P T/	ATs:			66	an 23 - 95	- 10 -	22 g 2 3 1			
Invoice To	Same as Report To	NO	0. 000000.00.5 K	Invoice Re	cipients			For all tests with rush TATs requested, please contact you						act your A	r AM to confirm availability.								
	Copy of Invoice with Report	NO	Select Invoice D	select Invoice Distribution: 🗗 EMAIL 🔲 MAIL 🔲 FAX				Analysis Request						est									
Company:			Email 1 or Fax	Flummy	senteds.	. con	<u>~</u>	Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved						reserved	ed (F/P) below				ED	es)			
Contact:			Email 2	0				Ψ						_	_							١ <u>٢</u>	not
	Project Information			Oll and Gas Required	l Fields (client us	e)		A														В Ш	99
ALS Account # /	Quote #		AFE/Cost Center:		PO#			1	3	>											5	8	Ő
Job #: 466	39-100	<u> </u>	Major/Minor Code:	the sales was the	Routing Code:			0	ā												Ξ	AG	AR
PO / AFE:		N	Requisitioner:	c				Ш	5								8				Z	OR OR	IAZ
LSD:			Location:		r			0	2												S	ST	
ALS Lab Wor	k Order # (ALS use only):	70/040	ALS Contact:	бh	Sampler: TFC	,		BER	ر کر	EX	++										P.E	NDED	ECTE
ALS Sample #	Sample Identification	and/or Coordinates		Date	Time	Same	ala Tuna]≧	્ય	as.	1.										N N	Ë	SL
(ALS use only)	(This description will a	appear on the report)		(dd-mmm-yy)	(hh:mm)	Jamp	he type	z	-	-	1+				_						Ś	ŵ	S
	MW101-	20		22-Apr-21	14:36	6	ŝ	7	-	/	-												
	MW102-	20		1	13:42			7	-	1	1						8						
	MW 103-	20	lahirada ak		16:26			7		1	/												
	Au 104-	26		1	17:47			1	-	/	/												
	M1.105	- 10		1 1	10:00			1		-	-									+			-
		10		+ +	10.00			-7	Ĺ,	-			-			17 194				-			
ALC: NOT A	MWICE		м <u>і —</u> и	+	12.00	l f			-	-					-	-					+-		
n dia minina Ny	MWIOT	- 20	· · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	11.00	l i		1	-	-	-					-	$ \rightarrow $				-		<u> </u>
.	MWLOB	-20			12:55			1	-	1	-					4					\vdash		
1. 16 H H	MWIO	1-20			15:28			7		-	-												
		1990-1997 Bar 8						8															
S.M.		······································																					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							1	r - 1														
		Notes / Specif	v Limits for result	evaluation by selecting	a from drop-down t	below			- 1990 i	ġ.	had all a	64	SAMP	E REC	EIPT D	ETAIL	S (ALS	use on	ıly)				an tra
Drinking	g Water (DW) Samples' (client use)		- (1	Excel COC only)				Cooli	ng Mel	hod:		NONE	X	ice (] ICE PA	CKS [] FRO	ZEN		COOLIN	3 INITI	ATED	200
Are samples take	from a Regulated DW System?	Our - E Ma	PAH A	Amber Bat	tles For,	and los	4-10	Subn	nission	Comr	nents	identifi	ed on S	ample	Receipt	Notifica	ntion:	1000	T YE	s C] NO		Ξ,
I YE	s et no	the of the	, /	· Jack Geo	Arer h All		ITTEL	Coole	er Cus	ody S	ieals lr	ntact:	Ľ) yes	□ NA	Samp	le Cus	tody Se	als Inte	act:	T YE	s 🗆	N/A
Are samples for h	uman consumption/ use?	the lid is c	viccled (, and the l		-Juy	H I	1	. 0	WITIAL	COOL	RTEMP	ERATU	RES °C	<u>.</u>		12	INAL CO	OLER T	EMPERAT	URES %	ç 1	
	s 🗗 NO							1.20	14		+ 9 - 64						100	<u>)</u> '	$\underline{\neg}_{\iota}$		<u>18</u>	1.30	
Delegard	SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)	<u>г</u>		INITIAL SHIPMENT	RECEPTION (AI	S use c	only)	1		<u> </u>		X	F)	NAL S	HIPMEN	TREC	EPTIO	N (ALS	use or	nly]	T		\mathbf{x}
Released by:	FL-2 Date: 22/4/	Z1 18:21	Received by;		Date:	11344		lime		Keck	Ived t	Y)			Pat	° U	P	517	1		9	O)
REFER TO BACK	PAGE FOR ALS	FORMATION		WHI.	TE - LABORATORY	COPY	YELLO	W - CLI	ENT CO	OPY	6.22	Y									<u>da - 22</u>	AUG 2	1020 FROM

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.



MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) ATTN: FRASER CUMMINGS **520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE** KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9

Date Received: 16-SEP-21 Report Date: 23- SEP- 21 15:02 (MT) Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 519-743-6500

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L2640507 Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc:

NOT SUBMITTED 46639-100

Harser

Emily Hansen Account Manager [This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 60 Northland Road, Unit 1, Waterloo, ON N2V 2B8 Canada | Phone: +1 519 886 6910 | Fax: +1 519 886 9047 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

Environmental 🐊

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-1 MW101- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 08:50 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	<2.0		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	942		1.0	umhos/cm		17-SEP-21	R5590661
Hardness (as CaCO3)	345		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	7.92		0.10	pH units		17-SEP-21	R5590661
Total Dissolved Solids	492	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	1.43		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5586811
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	284		1.0	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5590661
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.011		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (CI)	98.8		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	0.068		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	6.32		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	21.3		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00012		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.129		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	0.0000073		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	87.1		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00040		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	30.9		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000228		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.31		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000232		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	5.72		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	57.5		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	L. Units Extracted Analyzed		Batch	
L2640507-1 MW101- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 08:50 Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.120		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000433		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0038		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
o-Xylene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.3		70-130	%		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.1		70-130	%		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25		25	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	90.9		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	94.9		60-140	%		22-SEP-21	R5591796
L2640507-2 MW102- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 09:47 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests				_			
Colour, Apparent	18.0		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	593		1.0	umhos/cm		17-SEP-21	R5590661
Hardness (as CaCO3)	309		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
pH	7.89		0.10	pH units		17-SEP-21	R5590661
Total Dissolved Solids	356	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	159		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5586811
	074						DEFORM
Alkalinity, Lotal (as CaCO3)	2/1		1.0	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5590661
	<0.010		0.010	mg/∟		20-SEP-21	R558/942
	8.59		0.50	mg/L		17-9EP-21	K008/323

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 4 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier* D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-2 MW102- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 09:47 Matrix: WATER						
Anions and Nutrients						
Fluoride (F)	0.074	0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	4.46	0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030	0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	31.2	0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals						
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD				17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	0.0107	0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00015	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.220	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050	0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	0.0000062	0.00005) mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	74.5	0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050	0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00030	0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050	0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	30.0	0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00131	0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000434	0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050	0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050	0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.17	0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000264	0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.32	0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050	0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	2.66	0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0963	0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010	0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030	0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010	0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000597	0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050	0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0011	0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030	0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds						

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-2 MW102- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 09:47 Matrix: WATER							
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		22-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96.7		70-130	%		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	99.5		70-130	%		22-SEP-21	R5591796
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ug/L		22-SEP-21	R5591796
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	93.8		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	90.2		60-140	%		22-SEP-21	R5591796
L2640507-3 MW103- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 10:35 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	<2.0		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	636		1.0	umhos/cm		17-SEP-21	R5592542
Hardness (as CaCO3)	332		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	7.94		0.10	pH units		17-SEP-21	R5592542
Total Dissolved Solids	335	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	0.63		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	307		1.0	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5592542
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.029		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (CI)	8.90		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	0.054		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	4.89		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	9.50		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00015		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-3 MW103- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 10:35							
Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.0773		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	77.7		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	0.00064		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00031		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	33.6		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000173		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	0.870		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000217		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.47		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	3.28		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0857		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000399		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0023		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
o-Xylene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.6		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.7		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25		25	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-3 MW103- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 10:35 Matrix: WATER							
Hydrocarbons							
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	94.0		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	80.6		60-140	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
L2640507-4 MW104- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 11:16 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	<2.0		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	613		1.0	umhos/cm		18-SEP-21	R5587656
Hardness (as CaCO3)	318		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	8.00		0.10	pH units		18-SEP-21	R5587656
Total Dissolved Solids	352	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	2.47		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	251		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-21	R5587656
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (CI)	16.9		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	0.064		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	8.80		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	11.4		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							DEEDEDOE
Aluminum (Al) Dissolved	FIELD		0.0050			17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R558/486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R558/486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17 SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R008/480
Banullium (Ba) Dissolved	0.0617		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEF-21	17-SEP-21	R000/400
Beryllum (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17 SEP 21	17 SED 21	R0007400
	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17 SEP-21	17-SEF-21	R000/400
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved			0.010	mg/L	17-9EP-21	17-960-94	D5507400
Calcium (Ca) Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17 SEP-21	17-SEF-21	R000/400
Chromium (Cr) Dissolved	/4.0 <0.00050			mg/L	17 SED 24	17 SED 21	D5597496
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved			0.00030	mg/L	17_9ED 91	17-966-21	D5507400
Conner (Cu)-Dissolved	~0.00010 0.00097		0.00010	mg/L	17-QED 01	17_SED 01	D5507400
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.0007		0.00020	ma/l	17_SEP_21	17_SEP_21	R5587/96
	-0.010		0.010	mg/∟			1,0007400

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 8 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-4 MW104- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 11:16							
Dissolved Metals							
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	ma/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	32.0		0.0050	ma/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000174		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	0.909		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000343		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	4.84		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	2.85		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0696		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000298		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0028		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
o-Xylene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromotluorobenzene	98.6		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.5		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
$F_{1}(C_{6}-C_{1}0)$	<25		25	ua/l		21-SEP-21	P5500205
F1-BTFX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	10000200
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L	2102121	23-SEP-21	10000400
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES		2,0	3, -	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	92.0		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	84.6		60-140	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
L2640507-5 MW105- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 13:52 Matrix: WATER							

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-5 MW105- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 13:52 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	3.4		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	848		1.0	umhos/cm		18-SEP-21	R5587676
Hardness (as CaCO3)	347		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
pH	7.93		0.10	pH units		18-SEP-21	R5587676
Total Dissolved Solids	520	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	41.5		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	248 286		1.0 1.0	mg/L mg/L		18-SEP-21 22-SEP-21	R5587676 R5594456
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (CI)	100		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	0.058		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	7.75		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	0.0036		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	10.2		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00016		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.207		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	87.6		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	0.00051		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00093		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	31.2		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00068		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000321		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.48		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000241		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.88		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	44.8		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-5 MW105- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 13:52 Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.124		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	0.000013		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	ma/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	ma/l	17-SEP-21	17-SFP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000582		0.000010	ma/l	17-SEP-21	17-SFP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	ma/l	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0020		0.00000	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
	<0.0020		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds	-0.00030		0.00000	ilig/L			110007 400
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ua/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ua/l		21-SFP-21	R5590205
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/l		21-SEP-21	R5590205
o-Xvlene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+n-Xylenes	<0.00		0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	10000200
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	-0 <u>-</u> 00		70 130	0/2		21-SED-21	P5500205
Surrogate: 1.4 Difluorobonzono	90.0		70-130	70 0/.		21-011-21	DEE00205
Hydrocarbons	100.2		70-130	70		21-3EF-21	R5590205
F1 (C6-C10)	<25		25	ua/l		21-SFP-21	R5590205
F1-BTFX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	10000200
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
$F_{4}(C_{34}C_{50})$	<250		250	ug/L	21.SED_21	23-SED-21	P5505460
Total Hydrocarbons ($C6-C50$)	<230		230	ug/L		23 SED 21	110090400
Chrom to baseline at $nC50$	VE9		570	ug/L	21 SED 21	23-0EF -21	D5505460
Surrogate: 2 Bromobenzotrifluoride	07.6		60 140	0/_	21-0L1-21	23-0EF-21	D5505460
Surrogate: 2-Dichlorotoluono	87.0		60 140	70 0/.	21-011-21	20-001-21	DEE0020E
L2640507-6 MW106- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 13:11 Matrix: WATER	02.1		00-140	70		21-321-21	13390203
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	27.9		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	610		1.0	umhos/cm		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Hardness (as CaCO3)	348		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	7.86		0.10	pH units		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Total Dissolved Solids	343	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	571		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	339		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (Cl)	4.27		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 11 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-6 MW106- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 13:11 Matrix: WATER							
Anions and Nutrients							
Fluoride (F)	0.058		0.020	ma/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	4.54		0.020	ma/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	11.7		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals				0			
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	0.0103		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00012		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.106		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	83.0		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00026		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.014		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	20-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.000059		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	34.2		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00122		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000232		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	0.869		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000213		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.58		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	1.93		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0916		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	0.00044		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000532		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0018		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds							

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 12 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-6 MW106- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 13:11 Matrix: WATER							
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.8		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.4		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	88.1		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	69.6		60-140	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
L2640507-7 MW107- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 14:31 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	3.2		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	1150		1.0	umhos/cm		18-SEP-21	R5587676
Hardness (as CaCO3)	377		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	7.89		0.10	pH units		18-SEP-21	R5587676
Total Dissolved Solids	659	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	16.3		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	268		1.0	mg/L		22-SEP-21	R5594456
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	271		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-21	R5587676
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (Cl)	182	DLDS	2.5	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	<0.10	DLDS	0.10	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	7 <u>.</u> 82	DLDS	0.10	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.050	DLDS	0.050	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	15.4	DLDS	1.5	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-7 MW107- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 14:31 Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00014		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.214		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	99.6		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00153		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	31.1		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00159		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000268		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.70		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000248		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.75		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	85.5		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.160		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000528		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0012		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds							
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Toluene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
o-Xylene	<0.30		0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.3		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100.3		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Hydrocarbons							

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 14 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-7 MW107- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 14:31 Matrix: WATER							
Hydrocarbons							
F1 (C6-C10)	<25		25	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	79.2		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	84.2		60-140	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
L2640507-8 MW108- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 12:37 Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	20.4		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	568		1.0	umhos/cm		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Hardness (as CaCO3)	327		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	7.91		0.10	pH units		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Total Dissolved Solids	329	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	550		0.10	NTU	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	290		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (CI)	7.42		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	0.065		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	5.17		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	9.58		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved	0.0190		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00015		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.0842		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	80.0		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00028		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-8 MW108- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 12:37 Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.017		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.000094		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	30.9		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00245		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000271		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.14		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000181		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	6.39		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	1.81		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0962		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00040	DLUI	0.00040	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000474		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0018		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
	-0.50		0.50				55500005
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+p-xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Aylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-3EP-21	DEE0000E
Surrogate: 1.4 Diffuorohonzono	90.0		70-130	70 0/		21-367-21	R5590205
Hvdrocarbons	99.5		70-130	70		21-3EF-21	R5590205
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ua/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES				21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	91.0		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	80.7		60-140	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
L2640507-9 MW109- 20 CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 11:57							

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 16 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-9 MW109- 20							
Matrix: WATER							
Physical Tests							
Colour, Apparent	37.5		2.0	CU		17-SEP-21	R5586361
Conductivity	593		1.0	umhos/cm		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Hardness (as CaCO3)	307		0.50	mg/L		20-SEP-21	
рН	7.95		0.10	pH units		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Total Dissolved Solids	318	DLDS	20	mg/L		19-SEP-21	R5588157
Turbidity	>4000	TMV	0.10	NTU		17-SEP-21	R5590697
Anions and Nutrients							
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	271		1.0	mg/L		18-SEP-21	R5587669
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.018		0.010	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5587942
Chloride (Cl)	12.7		0.50	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Fluoride (F)	0.054		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrate (as N)	3.76		0.020	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L		20-SEP-21	R5588140
Sulfate (SO4)	28.7		0.30	mg/L		17-SEP-21	R5587323
Dissolved Metals							
Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD					17-SEP-21	R5585895
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved	<0.0050		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved	0.00012		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved	0.0880		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Boron (B)-Dissolved	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.0000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	75.4		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00071		0.00020	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.014		0.010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	28.8		0.0050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00056		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.000223		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.04		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000244		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	5.73		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050		0.000050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	5.81		0.050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486

L2640507 CONTD.... PAGE 17 of 20 Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

Sample Details/Parameters	Result	Qualifier*	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Batch
L2640507-9 MW109- 20 Sampled By: CLIENT on 16-SEP-21 @ 11:57 Matrix: WATER							
Dissolved Metals							
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0922		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	<0.000010		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	<0.00010		0.00010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000423		0.000010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0016		0.0010	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00030		0.00030	mg/L	17-SEP-21	17-SEP-21	R5587486
Volatile Organic Compounds		_					
Benzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Ethylbenzene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Toluene	<0.50	OWP	0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
o-Xylene	<0.30	OWP	0.30	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
m+p-Xylenes	<0.40	OWP	0.40	ug/L		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Xylenes (Total)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L		21-SEP-21	D ==00005
Surrogate: 4-Bromotluorobenzene	99.2		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	99.6		70-130	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205
F1 (C6-C10)	<25	OWP	25	ua/l		21-SEP-21	R5590205
F1-BTEX	<25		25	ug/L		23-SEP-21	10000200
F2 (C10-C16)	<100		100	ua/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F3 (C16-C34)	<250		250	ua/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
F4 (C34-C50)	<250		250	ug/L	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Total Hydrocarbons (C6-C50)	<370		370	ug/L		23-SEP-21	
Chrom. to baseline at nC50	YES			Ū	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 2-Bromobenzotrifluoride	92.4		60-140	%	21-SEP-21	23-SEP-21	R5595460
Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene	76.7		60-140	%		21-SEP-21	R5590205

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Matrix Spike	Ethylbenzene	MS-B	L2640507-3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	m+p-Xylenes	MS-B	L2640507-3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	Chloride (CI)	MS-B	L2640507-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2640507-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2640507-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	MS-B	L2640507-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	Nitrate (as N)	MS-B	L2640507-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Matrix Spike	Sulfate (SO4)	MS-B	L2640507-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9

Sample Parameter Qualifier key listed:

Qualifier	Description
DLDS	Detection Limit Raised: Dilution required due to high Dissolved Solids / Electrical Conductivity.
DLU	Detection Limit Raised: Unknown Interference generated an apparent false positive test result.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
OWP	Organic water sample contained visible sediment (must be included as part of analysis). Measured concentrations of organic substances in water can be biased high due to presence of sediment.
TMV	Turbidity exceeded upper limit of the nephelometric method. Minimum value reported.

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	ode Matrix Test Description		Method Reference**
ALK-WT	Water	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	APHA 2320B

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2320 "Alkalinity". Total alkalinity is determined by potentiometric titration to a pH 4.5 endpoint.

BTX-511-HS-WT Water BTEX by Headspace SW846 8260 (511)

BTX is determined by analyzing by headspace-GC/MS.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011 and as of November 30, 2020), unless a subset of the Analytical Test Group (ATG) has been requested (the Protocol states that all analytes in an ATG must be reported).

EPA 300.1 (mod)

APHA 2120

CL-IC-N-WT

Water Chloride by IC

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

Colour

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011).

COLOUR-APPARENT-WT Water

Apparent Colour is measured spectrophotometrically by comparison to platinum-cobalt standards using the single wavelength method after sample decanting. Colour measurements can be highly pH dependent, and apply to the pH of the sample as received (at time of testing), without pH adjustment. Concurrent measurement of sample pH is recommended.

EC-SCREEN-WT	Water	Conductivity Screen (Internal Use Only)	APHA 2510
Qualitative analysis of	conductivity v	where required during preparation of othe	er tests - e.g. TDS, metals, etc.
EC-WT	Water	Conductivity	APHA 2510 B
Water samples can be	e measured di	rectly by immersing the conductivity cell	into the sample.
F-IC-N-WT	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are a	nalyzed by lo	n Chromatography with conductivity and	/or UV detection.
F1-F4-511-CALC-WT	Water	F1-F4 Hydrocarbon Calculated	CCME CWS-PHC, Pub #1310, Dec 2001-L

Analytical methods used for analysis of CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons have been validated and comply with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC.

In cases where results for both F4 and F4G are reported, the greater of the two results must be used in any application of the CWS PHC guidelines and the gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons cannot be added to the C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.

Reference Information

In samples where BTEX and F1 were analyzed, F1-BTEX represents a value where the sum of Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and total Xylenes has been subtracted from F1.

In samples where PAHs, F2 and F3 were analyzed, F2-Naphth represents the result where Naphthalene has been subtracted from F2. F3-PAH represents a result where the sum of Benzo(a)anthracene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, Phenanthrene, and Pyrene has been subtracted from F3.

Unless otherwise qualified, the following quality control criteria have been met for the F1 hydrocarbon range:

- 1. All extraction and analysis holding times were met.
- 2. Instrument performance showing response factors for C6 and C10 within 30% of the response factor for toluene.
- 3. Linearity of gasoline response within 15% throughout the calibration range.

Unless otherwise qualified, the following quality control criteria have been met for the F2-F4 hydrocarbon ranges:

- 1. All extraction and analysis holding times were met.
- 2. Instrument performance showing C10, C16 and C34 response factors within 10% of their average.
- 3. Instrument performance showing the C50 response factor within 30% of the average of the C10, C16 and C34 response factors.
- 4. Linearity of diesel or motor oil response within 15% throughout the calibration range.

F1-HS-511-WT Water F1-O.Reg 153/04 (July 2011) E3398/CCME TIER 1-HS

Fraction F1 is determined by analyzing by headspace-GC/FID.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011 and as of November 30, 2020), unless a subset of the Analytical Test Group (ATG) has been requested (the Protocol states that all analytes in an ATG must be reported).

F2-F4-511-WT Water F2-F4-O.Reg 153/04 (July 2011) EPA 3511/CCME Tier 1

Petroleum Hydrocarbons (F2-F4 fractions) are extracted from water using a hexane micro-extraction technique. Instrumental analysis is by GC-FID, as per the iReference Method for the Canada-Wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil ñTier 1 Method, CCME, 2001.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011 and as of November 30, 2020), unless a subset of the Analytical Test Group (ATG) has been requested (the Protocol states that all analytes in an ATG must be reported).

HARDNESS-CALC-WT Water Hardness APHA 2340 B

Hardness (also known as Total Hardness) is calculated from the sum of Calcium and Magnesium concentrations, expressed in CaCO3 equivalents. Dissolved Calcium and Magnesium concentrations are preferentially used for the hardness calculation.

MET-D-CCMS-WT Water Dissolved Metals in Water by CRC APHA 3030B/6020A (mod) ICPMS

Water samples are filtered (0.45 um), preserved with nitric acid, and analyzed by CRC ICPMS.

Method Limitation (re: Sulfur): Sulfide and volatile sulfur species may not be recovered by this method.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011).

NH3-F-WT Water Ammonia in Water by Fluorescence J. ENVIRON. MONIT., 2005, 7, 37-42, RSC

This analysis is carried out, on sulfuric acid preserved samples, using procedures modified from J. Environ. Monit., 2005, 7, 37 - 42, The Royal Society of Chemistry, "Flow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection for the determination of trace levels of ammonium in seawater", Roslyn J. Waston et al.

NO2-IC-WT Water Nitrite in Water by IC EPA 300.1 (mod)

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

NO3-IC-WT Water Nitrate in Water by IC EPA 300.1 (mod)

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

PH-WT Water pH APHA 4500 H-Electrode

Water samples are analyzed directly by a calibrated pH meter.

Analysis conducted in accordance with the Protocol for Analytical Methods Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (July 1, 2011). Holdtime for samples under this regulation is 28 days

PO4-DO-COL-WT Water Diss. Orthophosphate in Water by APHA 4500-P PHOSPHORUS Colour

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-P "Phosphorus". Dissolved Orthophosphate is determined colourimetrically on a sample that has been lab or field filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter.

Reference Information

SO4-IC-N-WT	Water	Sulfate in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are an	alyzed by l on	Chromatography with conductivity	and/or UV detection.
SOLIDS-TDS-WT	Water	Total Dissolved Solids	APHA 2540C
This analysis is carried (TDS) are determined b	out using proo	cedures adapted from APHA Meth ample through a glass fibre filter, T	od 2540 "Solids". Solids are determined gravimetrically. Total Dissolved Solids DS is determined by evaporating the filtrate to dryness at 180 degrees celsius.
TURBIDITY-WT	Water	Turbidity	APHA 2130 B
Sample result is based by a standard reference	on a comparis suspension u	son of the intensity of the light sca under the same conditions. Sampl	ttered by the sample under defined conditions with the intensity of light scattered e readings are obtained from a Nephelometer.
XYLENES-SUM-CALC- WT	Water	Sum of Xylene Isomer Concentrations	CALCULATION
Total xylenes represent	s the sum of o	p-xylene and m&p-xylene.	
** ALS test methods may i	ncorporate m	odifications from specified referen	ce methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
WT	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid weight of sample

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory. UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION. Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



		Workorder:	L264050)7	Report Date: 2	3-SEP-21		Page 1 of	15
Client: Contact:	MTE CONSULTANTS 520 BINGEMANS CEN KITCHENER ON N2E FRASER CUMMINGS	INC. (Kitchener) ITRE DRIVE 3 3X9							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
ALK-WT	Water								
Batch	R5587656								
WG362017	6-4 DUP	WG3620176-3							
Alkalinity,	lotal (as CaCO3)	111	91.7		mg/L	19	20	18-SEP-21	
WG362017	6-2 LCS		111 6		0/		05 445		
Aikainity,			111.0		70		85-115	18-SEP-21	
WG362017 Alkalinity	6-1 MB Fotal (as CaCO3)		<20		ma/l		2	18 SED 21	
			-2.0				-	10-3LF-21	
Batch	R558/669	WG2620177.2							
Alkalinity, 1	Fotal (as CaCO3)	330	317		mg/L	3.8	20	18-SEP-21	
WG362017	7-2 LCS								
Alkalinity,	Total (as CaCO3)		107.5		%		85-115	18-SEP-21	
WG362017	7-1 MB								
Alkalinity, ⊺	Fotal (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	18-SEP-21	
Batch	R5587676								
WG362018	0-4 DUP	WG3620180-3							
Alkalinity, 1	Fotal (as CaCO3)	410	407		mg/L	0.8	20	18-SEP-21	
WG362018	0-1 MB		-0.0				0		
Alkalinity,	lotal (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	18-SEP-21	
Batch	R5590661								
WG362002 Alkalinity	8-4 DUP	WG3620028-3	257		ma/l	1 0	20	17 SED 01	
Micacaaaa		200	201		ing/E	1.2	20	17-3EF-21	
Alkalinity, 7	o-z LCS Fotal (as CaCO3)		108.2		%		85-115	17-SFP-21	
WG362002	8-1 MB								
Alkalinity, 7	Fotal (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	17-SEP-21	
Batch	R5592542								
WG362002	9-4 DUP	WG3620029-3							
Alkalinity, ⊺	Fotal (as CaCO3)	191	175		mg/L	8.8	20	17-SEP-21	
WG362002	9-2 LCS								
Alkalinity, ⊺	Γotal (as CaCO3)		109.0		%		85-115	17-SEP-21	
WG362002	9-1 MB								
Alkalinity,	lotal (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	17-SEP-21	
Batch	R5594456								
MG362183	6-4 DUP	WG3621836-3	371		ma/l	0.0	20	00 SED 04	
		512	571		ing/L	0.2	20	22-3EP-21	
Alkalinity.	ס-∠ ננס Fotal (as CaCO3)		114.5		%		85-115	22-SFP-21	
WG362183	6-1 MB								



		Workorder	: L264050)7 R	eport Date: 2	23-SEP-21		Page 2 of 15
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS I 520 BINGEMANS CENT KITCHENER ON N2B	NC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE 3X9						
	Matrix	Defenses	Desult	Qualifian	Unite		1 : :4	Analyzad
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
ALK-WT	Water							
Batch I	R5594456							
WG3621836-1 Alkalinity, Tot	l MB al (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	22-SEP-21
BTX-511-HS-WT	Water							
Batch I	R5590205							
WG3620476-4	L DUP	WG3620476	5-3			N1/A	00	
Ethylbonzono		<0.50	<0.00	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	21-SEP-21
		500	671		ug/∟	27	30	21-SEP-21
o-Xylene		9.20	12.1		ug/L	28	30	21-SEP-21
Toluene		9.20	0.78		ug/L	21	30 20	21-SEP-21
WG3620476-1	LCS	0.00	400.0		ug/L	29	50	21-5EP-21
Benzene			102.9		% 0/		70-130	21-SEP-21
	1		95.8		% 0/		70-130	21-SEP-21
			00.0		70 0/.		70-130	21-SEP-21
Toluene			99.0 103.0		70 9/2		70-130	21-SEP-21
	MD		105.0		70		70-130	21-569-21
Benzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	21-SEP-21
Ethylbenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	21-SEP-21
m+p-Xylenes			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	21-SEP-21
o-Xylene			<0.30		ug/L		0.3	21-SEP-21
Toluene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	21-SEP-21
Surrogate: 1,4	4-Difluorobenzene		100.5		%		70-130	21-SEP-21
Surrogate: 4-	Bromofluorobenzene		99.1		%		70-130	21-SEP-21
WG3620476-5	5 MS	WG3620476	i-3		0/2		50 140	21 SED 21
Ethylbenzene			N/A	MS-B	%		50-140	21-SEF-21
m+p-Xylenes			N/A	MS-B	%		-	21-SEP-21
o-Xvlene			102.1		%		50-140	21-SEP-21
Toluene			103.2		%		50-140	21-SEP-21
Batch	R5501706							
WG3621615-4	L DUP	WG3621615 <0.50	5-3 <0.50		ug/l	NI/A	30	22 SED 21
Ethylbenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ua/L	N/A	30	22-5L1-21



		Workorder:	L264050	17 R	eport Date: 2	23-SEP-21		Page 3 of 15
Client: Contact:	MTE CONSULTANTS I 520 BINGEMANS CEN KITCHENER ON N2B FRASER CUMMINGS	INC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE : 3X9						
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
BTX-511-HS-WT	Water							
Batch F	R5591796							
WG3621615-4 m+p-Xylenes	DUP	WG3621615-3 <0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	22-SEP-21
o-Xylene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	22-SEP-21
Toluene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	22-SEP-21
WG3621615-1 Benzene	LCS		104.6		%		70 120	22 SED 21
Ethylbenzene			98.4		%		70-130	22-3EF-21
m+p-Xylenes			107 0		%		70-130	22-3EF-21
o-Xylene			100.9		%		70-130	22-SEP-21
Toluene			105.5		%		70-130	22-SEP-21
WG3621615-2	2 MB		<0.50		ug/l		0.5	
Ethylbenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	22-SEP-21
m+n-Xylenes			<0.00		ug/L		0.5	22-SEP-21
o-Xylene			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	22-SEP-21
Toluene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	22-3EF-21
Surrogate: 1	1-Difluorobenzene		100.2		ug/∟ %		70-130	22-3EF-21
Surrogate: 4-	Bromofluorobenzene		96.1		%		70-130	22-SEP-21
WG3621615-5	S MS	WG3621615-3	00.1		70		10 100	22-3LF-21
Benzene		WG3021013-3	108.1		%		50-140	22-SEP-21
Ethylbenzene			100.7		%		50-140	22-SEP-21
m+p-Xylenes			109.4		%		50-140	22-SEP-21
o-Xylene			103.2		%		50-140	22-SEP-21
Toluene			111.4		%		50-140	22-SEP-21
CL-IC-N-WT	Water							
Batch F	R5587323							
WG3619689-1 Chloride (Cl)	4 DUP	L2640507-5 100	100		mg/L	0.0	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-9 Chloride (Cl)	DUP	L2640415-8 82.4	82.4		mg/L	0.0	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 Chloride (Cl)	2 LCS		99.3		%		90-110	17-SFP-21
WG3619689-7 Chloride (Cl)	LCS		99.2		%		90-110	17-SFP-21
WG3619689-1 Chloride (Cl)	1 MB		<0.50		mg/L		0.5	17-SEP-21



			Workorder:	L264050	7	Report Date: 23-	SEP-21		Page 4 of 15
Client:	MTE COM 520 BING KITCHEN	NSULTANTS IN(EMANS CENTF IER ON N2B 3)	C. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE X9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
CL-IC-N-WT		Water							
Batch R WG3619689-6 Chloride (Cl)	5587323 MB			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-10 Chloride (Cl)	MS		L2640415-8	98.0		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-15 Chloride (Cl)	6 MS		L2640507-5	N/A	MS-B	%		-	17-SEP-21
COLOUR-APPARI	ENT-WT	Water							
Batch R WG3619803-3	5586361 DUP		L2640525-4	11 2		CU	1.2	20	17 SED 01
WG3619803-2 Colour, Appare	LCS			102.1		%	1.5	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619803-1 Colour, Appare	MB ent			<2.0		CU		2	17-SEP-21
FC-WT		Water							
Batch R	5587656								
WG3620176-4 Conductivity	DUP		WG3620176-3 224	222		umhos/cm	0.9	10	18-SEP-21
WG3620176-2 Conductivity	LCS			104.8		%		90-110	18-SEP-21
WG3620176-1 Conductivity	MB			<1 <u>.</u> 0		umhos/cm		1	18-SEP-21
Batch R	5587669								
WG3620177-4 Conductivity	DUP		WG3620177-3 970	978		umhos/cm	0.8	10	18-SEP-21
WG3620177-2 Conductivity	LCS			104.5		%		90-110	18-SEP-21
WG3620177-1 Conductivity	MB			<1.0		umhos/cm		1	18-SEP-21
Batch R	5587676								
WG3620180-4 Conductivity	DUP		WG3620180-3 1620	1610		umhos/cm	0.6	10	18-SEP-21
WG3620180-2 Conductivity	LCS			104.2		%		90-110	18-SEP-21
WG3620180-1 Conductivity	MB			<1.0		umhos/cm		1	18-SEP-21



			Workorder:	L264050)7	Report Date:	23-SEP-21		Page 5 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING	SULTANTS ING	C. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
EC-WT		Water							
Batch R	85590661								
WG3620028-4	DUP		WG3620028-3						
Conductivity			842	834		umhos/cm	1.0	10	17-SEP-21
WG3620028-2 Conductivity	LCS			104.9		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3620028-1 Conductivity	MB			<1.0		umhos/cm		1	17-SEP-21
Batch R	85592542								
WG3620029-4	DUP		WG3620029-3						
Conductivity			760	762		umhos/cm	0.3	10	17-SEP-21
WG3620029-2	LCS								
Conductivity				106.9		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3620029-1 Conductivity	MB			<1.0		umhos/cm		1	17-SEP-21
F-IC-N-WT		Water							
Batch R	85587323								
WG3619689-14 Fluoride (F)	4 DUP		L2640507-5 0.058	0.058		mg/L	0.0	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-9 Fluoride (F)	DUP		L2640415-8 0.225	0.223		mg/L	0.9	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 2 Fluoride (F)	2 LCS			101.5		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-7	LCS								
Fluoride (F)				101.2		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 Fluoride (F)	1 MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-6 Fluoride (F)	MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-10 Fluoride (F)	0 MS		L2640415-8	94.3		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-19 Fluoride (F)	5 MS		L2640507-5	97.5		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
F1-HS-511-WT		Water							
Batch R	\$5590205								
WG3620476-4 F1 (C6-C10)	DUP		WG3620476-3 1550	1540		ug/L	0.7	30	21-SEP-21
WG3620476-1 F1 (C6-C10)	LCS			89.5		%		80-120	21-SEP-21
WG3620476-2	МВ								



			Workorder:	L2640507	R	eport Date:	23-SEP-21		Page 6 of 15	
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) 520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9									
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS								
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
F1-HS-511-WT		Water								
Batch	R5590205									
WG3620476- F1 (C6-C10)	2 MB			<25		ua/l		25	21_SEP_21	
Surrogate: 3.	4-Dichloro	toluene		98.8		%		60-140	21-SEP-21	
WG3620476-	5 MS		WG3620476-3			,0		00 110	21-321-21	
F1 (C6-C10)	5 1110		100020470-0	71.9		%		60-140	21-SEP-21	
Batch	R5591796									
WG3621615-4	4 DUP		WG3621615-3							
F1 (C6-C10)			<25	<25	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	22-SEP-21	
WG3621615-	1 LCS			00.7		0/				
FT (C6-C10)				92.7		70		80-120	22-SEP-21	
WG3621615- F1 (C6-C10)	2 MB			<25		ug/L		25	22-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 3,	4-Dichloro	toluene		98.6		%		60-140	22-SEP-21	
WG3621615-	5 MS		WG3621615-3							
F1 (C6-C10)				89.7		%		60-140	22-SEP-21	
F2-F4-511-WT		Water								
Batch	R5595460									
WG3621356-2	2 LCS			106 4		0/		70.400		
F2 (C10-C10	יי גע			100.4 111.0		70 0/_		70-130	23-SEP-21	
F4 (C34-C50	·) 1)			106.0		70 %		70-130	23-SEP-21	
WG3621356-	1 MB			100.0		70		70-150	23-3EF-21	
F2 (C10-C16	i)			<100		ug/L		100	23-SEP-21	
F3 (C16-C34	.)			<250		ug/L		250	23-SEP-21	
F4 (C34-C50))			<250		ug/L		250	23-SEP-21	
Surrogate: 2-	Bromoben	zotrifluoride		88.6		%		60-140	23-SEP-21	
MET-D-CCMS-W	/Т	Water								
Batch	R5587486									
WG3619231-	4 DUP		WG3619231-3							
Aluminum (AI)-Dissolved		0.0285	0.0301		mg/L	5.5	20	17-SEP-21		
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved			0.00023	0.00022		mg/L	2.9	20	17-SEP-21	
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved			0.00059	0.00062		mg/L	5.0	20	17-SEP-21	
Barium (Ba)-	Dissolved		0.00466	0.00475		mg/L	1.9	20	17-SEP-21	
Beryllium (Be	e)-Dissolve	d	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21	
Bismuth (Bi)- -	Dissolved		<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21	
Boron (B)-Dis	ssolved		<0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21	



Client:

Contact:

Batch

MET-D-CCMS-WT

Test

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2640507 Report Date: 23-SEP-21 Page 7 of 15 MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) 520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9 FRASER CUMMINGS Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed Water R5587486 WG3619231-4 DUP Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved WG3619231-3 0.000056 ~~ . /1 -0 0000050

Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved	<0.0000050	0.0000056	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	12.7	12.7		mg/L	0.4	20	17-SEP-21
Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved	<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Copper (Cu)-Dissolved	0.00188	0.00186		mg/L	1.4	20	17-SEP-21
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved	0.022	0.021		mg/L	2.5	20	17-SEP-21
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved	0.000183	0.000181		mg/L	1.0	20	17-SEP-21
Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved	1.69	1.71		mg/L	1.0	20	17-SEP-21
Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	0.00128	0.00127		mg/L	0.7	20	17-SEP-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved	0.00106	0.00109		mg/L	2.3	20	17-SEP-21
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Phosphorus (P)-Dissolved	<0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Potassium (K)-Dissolved	1.50	1.51		mg/L	0.9	20	17-SEP-21
Selenium (Se)-Dissolved	0.000105	0.000109		mg/L	3.7	20	17-SEP-21
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved	0.242	0.238		mg/L	1.4	20	17-SEP-21
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Sodium (Na)-Dissolved	10.9	11.0		mg/L	1.0	20	17-SEP-21
Strontium (Sr)-Dissolved	0.0765	0.0757		mg/L	1.0	20	17-SEP-21
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved	0.000013	0.000012		mg/L	12	20	17-SEP-21
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved	<0.00030	<0.00030	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
Tungsten (W)-Dissolved	0.00031	0.00030		mg/L	2.3	20	17-SEP-21
Uranium (U)-Dissolved	0.000102	0.000102		mg/L	0.1	20	17-SEP-21
Vanadium (V)-Dissolved	0.00073	0.00073		mg/L	0.5	20	17-SEP-21
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved	0.0010	0.0011		mg/L	2.4	20	17-SEP-21
Zirconium (Zr)-Dissolved	<0.00020	<0.00020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619231-2 LCS Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved		97.7		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved		98.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Arsenic (As)-Dissolved		98.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Barium (Ba)-Dissolved		98.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved		96.3		%		80-120	17-SEP-21
Bismuth (Bi)-Dissolved		96.8		%		80-120	17-SEP-21


Client:

Contact:

Quality Control Report

Workorder:L2640507Report Date:23-SEP-21Page8of15MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener)520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVEKITCHENER ON N2B 3X9FRASER CUMMINGS

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-D-CCMS-WT	Water								
Batch R558	37486								
WG3619231-2	LCS		00.0		0/				
Boron (B)-Dissolv			90.3		% 0/		80-120	17-SEP-21	
	ssoived		95.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
	solved		94.9		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
	ISSOIVED		96.1		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Cobalt (Co)-Disso	lived		96.8		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Copper (Cu)-Diss	olved		96.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Iron (Fe)-Dissolve	ed .		96.0		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Lead (Pb)-Dissolv	ved		97.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Magnesium (Mg)-	Dissolved		98.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Manganese (Mn)-	Dissolved		96.8		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Molybdenum (Mo))-Dissolved		98.7		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Nickel (Ni)-Dissol	ved		95.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Phosphorus (P)-D	Dissolved		105.8		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Potassium (K)-Dis	ssolved		97.3		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Selenium (Se)-Dis	ssolved		94.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Silicon (Si)-Dissol	ved		98.6		%		60-140	17-SEP-21	
Silver (Ag)-Dissol	ved		102.0		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Sodium (Na)-Diss	olved		96.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Strontium (Sr)-Dis	solved		99.2		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Thallium (TI)-Diss	olved		97.3		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved	d		97.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Titanium (Ti)-Diss	solved		94.7		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Tungsten (W)-Dis	solved		96.3		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Uranium (U)-Diss	olved		98.8		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Vanadium (V)-Dis	solved		96.6		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolve	ed		99.5		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
Zirconium (Zr)-Dis	ssolved		97.0		%		80-120	17-SEP-21	
WG3619231-1	MB								
Aluminum (Al)-Dis	ssolved		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	17-SEP-21	
Antimony (Sb)-Dis	ssolved		<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21	
Arsenic (As)-Diss	olved		<0.00010)	mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21	
Barium (Ba)-Disso	olved		<0.00010	1	mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21	
Beryllium (Be)-Dis	ssolved		<0.00010	I	mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21	
Bismuth (Bi)-Diss	olved		<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	17-SEP-21	



		Workorder: L2640507			Report Date: 23		Page 9 of 15	
Client: Contact:	MTE CONSULTANTS I 520 BINGEMANS CEN KITCHENER ON N2B FRASER CUMMINGS	NC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE 3X9						
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-D-CCMS	S-WT Water							
Batch	R5587486							
WG361923	31-1 MB							
Boron (B)	-Dissolved		<0.010		mg/L		0.01	17-SEP-21
Cadmium	(Cd)-Dissolved		<0.00000)5C	mg/L		0.000005	17-SEP-21
Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	17-SEP-21
Chromium	n (Cr)-Dissolved		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	17-SEP-21
Cobalt (Co	o)-Dissolved		<0.00010)	mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21
Copper (C	Cu)-Dissolved		<0.00020)	mg/L		0.0002	17-SEP-21
Iron (Fe)-I	Dissolved		<0.010		mg/L		0.01	17-SEP-21
Lead (Pb)	-Dissolved		<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	17-SEP-21
Magnesiu	m (Mg)-Dissolved		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	17-SEP-21
Manganes	se (Mn)-Dissolved		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	17-SEP-21
Molybden	um (Mo)-Dissolved		<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	17-SEP-21
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	17-SEP-21
Phosphor	us (P)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	17-SEP-21
Potassium	n (K)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	17-SEP-21
Selenium	(Se)-Dissolved		<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	17-SEP-21
Silicon (Si)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	17-SEP-21
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved		<0.00005	50	mg/L		0.00005	17-SEP-21
Sodium (N	Na)-Dissolved		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	17-SEP-21
Strontium	(Sr)-Dissolved		<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	17-SEP-21
Thallium (TI)-Dissolved		<0.00001	10	mg/L		0.00001	17-SEP-21
Tin (Sn)-L			<0.00010)	mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21
Titanium ((II)-Dissolved		<0.00030)	mg/L		0.0003	17-SEP-21
lungsten	(W)-Dissolved		<0.00010)	mg/L		0.0001	17-SEP-21
Uranium (U)-Dissolved		<0.00001	10	mg/L		0.00001	17-SEP-21
Vanadium	(V)-Dissolved		<0.00050)	mg/L		0.0005	17-SEP-21
Zinc (Zn)-			<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	17-SEP-21
Zirconium	(Zr)-Dissolved		<0.00020)	mg/L		0.0002	17-SEP-21
WG361923 Aluminum	31-5 MS (Al)-Dissolved	WG3619231-	3 98.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Antimony	(Sb)-Dissolved		106.7		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Arsenic (A	s)-Dissolved		110.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Barium (B	a)-Dissolved		100.1		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Beryllium	(Be)-Dissolved		108.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Bismuth (I	Bi)-Dissolved		95.2		%		70-130	17-SEP-21



Client:

Quality Control Report

Report Date: 23-SEP-21

Page 10 of 15

Workorder: L2640507

MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener)

520 B KITCF	INGEMANS CENT HENER ON N2B (RE DRIVE 3X9						
Contact: FRAS	ER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-D-CCMS-WT	Water							
Batch R55874	86							
WG3619231-5 MS	i	WG3619231-	3		<i></i>			
Boron (B)-Dissolved			96.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Diss	olved		105.2		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Calcium (Ca)-Dissol	ved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	17-SEP-21
Chromium (Cr)-Diss	olved		98.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Cobalt (Co)-Dissolve	ed		99.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Copper (Cu)-Dissolv	ed		97.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Iron (Fe)-Dissolved			95.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Lead (Pb)-Dissolved			100.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Magnesium (Mg)-Dis	ssolved		97.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Manganese (Mn)-Dis	ssolved		99.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-D	issolved		103.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved	ł		98.1		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Phosphorus (P)-Diss	solved		116.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Potassium (K)-Disso	lved		96.6		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Selenium (Se)-Disso	lved		118.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Silicon (Si)-Dissolve	d		100.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Silver (Ag)-Dissolved	t		104.9		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Sodium (Na)-Dissol	ved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	17-SEP-21
Strontium (Sr)-Disso	lved		N/A	MS-B	%		-	17-SEP-21
Thallium (TI)-Dissolv	red		100.2		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Tin (Sn)-Dissolved			100.6		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Titanium (Ti)-Dissolv	ved		100.8		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Tungsten (W)-Disso	lved		100.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Uranium (U)-Dissolv	ed		102.3		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Vanadium (V)-Disso	lved		101.0		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved			108.6		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
Zirconium (Zr)-Disso	lved		102.5		%		70-130	17-SEP-21
NH3-F-WT	Water							
Batch R55879	42							
WG3619797-3 DU		L2640599-3	0.005					
Ammonia, Iotai (as	IN)	0.024	0.025		mg/L	5.7	20	20-SEP-21
WG3619797-2 LC Ammonia Total (as	S N)		106.0		%		85, 115	20 SED 21
WC3610707 4	•••		100.0		<i>,</i> ,		00-110	20-367-21
WG3013/3/-1 WE)							



			Workorder:	L2640507	. I	Report Date:	23-SEP-21		Page 11 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	ISULTANTS INC EMANS CENTRE ER ON N2B 3X	. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NH3-F-WT		Water							
Batch I WG3619797-1 Ammonia, To	R5587942 1 MB otal (as N)			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	20-SEP-21
WG3619797- 4 Ammonia, To	4 MS otal (as N)		L2640599-3	107.3		%		75-125	20-SEP-21
NO2-IC-WT		Water							
Batch I	R5587323								
WG3619689-1 Nitrite (as N)	14 DUP		L2640507-5 <0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-9 Nitrite (as N)) DUP		L2640415-8 <0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- 1 Nitrite (as N)	12 LCS			98.6		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-7 Nitrite (as N)	7 LCS			98.7		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 Nitrite (as N)	11 MB			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-6 Nitrite (as N)	6 MB			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- 1 Nitrite (as N)	10 MS		L2640415-8	98.8		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- 1 Nitrite (as N)	15 MS		L2640507-5	100.5		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
NO3-IC-WT		Water							
Batch I WG3619689-1	R5587323 14 DUP		L2640507-5						
Nitrate (as N) WG3619689-9) DUP		7.75 L2640415-8	7.74		mg/L	0.0	20	17-SEP-21
Nitrate (as N)) 12 I CS		0.478	0.477		mg/L	0.1	20	17-SEP-21
Nitrate (as N)				98.9		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
Nitrate (as N))			99.1		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 Nitrate (as N)	11 MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-6 Nitrate (as N)	6 MB			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	17-SEP-21
WG3619689-1 Nitrate (as N)	10 MS		L2640415-8	98.9		%		75-125	17-SEP-21



			Workorder:	L264050	7	Report Date:	23-SEP-21		Page 12 of 15
Client: Contact:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN FRASER	NSULTANTS INC. EMANS CENTRE IER ON N2B 3X9 CUMMINGS	(Kitchener) E DRIVE 9						
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NO3-IC-WT		Water							
Batch F	R5587323	Trator							
WG3619689-1 Nitrate (as N)	5 MS		L2640507-5	N/A	MS-B	%		-	17-SEP-21
PH-WT		Water							
Batch F	R5587656								
WG3620176-4 рН	DUP		WG3620176-3 8.25	8.26	J	pH units	0.01	0.2	18-SEP-21
WG3620176-2	LCS								
рН				7.00		pH units		6.9-7.1	18-SEP-21
Batch F	R5587669								
WG3620177-4	DUP		WG3620177-3	0.00		and the second second			
рн			8.04	8.03	J	pH units	0.01	0.2	18-SEP-21
WG3620177-2 рН	LCS			7.01		pH units		6.9-7.1	18-SEP-21
Batch F	R5587676								
WG3620180-4	DUP		WG3620180-3						
рН			7.96	8.02	J	pH units	0.06	0.2	18-SEP-21
WG3620180-2 pH	LCS			7.03		pH units		6 9-7 1	18-SEP-21
P-t-h	75500004							0.0 7.1	
WG3620028-4			WG3620028-3						
pH			8.17	8.12	J	pH units	0.05	0.2	17-SEP-21
WG3620028-2	LCS								
рН				7.00		pH units		6.9-7.1	17-SEP-21
Batch F	R5592542								
WG3620029-4	DUP		WG3620029-3	8 21		nH units	0.06	0.2	17 050 01
WC2620020 2			0.27	0.21	J	pri units	0.00	0.2	17-3EP-21
pH	. 203			7.00		pH units		6.9-7.1	17-SEP-21
PO4-DO-COL-WI	г	Water							
Batch F	R5588140								
WG3620694-1	1 DUP	red (ac D)	L2640507-1	<0.0000				00	00 0 0 <i>i</i>
Ormophospha		eu (as P)	<u>~0.0030</u>	<0.0030	RPD-NA	mg/∟	N/A	20	20-SEP-21
WG3620694-1 Orthophospha	u LCS ate-Dissolv	/ed (as P)		95.7		%		80-120	20-SEP-21
WG3620694-9	MB								
Orthophospha	ate-Dissolv	/ed (as P)		<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	20-SEP-21



			Workorder:	L264050)7	Report Date: 23	3-SEP-21		Page 13 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN FRASER	ISULTANTS IN(EMANS CENTF ER ON N2B 3) CUMMINGS	C. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE K9						
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Unite	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
				Result	Quanner				
PO4-DO-COL-W	/T	Water							
WG3620694- Orthophosph	12 MS nate-Dissolv	ed (as P)	L2640507-1	98.7		%		70-130	20-SEP-21
SO4-IC-N-WT		Water							
Batch	R5587323								
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	14 DUP		L2640507-5 10.2	10.2		mg/L	0.2	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	9 DUP		L2640415-8 245	245		mg/L	0.1	20	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	12 LCS			100.0		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	7 LCS			100.0		%		90-110	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	11 MB			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	6 MB			<0.30		mg/L		0.3	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	10 MS		L2640415-8	N/A	MS-B	%		_	17-SEP-21
WG3619689- Sulfate (SO4	15 MS		L2640507-5	101.1		%		75-125	17-SEP-21
SOLIDS-TDS-W	т	Water							
Batch	R5588157								
WG3620349- Total Dissolv	3 DUP ved Solids		L2640507-4 352	350		mg/L	0.7	20	19-SEP-21
WG3620349- Total Dissolv	2 LCS ved Solids			99.7		%		85-115	19-SEP-21
WG3620349- Total Dissolv	1 MB ved Solids			<10		mg/L		10	19-SEP-21
TURBIDITY-WT		Water							
Batch	R5586811								
WG3619393- Turbidity	3 DUP		L2640599-2 257	251		NTU	2.4	15	17-SEP-21
WG3619393- Turbidity	2 LCS			102.0		%		85-115	17-SEP-21
WG3619393- Turbidity	1 MB			<0.10		NTU		0.1	17-SEP-21



			Workorder:	L2640507		Report Date:	23-SEP-21		Page 14 of 15
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	SULTANTS INC EMANS CENTRI ER ON N2B 3X	. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 9						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS	-						
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
TURBIDITY-WT		Water							
Batch	R5590697								
WG3619401-3 Turbidity	3 DUP		L2640507-8 550	556		NTU	1.1	15	17-SEP-21
WG3619401-2 Turbidity	2 LCS			99.96		%		85-115	17-SEP-21
WG3619401- Turbidity	1 MB			<0.10		NTU		0.1	17-SEP-21

Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener)
	520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE
	KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.



<f2-< th=""><th>→∢</th><th>-<mark>F3→∢</mark>F4</th><th>I─→</th><th></th></f2-<>	→ ∢	- <mark>F3→∢</mark> F4	I ─→	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline -> 🔸			Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
+	Diesel/Jet l	Fuels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>**</th><th>-F3 → ← F4</th><th>↓──★</th><th></th></f2-<>	**	- F 3 → ← F4	↓ ──★	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	Gasoline -> 🔸		Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
+	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→∢</th><th>-F3</th><th></th><th></th></f2-<>	→ ∢	- F 3		
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	5
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline → ←			Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	,
4	- Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→</th><th>-F3</th><th></th><th></th></f2-<>	→	- F3		
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	e ->	→ 1	Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	,
4	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	2
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin 4	ie → - Diesel/Jet F	uels→	Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	i.

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>*4</th><th>-F3 ► F4</th><th>·</th><th></th></f2-<>	*4	- F 3 ► F4	·	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	9
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	Gasoline 🔸 🔸		Notor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
4	- Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→ <</th><th>-F3 → </th><th>↓</th><th></th></f2-<>	→ <	-F3 → 	↓	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	8
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	Gasoline → ←		Motor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	•
4	-Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→ ◀</th><th>-F3</th><th>↓▶</th><th></th></f2-<>	→ ◀	- F3	↓ ▶	
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	2
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasolin	e ->		Notor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	>
4	-Diesel/Jet I	Fuels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



<f2-< th=""><th>→</th><th>-<mark>F3 → </mark></th><th></th><th></th></f2-<>	→	- <mark>F3 → </mark>		
nC10	nC16	nC34	nC50	
174°C	287°C	481°C	575°C	
346°F	549°F	898°F	1067°F	
Gasoline ->		< N	Notor Oils/Lube Oils/Grease	
4	- Diesel/Jet F	uels →		

The CCME F2-F4 Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products and four n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples, but general patterns and distributions will remain similar.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor and the scale at the left.



coc Number: 20 - 8 3 8 2 2 2 2



A				L2640507-	0010												
S ww	w.alsglobal.com							Τι	Irnaroun	d Time (TA	T) Request	ed	_				
		final report		Reports / Rec	ipients		Routine	TR1 if rece	eived by 3	xm M-F - no	surcharges a	pply					
То	Contact and company name below will appear on the	CiAl	Select Report Forma	at: NO POF	EXCEL D EDD (DI	GITAL)		P41 if rece	ived by 3p	m M-F - 20 ⁴	% rush surcha	arge minimum	AFF	IX ALS BARC	ODE LABE	EL HEF	₹E
inv:	MTE CONSULTANT	2 INC	Merge QC/QCI Re	ports with COA	YES 🗌 NO L	J N/A	☐ 1 day [[P3] if rec	eived by 3	pm M-F - 25	% rush surch	arge minimum		(ALS u	se only)		
#	FRASER CUMMIN	103	Compare Results t	o Criteria on Report - prov	ide details below if box o	пескеа	2 day [[P2] if rec	eived by 3	pm M-F - 50	1% rush surch	varoe minimum					
	519-743-6500		Select Distribution:	EMAIL	MAIL LI FAX		🗋 1 day [l	E] if rece	ived by 3pr	n M-F - IU	200% rush si	urcharge. Addition	nal fees				
·(Company address below will appear on the final report	DP	E will der Eav	CUMMINO	SOMTES	35. COM	Same di	lay [E2] if poly to rush	received of requests (on weekends,	statutory holid	ays and non-rout	ne tests				
	520 BINGEMANS	CENTREM	Email 1 01 Pax	AYP MTE	85.COM			e and Tim	e Require	d for all E&f	TATS:		<u> 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997</u>	د ده <u>در د</u>			<u>م</u>
muinca:	KITCHENER / ON		Email 3 TK	AMUTZK	IC MTE 9	5.COM	Date	e and run	For	all tests with I	ush TATs requ	uested, please co	ntact your AM to co	onfirm availability.		_	-
Code:	NZB		Email 5	Invoice Rec	ipients		<u> </u>				A	nalysis Rec	uest				Τ
Te St	ame as Report To		a totavaica Dist	ibution MEMA		AX			Les d'anabi	Filtered (E)	Preserved (P) or Filtered and	Preserved (F/P)	below		le l	
e 10 50	and do rep		Select Invoice Dist	C. ALALAIAT	CRMTCS	5.COM	SH -		Indicate	Pilleled (17			TT			15	
			Email 1 or Fax	COMPLICI			191-	_	++		++				a		
any:			Email 2	and Gas Required	Fields (client use)		I T	-							12		
ict:	Project Information				PO#		151	50							ĬĬ	AC	
	Duote #		AFE/Cost Center:		Routing Code:		<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	X								S B	,
Account #16	46639-10	0	Major/Minor Code:					-									,
TO	10150- 1005	()C	Requisitioner:				ō	南,	13						1	μ L	1
AFE:		RV.	Location:				R.	5 1	ju								1
	10,110	Cal	ALS Contact:		Sampler:			21	1						N N	₹ ₿	ż
S Lab Work	Order # (ALS use only): 2640	202			Time		731	U.W.	04						U	n í	ù
F	Sample Identification an	d/or Coordinates		Date	(hh:mm)	Sample Type	Ī			┶╼┿╸	-++	-+-+	-++				
S Sample #	(This description will app	ear on the report)		(dd-mmini-yy)	03.50	GW	7	1.	///				-+-+-	+-+	1-1-		-
LS use only)	(1113 00001)-20			16-SEP-21	00:00			11	11					+-+	+-+-	-†	
	MW 101 20				09.97		131	1	11					-++	+-+-	-+	
	MW102-20				10:35		-1-1	1	11	1-1-							
	MW103-20	l			11:16		1		17	++						-+	_
	MW104-20			+	12:52		7	1	-17	╶┼──┼╸	-+-+						
	MW105-20)		+	13:11		7	1	1	,				-			
	111110/02 7	0			111:21		7	1	///				-++-	-+-+		-	
	MWINGE	0			14 51	┼╌╌╄╼╌	17	17	7							-+	
	MW 10 7- 1				1237		- 17	171	17	1-1							_
	MW 103-	10		V	11:57	V		+++		-++							
	MW 109-2	20							<u></u>								
	1												┍━╀╼╄╴				
														use only)	طور سور المراجع	امت بينيا	-
											SAMPLE	RECEIPT DI		TEN []	COOLING	G INITIA	T
1000		Notes / S	pecify Limits for resu	It evaluation by select	cting from drop-down	Delow	Coo	oling Me	thod:	D NONE	ICE ICE	Le Pageint	Notification:	T YE	s 🛛	OM [
Drinki	ing Water (DW) Samples ¹ (client use)			(Excel COC only)			Sub	omission	Comme	ents identif	ied on San	the receipt	Sample Cust	tody Seals Int	act:	1 7E	S
Drink	The second DW System?						Cod	oler Cus	tody Sea	als Intact:			F'	INAL COOLER 7	remperati	URES *	c
re samples tal	ken from a Regulated DW System								INIITIAL C	OOLER TEN	PERATURE	1 3		T			
	YES M NO						- 1					C.	T RECEPTIO	N (ALS use c	only)		
re samples fo	or human consumption/ use?				ENT RECEPTION	ALS use only)		1		FINA	Dat	e:			Time	3:
	YES NO			INITIAL SHIPN	Date:	<u> </u>	Tin	me.	Recei	ved by:	S		07/16	12001			-
	SHIPMENT RELEASE (chiefit use)	D 21 TI	me: Received by	r:				OUT UT /	COPY	1	4.		and the second design of the s				
		17.4 7 1 14.	5/11			THE OODY	FUOW - (ULIENI (UUFI								
Released by:	the for 16-SE	F 61 10			WHITE - LABORATO	RY COPT 1	Les the hack	c nade of t	he white -	report copy.							

t to any other samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.

rm



MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) ATTN: FRASER CUMMINGS **520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE** KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9

Date Received: 16-NOV-21 Report Date: 23- NOV- 21 13:38 (MT) Version: FINAL

Client Phone: 519-743-6500

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L2663301 Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc:

NOT SUBMITTED 46639-100

Harser

Emily Hansen Account Manager [This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 60 Northland Road, Unit 1, Waterloo, ON N2V 2B8 Canada | Phone: +1 519 886 6910 | Fax: +1 519 886 9047 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group An ALS Limited Company

Environmental 🐊

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2663301 CONTD

Page 2 of 7 23-NOV-21 13:38 (MT)

Sample Details	D !!	0117		11-2	A		O · · · · ·	1 instit	
Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed		Guideline	Limits	
L2663301-1 PW1									
Sampled By: TFC/JAK on 16-NOV-21 @ 10:30									
Matrix [.] WATER						#1	#2		
Physical Tests									
Colour, Apparent	13.1		2.0	CU	17-NOV-21		*5		
Conductivity	605		3.0	umhos/cm	17-NOV-21				
рН	8.20		0.10	pH units	17-NOV-21		6.5-8.5		
Total Dissolved Solids	338	DLDS	20	mg/L	17-NOV-21		500		
Turbidity	44.6		0.10	NTU	17-NOV-21		*5		
Anions and Nutrients									
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	255		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
Alkalinity, Carbonate (as CaCO3)	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
Alkalinity, Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	255		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21		30-500		
Ammonia, Total (as N)	0.044		0.010	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
Bromide (Br)	<0.10		0.10	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
Chloride (Cl)	9.86		0.50	mg/L	17-NOV-21		250		
Computed Conductivity	545			uS/cm	20-NOV-21				
Conductivity % Difference	-10			%	20-NOV-21				
Fluoride (F)	0.11		0.10	mg/L	17-NOV-21	1.5			
Hardness (as CaCO3)	317			mg/L	20-NOV-21		*80-100		
lon Balance	116			%	20-NOV-21				
Langelier Index	1			No Unit	20-NOV-21				
Nitrate (as N)	<0.020		0.020	mg/L	17-NOV-21	10			
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-NOV-21	1			
Saturation pH	7.18			pН	20-NOV-21				
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	<0.0030		0.0030	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
TDS (Calculated)	324			mg/L	20-NOV-21				
Sulfate (SO4)	51.5		0.30	mg/L	17-NOV-21		500		
Anion Sum	5.60			me/L	20-NOV-21				
Cation Sum	6.51			me/L	20-NOV-21				
Cation - Anion Balance	8			%	20-NOV-21				
Inorganic Parameters									
Silica	15500		2100	mg/L	16-NOV-21				
Bacteriological Tests									
E. Coli	0		0	CFU/100m	17-NOV-21	0			
				L					
Total Coliform Background	1		0	CFU/100m	17-NOV-21				
	-		_	L					
Total Coliforms	0		0	CFU/100m	17-NOV-21	0			
Motals				-					
	10.10		0.40						
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	<0.10		0.10	SAR	19-NOV-21				
i utal Metals									
Aluminum (Al)	<10		10	ug/L	19-NOV-21		100		
Antimony (Sb)	<0.60		0.60	ug/L	19-NOV-21	6			
Arsenic (As)	8.3		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	10.0			
Barium (Ba)	246		10	ug/L	19-NOV-21	1000			
Beryllium (Be)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Bismuth (Bi)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Boron (B)	<50		50	ug/L	19-NOV-21	5000			

** Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

* Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Drinking Water Regulation (ODWQS) JAN.1,2020 = [Suite] - ON-DW-STANDARD+GUIDELINES

#1: Schedule 1 (Microbiological) and 2 (Chemical) Standards (JAN, 2020)

#2: Ontario DW Aesthetic and Operational Guidelines (June, 2006)



ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2663301 CONTD

Page 3 of 7 23-NOV-21 13:38 (MT)

Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed		Guidelin	e Limits
L 2663301 1 DW1								
Sampled By: TEC/ IAK on 16-NOV/-21 @ 10:30								
Materia WATER						#1	#2	
Matrix: WATER					-			
Total Metals								
Cadmium (Cd)	<0.10		0.10	ug/L	19-NOV-21	5		
Calcium (Ca)	72.3		0.50	mg/L	19-NOV-21			
Cesium (Cs)	<0.10		0.10	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Chromium (Cr)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	50		
Cobalt (Co)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Copper (Cu)	6.7		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21		1000	
Iron (Fe)	3110		50	ug/L	19-NOV-21		*300	
Lead (Pb)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	10		
Lithium (Li)	<100		100	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Magnesium (Mg)	33.2		0.50	mg/L	19-NOV-21			
Manganese (Mn)	61.9		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21		*50	
Molybdenum (Mo)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Nickel (Ni)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Phosphorus (P)	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	19-NOV-21			
Potassium (K)	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	19-NOV-21			
Rubidium (Rb)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Selenium (Se)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	50		
Silicon (Si)	7200		1000	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Silver (Ag)	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Sodium (Na)	3.81		0.50	mg/L	19-NOV-21	20	200	
Strontium (Sr)	110		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Sulfur (S)	17000		500	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Tellurium (Te)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Thallium (TI)	<0.060		0.060	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Thorium (Th)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Titanium (Ti)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Tungsten (W)	<6.0		6.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Uranium (U)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	20		
Vanadium (V)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
Zinc (Zn)	11.1		3.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21		5000	
Zirconium (Zr)	<0.80		0.80	ug/L	19-NOV-21			
L2663301-2 RW2								
Sampled By: TFC/JAK on 16-NOV-21 @ 12:00								
Matrix: WATER						#1	#2	
					-			
	.0.0		0.0		47 10 101		_	
Colour, Apparent	<2.0		2.0		17-NOV-21		5	
Conductivity	609		3.0	umhos/cm	17-NOV-21			
pH	8.28		0.10	pH units	17-NOV-21		6.5-8.5	
I otal Dissolved Solids	333	DLDS	20	mg/L	17-NOV-21		500	
Iurbidity	1.66		0.10	NTU	17-NOV-21		5	
Anions and Nutrients								
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	227		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21			
Alkalinity, Carbonate (as CaCO3)	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21			
Alkalinity, Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21			
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	227		1.0	mg/L	17-NOV-21		30-500	

** Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

* Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Drinking Water Regulation (ODWQS) JAN.1,2020 = [Suite] - ON-DW-STANDARD+GUIDELINES

#1: Schedule 1 (Microbiological) and 2 (Chemical) Standards (JAN, 2020)

#2: Ontario DW Aesthetic and Operational Guidelines (June, 2006)



ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2663301 CONTD

Page 4 of 7 23-NOV-21 13:38 (MT)

Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed		Guidelin	e Limits	
Sampled By: TEC/JAK on 16 NOV 21 @ 12:00									
Materia WATER						#1	#2		
Matrix. WATER									
Anions and Nutrients									
Ammonia, Total (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	18-NOV-21				
Bromide (Br)	<0.10		0.10	mg/L	17-NOV-21				
Chloride (Cl)	33.2		0.50	mg/L	17-NOV-21		250		
Computed Conductivity	540			uS/cm	20-NOV-21				
Conductivity % Difference	-12		0.40	%	20-NOV-21	4 5			
	<0.10		0.10	mg/L	17-NOV-21	1.5	*00.400		
Hardness (as CaCOS)	279			mg/L	20-NOV-21		-80-100		
	1			70 No Unit	20-NOV-21				
Nitrate (as N)	7 29		0 020	ma/l	17-NOV-21	10			
Nitrite (as N)	<0.010		0.010	mg/L	17-NOV-21	10			
Saturation pH	7 25		0.010	nH	20-NOV-21				
Orthophosphate-Dissolved (as P)	< 0.0030		0.0030	ma/L	17-NOV-21				
TDS (Calculated)	326			mg/L	20-NOV-21				
Sulfate (SO4)	16.0		0.30	mg/L	17-NOV-21		500		
Anion Sum	5.58			me/L	20-NOV-21				
Cation Sum	6.18			me/L	20-NOV-21				
Cation - Anion Balance	5			%	20-NOV-21				
Inorganic Parameters									
Silica	13100		2100	mg/L	16-NOV-21				
Bacteriological Tests									
E. Coli	0		0	CFU/100m	17-NOV-21	0			
Total Coliform Background	140	DLM	10	CFU/100m	17-NOV-21				
Total Califorma	F20		10		17 NOV 21	*0			
Total Comornis	520	DLINI	10	L	17-100-21	U			
Metals									
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	0.36		0.10	SAR	19-NOV-21				
lotal Metals	10		10						
Aluminum (Al)	<10		10	ug/L	19-NOV-21	0	100		
Antimony (Sb)	<0.60		0.60	ug/L	19-NOV-21	6 10.0			
Arsenic (As)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	10.0			
Bondlium (Bo)	90 <0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21	1000			
Bismuth (Bi)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Boron (B)	<50		50	ug/L	19-NOV-21	5000			
Cadmium (Cd)	<0.10		0.10	ug/L	19-NOV-21	5			
Calcium (Ca)	67.3		0.50	mg/L	19-NOV-21	-			
Cesium (Cs)	<0.10		0.10	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Chromium (Cr)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	50			
Cobalt (Co)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Copper (Cu)	139		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21		1000		
Iron (Fe)	122		50	ug/L	19-NOV-21		300		
Lead (Pb)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	10			
Lithium (Li)	<100		100	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Magnesium (Mg)	26.9		0.50	mg/L	19-NOV-21				

** Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

* Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Drinking Water Regulation (ODWQS) JAN.1,2020 = [Suite] - ON-DW-STANDARD+GUIDELINES

#1: Schedule 1 (Microbiological) and 2 (Chemical) Standards (JAN,2020)

#2: Ontario DW Aesthetic and Operational Guidelines (June, 2006)



ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2663301 CONTD

Page 5 of 7 23-NOV-21 13:38 (MT)

Sample Details Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed		Guidelir	ne Limits	
					,				
L2663301-2 RW2									
Sampled By: TFC/JAK on 16-NOV-21 @ 12:00						#1	#2		
Matrix: WATER									
Total Metals									
Manganese (Mn)	1.8		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21		50		
Molybdenum (Mo)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Nickel (Ni)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Phosphorus (P)	<0.050		0.050	mg/L	19-NOV-21				
Potassium (K)	<1.0		1.0	mg/L	19-NOV-21				
Rubidium (Rb)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Selenium (Se)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	50			
Silicon (SI)	6100		1000	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Silver (Ag) Sodium (No)	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	19-INOV-21	20	200		
Strontium (Sr)	95.2		1.0	ing/∟	19-NOV-21	20	200		
Sulfur (S)	5120		500	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Tellurium (Te)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19 NOV-21				
Thallium (TI)	< 0.060		0.060	ua/L	19-NOV-21				
Thorium (Th)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Tin (Sn)	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Titanium (Ti)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Tungsten (W)	<6.0		6.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Uranium (U)	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21	20			
Vanadium (V)	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
Zinc (Zn)	11.1		3.0	ug/L	19-NOV-21		5000		
Zirconium (Zr)	<0.80		0.80	ug/L	19-NOV-21				
					1			1	I

** Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

* Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Drinking Water Regulation (ODWQS) JAN.1,2020 = [Suite] - ON-DW-STANDARD+GUIDELINES

Reference Information

Sample Parameter Qualifier key listed:

Sample Parameter Qu	alitier key listed	:	
Qualifier Descr	ption		
DLDS Detec	ion Limit Raised:	Dilution required due to high Disso	lved Solids / Electrical Conductivity.
DLM Detec	ion Limit Adjuste	d due to sample matrix effects (e.g.	chemical interference, colour, turbidity).
Methods Listed (if ap	olicable):		
ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference***
ALK-SPEC-PCT-WT	Water	Automated Speciated Alkalinity	APHA 2320B
This analysis is carrie pH 4.5 endpoint. Bica BR-IC-N-ONT-DW-WT	d out using proce rbonate, carbona Water	edures adapted from APHA Method ate and hydroxide alkalinity are calcu Bromide in Water by IC	2320 "Alkalinity". Total alkalinity is determined by potentiometric titration to a lated from phenolphthalein alkalinity and total alkalinity values. EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are CL-IC-N-ONT-DW-WT	analyzed by Ion (Water	Chromatography with conductivity an Chloride by IC	nd/or UV detection. EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are	analyzed by I on (Chromatography with conductivity ar	nd/or UV detection.
COLOUR-APP-DW-W	Г Water	Colour	APHA 2120
Apparent Colour is m decanting. Colour me adjustment. Concurr	easured spectrop asurements can ant measurement	photometrically by comparison to pla be highly pH dependent, and apply t of sample pH is recommended.	atinum-cobalt standards using the single wavelength method after sample to the pH of the sample as received (at time of testing), without pH
EC-MF-DW-WT	Water	E. coli	SM 9222D
A 100 mL volume of s Method ID: WT-TM-1	ample is filtered	through a membrane, the membrar	ie is placed on mFC-BCIG agar and incubated at 44.5 –0 .2 $lpha$ C for 24 – 2 h.
EC-ONT-DW-WT	Water	Conductivity	APHA 2510 B
Water samples can b EC-SCREEN-WT	e measured dired Water	ctly by immersing the conductivity co Conductivity Screen (Internal Use Only)	ell into the sample. APHA 2510
Qualitative analysis c ETL-SAR-CALC-WT	f conductivity wh Water	ere required during preparation of of Sodium Adsorption Ratio	ther tests - e.g. TDS, metals, etc. Calculation
ETL-SILICA-CALC-W1	Water	Calculate from SI-TOT-WT	EPA 200.8
F-DW-IC-WT	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are IONBALANCE-OP03-V	analyzed by lon (√T Water	Chromatography with conductivity ar Detailed Ion Balance Calculation	nd/or UV detection. APHA 1030E, 2330B, 2510A
MET-ONT-DW-WT	Water	Drinking Water Metals	EPA 6020A
NH3-F-ONT-DW-WT	Water	Ammonia in Water by Fluorescence	J. ENVIRON. MONIT., 2005, 7, 37-42, RSC
This analysis is carrie of Chemistry, "Flow-ii al.	d out, on sulfuric ijection analysis	acid preserved samples, using pro- with fluorescence detection for the c	cedures modified from J. Environ. Monit., 2005, 7, 37 - 42, The Royal Society letermination of trace levels of ammonium in seawater", Roslyn J. Waston et
NO2-DW-IC-WT	Water	Nitrite in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are NO3-DW-IC-WT	analyzed by Ion (Water	Chromatography with conductivity ar Nitrate in Water by IC	nd/or UV detection. EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic anions are	analyzed by Ion (Chromatography with conductivity ar	nd/or UV detection.
PH-ONT-DW-WT	Water	pH	APHA 4500 H-Electrode
Water samples are a	nalyzed directly b	y a calibrated pH meter.	
Analysis conducted in Protection Act (July 1 PO4-DO-COL-DW-WT	n accordance with , 2011). Holdtime Water	n the Protocol for Analytical Methods for samples under this regulation is Diss. Orthophosphate in Water by Colour	s Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental s 28 days APHA 4500-P PHOSPHORUS
This analysis is carrie colourimetrically on a SO4-IC-N-ONT-DW-W	d out using proce sample that has T Water	edures adapted from APHA Method been lab or field filtered through a 0 Sulfate in Water by IC	4500-P "Phosphorus". Dissolved Orthophosphate is determined .45 micron membrane filter. EPA 300.1 (mod)

Inorganic anions are analyzed by Ion Chromatography with conductivity and/or UV detection.

Reference Information

SOLIDS-TDS-ONT-DW- WT	Water	Total Dissolved Solids	APHA 2540C							
This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2540 "Solids". Solids are determined gravimetrically. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) are determined by filtering a sample through a glass fibre filter, TDS is determined by evaporating the filtrate to dryness at 180 degrees celsius.TC-MF-DW-WTWaterTotalColiformsSM 9222B										
A 100mL volume of samp Method ID: WT-TM-1200	e is filtered thr	rough a membrane, the membrane	is placed on mENDO LES agar and incubated at 35–0.5 ∞ for 24–2h.							
TCB-MF-DW-WT	Water	Total Coliform Background	SM 9222B							
A 100mL volume of samp Method ID: WT-TM-1200.	e is filtered thr	ough a membrane, the membrane	is placed on mENDO LES agar and incubated at 35 –0.5∞C for 24–2h.							
TURB-MET-WT	Water	Turbidity on preserved metals	APHA 2130 B							
Sample result is based on by a standard reference su	a comparison	sample of the intensity of the light scattere er the same conditions. Sample re	ed by the sample under defined conditions with the intensity of light scattered adings are obtained from a Nephelometer.							
TURBIDITY-ONT-DW-WT	Water	Turbidity	APHA 2130 B							
Sample result is based on by a standard reference su	a comparison uspension und	of the intensity of the light scattere er the same conditions. Sample re	ed by the sample under defined conditions with the intensity of light scattered adings are obtained from a Nephelometer.							
** ALS test methods may in	corporate mod	ifications from specified reference	methods to improve performance.							

Chain of Custody numbers:										
The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:										
Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location	Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location							
WT	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WAT ONTARIO, CANADA	ERLOO,								

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there.

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight

mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reporting limit.

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory. UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION. Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.

Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. ALS assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in the information. Guideline limits are not adjusted for the hardness, pH or temperature of the sample (the most conservative values are used). Measurement uncertainty is not applied to test results prior to comparison with specified criteria values.



		Workorder	: L266330)1 R	eport Date:	23-NOV-21		Page 1 of 11
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS IN 520 BINGEMANS CENT KITCHENER ON N2B 3	NC. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE 3X9						
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
ALK-SPEC-PC	CT-WT Water							
Batch	R5654704							
WG366025	2-4 DUP	WG3660252	-3					
Alkalinity,	l otal (as CaCO3)	151	149		mg/L	1.3	20	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	151	149		mg/L	1.3	20	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, 0	Carbonate (as CaCO3)	<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
WG366025 Alkalinity,	2-2 LCS Total (as CaCO3)		92.6		%		85-115	17-NOV-21
WG366025 Alkalinity	2-1 MB Total (as CaCO3)		<2.0		ma/L		2	17-NO\/-21
Alkalinity, I	Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)		<2.0		ma/L		4	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, (Carbonate (as CaCO3)		<2.0		ma/L		2	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Hydroxide (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	17-NOV-21
Batch	P5654708				0			11 110 1 21
WG366025	4-4 DUP	WG3660254	-3					
Alkalinity,	Total (as CaCO3)	269	268		mg/L	0.2	20	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	269	268		mg/L	0.2	20	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, (Carbonate (as CaCO3)	<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
WG366025 Alkalinity,	4-2 LCS Total (as CaCO3)		93.3		%		85-115	17-NOV-21
WG366025	4-1 MB							
Alkalinity,	Total (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		4	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, (Carbonate (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	17-NOV-21
Alkalinity, I	Hydroxide (as CaCO3)		<2.0		mg/L		2	17-NOV-21
BR-IC-N-ONT	-DW-WT Water							
Batch	R5653597							
WG366009 Bromide (F	4-19 DUP	WG3660094	- 18		ma/l	NI/A	25	17 NOV 21
		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	IIIg/L	IN/A	20	17-NOV-21
Bromide (E	4-17 LCS 3r)		98.4		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG366009 Bromide (E	4-16 MB Br)		<0.10		mg/L		0.1	17-NOV-21
WG366009 Bromide (E	4-20 MS Br)	WG3660094	-18 95.9		%		70-130	17-NOV-21

CL-IC-N-ONT-DW-WT Water



			Workorder:	L2663301	R	eport Date: 23-N	NOV-21		Page 2 of 11
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	NSULTANTS INC. EMANS CENTRE IER ON N2B 3XS	(Kitchener) E DRIVE						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
CL-IC-N-ONT-DW	/-WT	Water							
Batch F WG3660094-1 Chloride (Cl)	R5653597 9 DUP		WG3660094-18 33.2	3 33.5		mg/L	0.7	25	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-1 Chloride (Cl)	7 LCS			99.0		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-1 Chloride (Cl)	6 MB			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-2 Chloride (Cl)	0 MS		WG3660094-18	3 100.0		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
COLOUR-APP-D	W-WT	Water							
Batch F	R5652665								
WG3660436-3 Colour, Appar	ent		L2663301-2 <2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	CU	N/A	25	17-NOV-21
WG3660436-2 Colour, Appar	ent			100.7		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG3660436-1 Colour, Appar	MB ent			<2.0		CU		2	17-NOV-21
EC-MF-DW-WT		Water							
Batch F WG3659927-1 E. Coli	R5653441 MB			0		CFU/100mL		1	17-NOV-21
EC-ONT-DW-WT		Water							
Batch F	R5654704								
WG3660252-4 Conductivity	DUP		WG3660252-3 2100	2110		umhos/cm	0.5	25	17-NOV-21
WG3660252-2 Conductivity	LCS			99.4		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG3660252-1 Conductivity	MB			<3.0		umhos/cm		3	17-NOV-21
Batch F WG3660254-4 Conductivity	R5654708 DUP		WG3660254-3 660	656		umhos/cm	0.6	25	17-NOV-21
WG3660254-2 Conductivity	LCS			99.3		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG3660254-1 Conductivity	MB			<3.0		umhos/cm		3	17-NOV-21
F-DW-IC-WT		Water							



		Workorder	: L266330	1 R	eport Date: 2	23 - NOV-21		Page 3 of 11
Client: MTE 520	E CONSULTANTS I BINGEMANS CEN	NC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE						
Contact: FRA	SER CUMMINGS	3X9						
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
F-DW-IC-WT	Water							
Batch R5653	3597							
WG3660094-19 D	UP	WG3660094	-18					
		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
Fluoride (F)	cs		101.8		%		90-110	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-16 M	IB							
Fluoride (F)			<0.10		mg/L		0.1	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-20 M	IS	WG3660094	-18					
Fluoride (F)			99.6		%		75-125	17-NOV-21
MET-ONT-DW-WT	Water							
Batch R5654	1212		_					
WG3661383-4 D Aluminum (Al)	UP	WG3661383 - 30	- 3 28		ua/L	6.8	25	19-NOV-21
Antimony (Sb)		<0.60	<0.60	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Arsenic (As)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Barium (Ba)		21	21		ug/L	0.7	25	19-NOV-21
Beryllium (Be)		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Bismuth (Bi)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Boron (B)		<50	<50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Cadmium (Cd)		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Calcium (Ca)		32.9	32.7		mg/L	0.8	25	19-NOV-21
Cesium (Cs)		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Chromium (Cr)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Cobalt (Co)		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Copper (Cu)		3.9	3.8		ug/L	3.9	25	19-NOV-21
Iron (Fe)		<50	<50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Lead (Pb)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Lithium (Li)		<100	<100	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Magnesium (Mg)		9.29	8.68		mg/L	6.8	25	19-NOV-21
Manganese (Mn)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Molybdenum (Mo)		1.17	1.16		ug/L	1.1	25	19-NOV-21
Nickel (Ni)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Phosphorus (P)		0.583	0.537		mg/L	8.3	25	19-NOV-21
Potassium (K)		1.6	1.5		mg/L	4.7	25	19-NOV-21
Rubidium (Rb)		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Selenium (Se)		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21



Client:

Contact:

Batch

MET-ONT-DW-WT

Silicon (Si)

Test

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2663301 Report Date: 23-NOV-21 Page 4 of 11 MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener) 520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9 FRASER CUMMINGS Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed Water R5654212 WG3661383-4 DUP WG3661383-3 <1000 <1000 RPD-NA ug/L N/A 25 19-NOV-21

Silver (Ag)	<0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Sodium (Na)	13.5	13.2		mg/L	2.9	25	19-NOV-21
Strontium (Sr)	175	176		ug/L	0.1	25	19-NOV-21
Sulfur (S)	7870	7700		ug/L	2.1	25	19-NOV-21
Tellurium (Te)	<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Thallium (TI)	<0.060	<0.060	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Thorium (Th)	<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Tin (Sn)	<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Titanium (Ti)	<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Tungsten (W)	<6.0	<6.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Uranium (U)	<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Vanadium (V)	<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Zinc (Zn)	<3.0	<3.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
Zirconium (Zr)	<0.80	<0.80	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	25	19-NOV-21
WG3661383-2 LCS		08.4		0/2		70 120	10 NOV 21
Antimony (Sh)		101 2		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Arsenic (As)		99.97		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Barium (Ba)		96.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Bervilium (Be)		103.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Bismuth (Bi)		99.5		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Boron (B)		99.6		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Cadmium (Cd)		101.4		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Calcium (Ca)		105.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Cesium (Cs)		101.2		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Chromium (Cr)		97.0		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Cobalt (Co)		98.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Copper (Cu)		96.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Iron (Fe)		98.4		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Lead (Pb)		100.2		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Lithium (Li)		100.8		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
Magnesium (Mg)		101.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21



Chromium (Cr)

Quality Control Report

			Workorder	L266330)1	Report Date: 2	3-NOV-21		Page 5 of 11	
Client: Contact:	MTE CONS 520 BINGEN KITCHENEF FRASER CI	ULTANTS IN MANS CENT R ON N2B 3 JMMINGS	C. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE X9							
Test	Ν	Aatrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-ONT-DW	/-WT	Water								
Batch	R5654212									
WG366138	3-2 LCS									
Manganes	se (Mn)			96.8		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Molybden	um (Mo)			103.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Nickel (Ni))			96.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Phosphore	us (P)			102.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Potassium	ו (K)			95.5		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Rubidium	(Rb)			99.0		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Selenium	(Se)			100.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Silicon (Si)			98.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Silver (Ag))			101.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Sodium (N	la)			97.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Strontium	(Sr)			102.8		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Sulfur (S)				98.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Tellurium	(Te)			92.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Thallium (TI)			103.4		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Thorium (Th)			97.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Tin (Sn)				101.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Titanium (Ti)			95.6		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Tungsten	(W)			98.6		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Uranium (U)			98.5		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Vanadium	(V)			98.6		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Zinc (Zn)				96.6		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Zirconium	(Zr)			97.2		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
WG366138 Aluminum	33-1 MB			<10		ua/l		10	10 NOV 21	
Antimony	(A) (Sh)			<0.60		ug/L		0.6	19-NOV-21	
Anumony Areonic (A	(00)			<0.00		ug/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Barium (B	a)			<10		ug/L		10	19-NOV-21	
Banuti (B	a) (Po)			< 0.50		ug/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Derymun				<0.50		ug/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
)))			<1.U		ug/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Boron (B)				<50		ug/L		0.0	19-NOV-21	
Cadmium				<0.10		ug/L		0.1	19-NOV-21	
Calcium (0	Ja)			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Cesium (C	üs)			<0.10		ug/L		0.1	19-NOV-21	

<1.0

ug/L

1

19-NOV-21



			Workorder:	L266330)1	Report Date: 2	3-NOV-21		Page 6 of 11	
Client:	MTE CO 520 BINO	NSULTANTS I GEMANS CEN	INC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE							
Contact:	FRASEF		373							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-ONT-DW	/-WT	Water								
Batch	R5654212	:								
WG366138	3-1 MB			0.50				<u>.</u>		
)))			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Copper (C	u)			<1.0		ug/L		50	19-NOV-21	
Iron (Fe)				<50		ug/L		50	19-NOV-21	
Lead (PD)	`			<1.0		ug/L		100	19-NOV-21	
Lithium (Li) m (Ma)			< 100		ug/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Manganag	n (Mp)			<0.50		ling/∟		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Molybdop				<0.50		ug/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Nickol (Nii)				<0.50		ug/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Phosphore	ıs (P)			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	19-NOV-21	
Potassium	(K)			<1.0		mg/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Ruhidium	(Rh)			<2.0		ug/l		2	19-NOV-21	
Selenium ((10) (Se)			<1.0		ug/L		- 1	19-NOV-21	
Silicon (Si)			<1000		ug/L		1000	19-NOV-21	
Silver (Ag)	, 			<0.050		ug/l		0.05	19-NOV-21	
Sodium (N	la)			<0.50		ma/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Strontium	(Sr)			<1.0		ug/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Sulfur (S)	· /			<500		ug/L		500	19-NOV-21	
Tellurium ((Te)			<2.0		ug/L		2	19-NOV-21	
Thallium (⁻	TI)			<0.060		ug/L		0.06	19-NOV-21	
Thorium (1	Γh)			<1.0		ug/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Tin (Sn)				<1.0		ug/L		1	19-NOV-21	
Titanium (Ti)			<2.0		ug/L		2	19-NOV-21	
Tungsten	(W)			<6.0		ug/L		6	19-NOV-21	
Uranium (I	U)			<2.0		ug/L		2	19-NOV-21	
Vanadium	(V)			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	19-NOV-21	
Zinc (Zn)				<3.0		ug/L		3	19-NOV-21	
Zirconium	(Zr)			<0.80		ug/L		0.8	19-NOV-21	
WG366138	3-5 MS		WG3661383-3							
Aluminum	(AI)			96.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Antimony ((Sb)			97.5		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Arsenic (A	s)			105.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Barium (Ba	a)			N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Beryllium ((Be)			99.6		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	



Vanadium (V)

Zinc (Zn)

Quality Control Report

			Workorder:	L266330)1	Report Date:	23 -N OV-21		Page 7 of 11	
Client:	MTE COI 520 BING KITCHEN	NSULTANTS INC GEMANS CENTR NER ON N2B 3X	INC. (Kitchener) ITRE DRIVE 3 3X9						-	
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS								
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-ONT-DW-V	ντ	Water								
Batch	R5654212									
WG3661383-	-5 MS		WG3661383-	3		0/		70.400		
Bismuth (BI)				94.0		70 0/		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Codmium (C) (102.0		70 97		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Calaium (Ca	,u)			103.0 NI/A		70 07		70-130	19-NOV-21	
	() \			N/A	M2-R	% 0/		-	19-NOV-21	
Cesium (Cs)				97.7		% 0/		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Chromium (Cr)			99.0		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Cobait (Co)				98.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Copper (Cu)				95.5		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Iron (Fe)				98.0		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Lead (Pb)				94.4		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Lithium (Li)	<i>(</i>)			94.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Magnesium	(Mg)			N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Manganese	(Mn)			97.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Molybdenum	n (Mo)			98.8		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Nickel (Ni)				97.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Phosphorus	(P)			N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Potassium (K)			95.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Rubidium (R	Rb)			102.1		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Selenium (S	e)			111.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Silicon (Si)				N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Silver (Ag)				97.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Sodium (Na)			N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Strontium (S	Sr)			N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Sulfur (S)				N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	
Tellurium (T	e)			100.5		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Thallium (Tl))			98.0		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Thorium (Th	ı)			93.3		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Tin (Sn)				98.9		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Titanium (Ti)			96.7		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Tungsten (V	V)			95.8		%		70-130	19-NOV-21	
Uranium (U)	1			N/A	MS-B	%		-	19-NOV-21	

101.5

97.6

%

%

70-130

70-130

19-NOV-21

19-NOV-21



					•	•			
			Workorder:	L266330	1 R	eport Date:	23-NOV-21		Page 8 of 11
Client:	MTE CON 520 BING KITCHEN	SULTANTS INC EMANS CENTR ER ON N2B 3X	2. (Kitchener) E DRIVE 19						
Contact:	FRASER	CUMMINGS							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-ONT-DW-V	VT	Water							
Batch	R5654212								
WG3661383- Zirconium (Z	5 MS (r)		WG3661383-3	94.4		%		70-130	19-NOV-21
NH3-F-ONT-DW	-WT	Water							
Batch	R5653276								
WG3659624-	3 DUP		WG3659624-5	0.043		ma/l	2.1	20	17 NOV 21
WG3659624-			0.011	0.010		ing, -	2.1	20	17-110 - 21
Ammonia, To	otal (as N)			99.3		%		85-115	17-NOV-21
Ammonia, To	otal (as N)			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	17-NOV-21
WG3659624- Ammonia, Te	4 MS otal (as N)		WG3659624-5	98.1		%		75-125	17-NOV-21
Batch	R5655018								
WG3660384- Ammonia, Te	3 DUP otal (as N)		WG3660384-5 <0.010	<0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	18-NOV-21
WG3660384- Ammonia, To	2 LCS otal (as N)			96.2		%		85-115	18-NOV-21
WG3660384-	1 MB								
Ammonia, To	otal (as N)			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	18-NOV-21
WG3660384- Ammonia, Te	4 MS otal (as N)		WG3660384-5	103.7		%		75-125	18-NOV-21
NO2-DW-IC-WT		Water							
Batch	R5653597								
WG3660094- Nitrite (as N)	19 DUP		WG3660094-18 <0.010	3 <0.010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
WG3660094- Nitrite (as N)	17 LCS			99.1		%		90-110	17-NOV-21
WG3660094- Nitrite (as N)	16 MB			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	17-NOV-21
WG3660094- Nitrite (as N)	20 MS		WG3660094-18	3 101.1		%		75-125	17-NOV-21
NO3-DW-IC-WT		Water							
Batch	R5653597								
WG3660094- Nitrate (as N	19 DUP		WG3660094-18 7.29	3 7.34		mg/L	0.6	20	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-	17 LCS								



		Workorder:	L266330	1 R	eport Date: 2	3-NOV-21		Page 9 of 11
Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS IN 520 BINGEMANS CENT KITCHENER ON N2B 3	C. (Kitchener) RE DRIVE X9						
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
NO3-DW-IC-WT	Water							
Batch I WG3660094-1 Nitrate (as N)	R5653597 17 LCS		99.0		%		90 110	17 NOV 21
WG3660094-1 Nitrate (as N)	16 MB		<0.020		mg/L		0.02	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-2 Nitrate (as N)	20 MS	WG3660094-1	B N/A	MS-B	%		-	17-NOV-21
PH-ONT-DW-WT	Water							
Batch	R5654704							
WG3660252- 4 pH	4 DUP	WG3660252-3 8.05	8.06	J	pH units	0.01	0.2	17-NOV-21
WG3660252-2 рН	2 LCS		7.02		pH units		6.9-7.1	17-NOV-21
Batch I WG3660254-4 рН	R5654708 4 DUP	WG3660254-3 8.08	8.07	J	pH units	0.01	0.2	17-NOV-21
WG3660254-2 рН	2 LCS		7.02		pH units		6.9-7.1	17-NOV-21
PO4-DO-COL-DV	N-WT Water							
Batch I	R5652117							
WG3659769-1 Orthophospha	13 DUP ate-Dissolved (as P)	WG3659769-1 <0.0030	5 <0.0030	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophospha	18 DUP ate-Dissolved (as P)	L2663301-2 <0.0030	<0.0030	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophospha	12 LCS ate-Dissolved (as P)		98.9		%		80-120	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophospha	17 LCS ate-Dissolved (as P)		98.1		%		80-120	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophospha	11 MB ate-Dissolved (as P)		<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophospha	16 MB ate-Dissolved (as P)		<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophosph	14 MS ate-Dissolved (as P)	WG3659769-1	5 100.8		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG3659769-1 Orthophosph	19 MS ate-Dissolved (as P)	L2663301-2	96.1		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
SO4-IC-N-ONT-D	W-WT Water							



		Workorder:	L266330	1	Report Date: 23-I	NOV-21		Page 10 of 11
Client: MT 520 KIT	E CONSULTANTS) BINGEMANS CEN 'CHENER ON N2B	NC. (Kitchener) TRE DRIVE 3X9						
Contact: FR	ASER CUMMINGS							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
SO4-IC-N-ONT-DW-V	VT Water							
Batch R565 WG3660094-19 I	53597 DUP	WG3660094-1	8					
WG3660094-17 I Sulfate (SO4)	LCS	16.0	10.1		nig/∟ %	0.6	20	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-16 I Sulfate (SO4)	МВ		<0.30		ma/l		0.3	17-NOV-21
WG3660094-20 I Sulfate (SO4)	MS	WG3660094-1	8 103.4		%		75-125	17-NOV-21
SOLIDS-TDS-ONT-D	W-WT Water							
Batch R565	53918							
WG3660049-3 I Total Dissolved Se	DUP olids	L2661760-1 169	159		mg/L	6.4	25	17-NOV-21
WG3660049-2 I Total Dissolved S	LCS olids		100.9		%		70-130	17-NOV-21
WG3660049-1 I Total Dissolved S	MB olids		<10		mg/L		10	17-NOV-21
TC-MF-DW-WT	Water							
Batch R565 WG3659937-1 I Total Coliforms	53449 MB		0		CFU/100mL		1	17-NOV-21
TCB-MF-DW-WT	Water							
Batch R565 WG3659937-1 I Total Coliform Ba	53449 MB ckground		0		CFU/100mL		1	17-NOV-21
TURBIDITY-ONT-DW	/-WT Water							
Batch R565	54802							
WG3659778-2 I Turbidity	LCS		94.5		%		85-115	17-NOV-21
WG3659778-1 I Turbidity	MB		<0.10		NTU		0.1	17-NOV-21
Batch R565 WG3659781-2 I Turbidity	54803 LCS		94.0		%		85-115	17-NOV-21
WG3659781-1 I Turbidity	MB		<0.10		NTU		0.1	17-NOV-21

Client:	MTE CONSULTANTS INC. (Kitchener)			
	520 BINGEMANS CENTRE DRIVE			
	KITCHENER ON N2B 3X9			
Contact:	FRASER CUMMINGS			

Contact:

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.


Macro Drainage Analysis





G.1 Introduction

This Technical Appendix (**Appendix G**) forms part of the Maximum Water Table Elevation Report used to support the above-water-table application for the proposed Edworthy West Pit and presents details on the development and results of the water balance model used. This Technical Appendix relies on information presented in and should be read in conjunction with the Maximum Water Table Elevation Report.

The purpose of this micro drainage analysis is to to identify potential hydrologic impacts from the proposed Edworthy West Pit on nearby features. The pit location is shown on **Figure 1** of Maximum Water Table Elevation Report.

G.2 Pre-Extraction (Existing) Conditions

The catchment area in which the Site contributes to was estimated using the Ontario Flow Assessment tool (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2021). Based on the catchment area defined by the Ontario Flow Assessment tool (**Figure G1**) the majority (~96%) of the proposed licensed area contributes to Cedar Creek; a tributary to the Nith River and ultimately the Grand River. The remainder of the licensed area contributes to the Grand River – Central South sub catchment. As such, the analysis completed below will address the changes to the Cedar Creek sub catchment.

To analyze precipitation data on an annual basis, historical information from the Roseville weather station was accessed from Environment Canada Climate Normals (1981-2010). The average annual precipitation at this station totals 918.7 mm/yr. A water balance analysis, indicating how precipitation (P) is distributed into evapotranspiration (ET), surface runoff (R), and infiltration (I) within the catchment, was completed for existing conditions in order to establish current annual runoff and infiltration rates (mm/yr). Hydrologic component values were derived from physical attributes such as land-use (derived for the Southern Ontario Land Resource Information System (SOLRIS) 3.0), soil composition and slope (derived from the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Soil Survey Complex).

The water balance example in Table 3.1 of the MOE *Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual* (March 2003), provided a basis for the factors used. Monthly ET calculations were performed for the Site using the Thornthwaite and Mather Method considering average monthly temperatures, and sunlight hours at the Site latitude. The annual value calculated was considered maximum potential. This value was matched with the highest ET value in Table 3.1 in the MOE 2003 SWMP Design Manual. Values for the remaining land cover/soil distinctions were scaled according to those published in Table 3.1.

In order to account for the differences between soil types in soil complex survey data, land use in the SOLRIS data and soil types and land-uses presented in the MOE 2003 SWMP Design Manual the following assumptions were made:

- Land use categorized as forest, coniferous forest, mixed forest, deciduous forest, treed swamp, thicket swamp and marsh were considered "mature forest";
- Land use categorized as plantations, tilled and undifferentiated were considered "moderately rooted crops";
- Land use categorized as hedge rows was considered "pasture/shrubs";
- Land use categorized as transportation, residential or industrials areas were considered "builtup impervious;



- Land use categorized as built-up pervious was considered "urban lawns";
- Soils classified as gravelly sandy loam, sandy loam or loamy sand were considered "fine sandy loam";
- Soils classified as loam, silt loam or organic were considered "silt loam"; and
- Those areas, which did not have associated land-use or soil type data were excluded from this analysis with the exception of areas categorized as open water.

The total pre-development evapotranspiration, runoff and infiltration volumes for the Cedar Creek sub catchment is summarized below:

Total Area (ha)	Evapotranspiration (m³/year)	Runoff (m³/year)	Infiltration (m ³ /year)	Total (m³/year)
1,112	6,376,727	1,636,797	2,124,982	10,138,506

A detailed breakdown of the land-use, soil type and slope within each catchment can be found in **Table G1** and shown on (**Figure G2**).

As there is no land use typical of a graveled area (land-use = extraction) in the SMPDM Table 3.1, lower evapotranspiration values than presented in the water balance tables were used to represent the lack of transpiration in an active pit (541 mm/yr). Furthermore, the infiltration factor for gravel was calculated as 0.15 (0.1 topography factor, 0.05 soil factor).

G.3 Post-Extraction (Entire Pit Excavated Condition)

Post-extraction land use changes will result in a re-distribution of evapotranspiration, runoff and infiltration. Under post-extraction conditions, the following assumptions have been made:

• The area between the extraction area and licensed boundary was assumed to have the same properties (land use, soil type and slope) as the extraction area.

A detailed breakdown of the land-use, soil type and slope within each catchment post extraction can be found in **Table G1** and shown on **Figure G3**.

The post-extraction water balance calculations estimate the following (all land cover types):

Area (ha)	Evapotranspiration	Runoff	Infiltration	Total
	(m³/year)	(m³/year)	(m³/year)	(m³/year)
1,112	6,362,841	1,558,009	2,217,657	10,138,506

The water balance calculations indicate that following extraction both ET and infiltration are estimated to decrease by 13,887 m³/year (~0.2 % decrease) and 41,690 m³/year (~2.0 % decrease). Runoff is estimated to increase by 55,576 m³/year (3.4 % increase). Although post extraction runoff is estimated to increase while infiltration decreases; there is no direct surface water outlet on-Site. As a result, for the purposes of this calculation any change in runoff within the licensed area should be considered infiltration. Therefore, runoff will decrease by 78,788 m³/year (4.8%) while infiltration will increase by 92,674 m³/year (4.4%).

As there are not direct surface water features on-Site the reduction in runoff will not adversely affect surrounding features.



G.4 Conclusion

Based on the micro drainage analysis detailed above cumulative impacts related to above water table extraction at the Edworthy West Pit will have negligible impacts to surrounding features.







Table G1: Micro Drainage AnalysisWest Edworthy Pit

Project:	46639-100
Date:	13/12/2021
By:	TFC
File:	Q:\46639\100\analysis\micro_drainage_analysis\46639_100_Micro Drainage Analysis.xlsx

Pre-Extraction

Slope	Landuse	Soil Type	Area (m²)	Precipitaion (m ³ /year)	Evapotranspiration (m ³ /year)	Runoff (m ³ /year)	Infiltration (m ³ /year)
flat	built-up impervious	silt loam	22,413	20,431	2,914	17,518	0
flat	extraction	silt loam	6	5	3	2	0
flat	mature forests	silt loam	2,541,419	2,316,758	1,500,960	244,739	571,058
flat	moderately rooted crops	silt loam	311,379	283,853	181,560	40,917	61,376
hilly	built-up impervious	fine sandy loam	129,696	118,231	16,861	101,371	0
hilly	extraction	fine sandy loam	124,545	113,535	67,254	39,339	6,942
hilly	mature forests	fine sandy loam	2,803,832	2,555,973	1,649,919	362,422	543,632
hilly	moderately rooted crops	fine sandy loam	2,879,212	2,624,689	1,666,451	479,119	479,119
hilly	pasture/shrubs	fine sandy loam	132,328	120,631	76,590	19,818	24,222
hilly	urban lawns	fine sandy loam	45,976	41,912	25,919	8,796	7,197
rolling	built-up impervious	fine sandy loam	124,655	113,635	16,205	97,430	0
rolling	extraction	fine sandy loam	4	4	2	1	0
rolling	mature forests	fine sandy loam	1,156,877	1,054,609	680,766	112,153	261,690
rolling	moderately rooted crops	fine sandy loam	815,358	743,281	471,919	108,545	162,817
rolling	pasture/shrubs	fine sandy loam	17,204	15,683	9,957	2,004	3,722
rolling	urban lawns	fine sandy loam	16,756	15,275	9,446	2,623	3,206
	Total		11,121,661	10,138,506	6,376,727	1,636,797	2,124,982
			1,112.17				
Post-Extraction	1						
Slope	Landuse	Soil Type	Area (m ²)	Precipitaion (m ³ /year)	Evapotranspiration (m ³ /year)	Runoff (m ³ /year)	Infiltration (m ³ /year)
Slope flat	Landuse built-up impervious	Soil Type silt loam	Area (m²) 22,413	Precipitaion (m ³ /year) 20,431	Evapotranspiration (m ³ /year) 2,914	Runoff (m ³ /year) 17,518	Infiltration (m ³ /year) 0
Slope flat flat	Landuse built-up impervious extraction	Soil Type silt loam silt loam	Area (m²) 22,413 6	Precipitaion (m ³ /year) 20,431 5	Evapotranspiration (m ³ /year) 2,914 3	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2	Infiltration (m ³ /year) 0 0
Slope flat flat flat	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960	Runoff (m ³ /year) 17,518 2 244,739	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 571,058
Slope flat flat flat flat	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops	Soil Type silt Ioam silt Ioam silt Ioam silt Ioam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379	Precipitaion (m ³ /year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560	Runoff (m ³ /year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 571,058 61,376
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823	Precipitaion (m ³ /year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907	Infiltration (m ³ /year) 0 0 571,058 61,376 0
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398	Infiltration (m ³ /year) 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forests	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly hilly	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526	Precipitaion (m ³ /year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 336,952 457,206	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubs	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawns	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly rolling	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up impervious	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly rolling rolling	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture forestsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextraction	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 0 0
Slope flat flat flat flat hilly hilly hilly hilly hilly nilly rolling rolling rolling	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops pasture/shrubs urban lawns built-up impervious extraction mature forests	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m ²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4 1,156,877	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4 1,054,609	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2 680,766	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1 112,153	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 0 261,690
Slopeflatflatflatflathillyhillyhillyhillyhillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynollingrollingrollingrollingrolling	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted crops	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4 1,156,877 815,358	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4 1,054,609 743,281	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2 680,766 471,919	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1 112,153 108,545	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 261,690 162,817
Slopeflatflatflatflathillyhillyhillyhillyhillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynollingrollingrollingrolling	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops pasture forests moderately rooted crops pasture/shrubs urban lawns built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops pasture/shrubs urban lawns built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops pasture/shrubs pasture/shrubs	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4 1,156,877 815,358 17,204	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4 1,054,609 743,281 15,683	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2 680,766 471,919 9,957	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1 112,153 108,545 2,004	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 0 162,817 3,722
Slopeflatflatflatflatflathillyhillyhillyhillyhillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynollingrollingrollingrollingrollingrolling	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawns	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4 1,156,877 815,358 17,204 16,756	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4 1,054,609 743,281 15,683 15,275	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2 680,766 471,919 9,957 9,446	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1 112,153 108,545 2,004 2,623	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 261,690 162,817 3,722 3,206
Slopeflatflatflatflatflathillyhillyhillyhillyhillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynillynollingrollingrollingrollingflat	Landusebuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsbuilt-up imperviousextractionmature forestsmoderately rooted cropspasture/shrubsurban lawnsurban lawnsextraction added	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4 1,156,877 815,358 17,204 16,756 425,391	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4 1,054,609 743,281 15,275 387,786	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2 680,766 471,919 9,957 9,446 229,711	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1 112,153 108,545 2,004 2,623 0	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 261,690 162,817 3,722 3,206 158,075
Slopeflatflatflatflatflathillyhillyhillyhillyhillynillynillynillynillynillynillynollingrollingrollingrollingrollingflat	Landuse built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops pasture/shrubs urban lawns built-up impervious extraction mature forests moderately rooted crops pasture/shrubs urban lawns pasture/shrubs urban lawns extraction added extraction added	Soil Type silt loam silt loam silt loam silt loam fine sandy loam	Area (m²) 22,413 6 2,541,419 311,379 127,823 29,752 2,606,793 2,747,526 132,328 45,976 124,655 4 1,156,877 815,358 17,204 16,756 425,391 11,121,661	Precipitaion (m³/year) 20,431 5 2,316,758 283,853 116,524 27,122 2,376,353 2,504,644 120,631 41,912 113,635 4 1,054,609 743,281 15,683 15,275 387,786 10,138,506	Evapotranspiration (m³/year) 2,914 3 1,500,960 181,560 16,617 16,066 1,533,972 1,590,233 76,590 25,919 16,205 2 680,766 471,919 9,957 9,446 229,711 6,362,841	Runoff (m³/year) 17,518 2 244,739 40,917 99,907 9,398 336,952 457,206 19,818 8,796 97,430 1 112,153 108,545 2,004 2,623 0 1,558,009	Infiltration (m³/year) 0 0 0 571,058 61,376 0 1,658 505,429 457,206 24,222 7,197 0 261,690 162,817 3,722 3,206 158,075 2,217,657

Pre-Extraction vs. Post-Extraction	-13,887	-78,788	92,674
Pre-Extraction vs. Post-Extraction %	-0.2%	-4.8%	4.4%

Note: As there is no direct surface water outlet on-Site for the purposes of this calculation any runoff within the licensed area is considered infiltration.





Cambridge Aggregates – Spill Contingency Plan





SPILL RESPONSE PLAN

Prepared for:

CAMBRIDGE AGGREGATE INC. – EDWORTHY WEST PIT



Introduction

Cambridge Aggregate Inc. is a subsidiary of Lehigh Hanson Materials Limited (LHML) is committed to the prevention of incidents that may cause harm to the environment or danger to human life or health. The nature of our business does not require storage of large volumes of toxic chemicals; however, there is a potential for environmental damage from the accidental spillage of petroleum products. Our priority is to be prepared and act in a diligent manner to restore the contaminated site to its previous state following an accidental spill.

To minimize the possible adverse effects on the environment from such a spill, this plan has been developed to deal with various levels of possible emergency situations. The purpose of

SPILL RESPONSE PLAN

this plan is to be able to initiate an immediate response with minimal impact to the natural environment (land or water) in the immediate and surrounding area. The plan includes sitespecific information about the storage of petroleum products, guidelines for the assessment and reporting of a spill, assigned responsibilities and training requirements for employees responding to the spill, and containment and restoration procedures for possible spill scenarios.

In this plan, spills are divided into 3 levels based on the volume of spilled material, the potential risks, and the level of assistance required.

0	 LEVEL 1 Small, contained spill Low fire / explosion risk No outside assistance required
2	 LEVEL 2 Larger, contained spill Low fire / explosion risk Outside assistance is required
6	 LEVEL 3 Large, uncontained spill Possible fire / explosion risk Considerable outside assistance is required

Notification & Communication

Timely and accurate reporting of an accidental spill can help to ensure quick and efficient response. Once notified internally, LHML management (or designate) will initiate notification of the appropriate personnel and authorities as determined by the initial assessment. If there is any doubt, LHML management (or designate) will contact the Provincial Emergency Program (PEP) as a precautionary measure to provide a preliminary report of a potential concern. Once under control, LHML management (or designate) will update PEP with the details of the outcome.

Internal Notification:

SPILL RESPONSE PLAN

CONTACT	PHONE NUMBER	PURPOSE
Site Manager-John Holmes	(519) 221-3120	For immediate reporting of all safety/environmental incidents.
Alternate-Edmund Tucker	(905)730-2971	For immediate reporting of all safety/environmental incidents.
Environment Manager-Louis Paul	(613) 864-2255	For immediate reporting of all environmental incidents.
Safety Manager- Louis Paul	(613) 864-2255	For immediate reporting of all safety incidents.
LHML Media Spokesperson	1-972-653-6011	For all inquiries from Media.

External Notification:

CONTACT	PHONE NUMBER	PURPOSE					
Fire/Ambulance/RMCP	911	Emergency assistance.					
PROVINCIAL AGENCIES							
Provincial Emergency Program (PEP)	1-800-268-6060	Spills to land >100L. All spills to, or threatening water.					
BC MOE (LMR – Surrey Office)	(604) 584-8822	Spills to land >100L. All spills to, or threatening water.					
FEDERAL AGENCIES							
Env. Canada Spills Action Line	1-866-694-5454.	All spills into watercourses.					
Marine Communications & Traffic Services (MCTS)	(604) 666-6011	All spills into the Fraser River and its tributaries. Marine spills must be reported within 1 hour.					
Canadian Coast Guard (CCG)	1-800-889-8852 (24 hrs)	All spills into watercourses requiring clean-up assistance. Marine spills must be reported within 1 hour.					
CONTACT	PHONE NUMBER	PURPOSE					
Environment Canada (EPS)	(604) 666-6100 (24 hrs)	Spills to land >100L. All spills to, or threatening water.					

SPILL REPORTING CRITERIA (If in

(If in Doubt.....Report the Spill)

- ☑ All spills that are equal to or greater than the Provincial Emergency Program (PEP) reportable level must be reported to PEP as soon as possible and within 24hrs.
- Any spills of deleterious substance to a watercourse must be reported to PEP as soon as possible and within 24hrs.
- All spills that are equal to or greater than the LHML reportable level must be reported to the Environmental Manager as soon as possible and within 24hrs.

Table 1: Reportable Levels of Hazardous Materials Spills

Hazardous Material	PEP Reportable Level ⁽¹⁾	LHML Reportable Level ⁽²⁾
Antifreeze	5 kilograms (5 liters)	5 litres
Diesel fuel	100 litres	25 litres
Gasoline (clear & marked)	100 litres	25 litres
Greases	100 litres	25 litres
Hydraulic Oil	100 litres	25 litres
Lubricating Oils	100 litres	25 litres
Paints & Paint Thinners	100 litres	25 litres
Solvents	100 litres	25 litres
Pesticides	1 kilogram	1 kilogram
Waste Oils	100 litres	25 litres

(1) as required by the BC Spill Reporting Regulation

(2) or a spill of ANY quantity that enters a surface water body (e.g.: running ditch, stream, lake)

Initial Spill Assessment

SPILL OBSERVER

- Identify product spilled and its flammability.
- Determine emergency level and initiate action.

LEVEL 1

- Small, contained spill
- Low fire/explosion risk
- No outside assistance is required

LEVEL 2

- Larger, contained spill
- Low fire/explosion risk
- Outside assistance is required

LEVEL 3

- Large, uncontained spill
 Possible fire/explosion risk
- Considerable outside assistance is required

ASSESS THE SITUATION & GATHER DATA

- 1. Secure and isolate the spill area to ensure the safety of others.
- 2. Stop the flow of spilled material if safe to do so (ie. seal off drains, put container upright, plug leak, shut off valve, etc.).
- 3. Gather information about the spill, including:

To Your Supervises

REPORT

CONTAINMENT & RECOVERY

- ☑ Use absorbent pads/socks to recover spilled material working from outside into the center.
- ☑ Place contaminated waste material into a leak-proof container or bag, label as hazardous waste and dispose of properly using a hazardous waste disposal contractor.
- ☑ Complete Environmental Incident Report with all responders & identify corrective / preventative actions to be implemented.
- ☑ Replace used equipment and re-stock spill kits.

Site Information

Business Name: Cambridge Aggregates Inc.

Site Address: 1440 Spragues Rd. Cambridge, ON

Phone #: 519-221-3120

Site Emergency Contacts:

Contact	Name	Cell #	Email
Site Manager	John Holmes	519-221-3120	john.holmes@lehighhanson.com
Alternate	Edmund Tucker	905-730-2971	Edmund.Tucker@lehighhanson.com
Environmental	Louis Paul	613-864-2255	Louis.Paul@lehighhanson.com
Manager			
Safety Manager	Louis Paul	613-864-2255	Louis.Paul@lehighhanson.com

Petroleum Products Stored On-Site: THIS SECTION IS A PLACE HOLDER FOR

FURTURE USE NO PRODUCTS ARE ON SITE AT THIS TIME

PRODUCT		Diesel	Used Oil	Used Oil 2
TANK CAPACITY (L)			4,500	4,500
TANK ID #		EC-00002350	EC-00003412	EC-00038899
PRIMARY HAZARDS		Flash Point = 52°C Autoignition = 257°C Combustible liquid and vapor	Flash Point = >93°C	Flash Point = >93°C
VAPOR MONITORING		LEL = 0.6%	N/A	N/A
RESPONSE MODE		Eliminate ignition sources, contain using non-combustible absorbent materials & ground equipment.	Contain and Recover	Contain and Recover
TANK LOCATION & SITE CHARACTERISTICS		 Aboveground horizontal tank (2008) Double walled Fueling occurs on concrete pad sloped to oil-water separator OWS discharges to surface drainage ditch and flows to settling pond 	 Aboveground horizontal tank (1996) Double walled Inside concrete berm under cover which drains to OWS OWS discharges to ground 	 Aboveground horizontal tank (1996) Double walled Inside concrete berm under cover which drains to OWS OWS discharges to ground
LOCATION OF EMERGENCY SHUT-OFF VALVE		East of fuel tank, inside electrical shed	N/A	N/A

Petroleum Products Stored On-Site:

PRODUCT		SAE 30 Drivetrain	10W Hydraulic	Engine Oil
TANK CAPACITY (L)		1,250	1,250	1,250
TANK ID #		EC-00038897	EC-00038895	EC-00038885
PRIMARY HAZARDS		Flash Point = 190°C Autoignition = N/A	Flash Point = <-45°C Autoignition = N/A	Flash Point = 204°C
VAPOR MONITORING		N/A	N/A	N/A
RESPONSE MODE		Contain and Recover	Contain and Recover	Contain and Recover
TANK LOCATION & SITE CHARACTERISTICS		 Aboveground tank (2007) Double walled Fueling occurs on concrete pad sloped to oil-water separator OWS discharges to surface drainage ditch 	 Aboveground tank (2007) Double walled Fueling occurs on concrete pad sloped to oil-water separator OWS discharges to surface drainage ditch 	 Aboveground tank (2007) Double walled Fueling occurs on concrete pad sloped to oil-water separator OWS discharges to surface drainage ditch

SPILL RESPONSE PLAN



	and flows to settling pond	and flows to settling pond	and flows to settling pond
LOCATION OF EMERGENCY	East of tank, inside	East of tank, inside	East of tank, inside
SHUT-OFF VALVE	electrical shed	electrical shed	electrical shed

Location of Resources:

Additional Copies of this Plan	Site TrailerFuel Island
SPILL KITS	Fuel Island
MSDS SHEETS	Main OfficeSite Trailer
FIRE EXTINGUISHERS	All mobile equipmentAll buildings
PPE & ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT	Site Trailer

Containment and Recovery

Lehigh Hanson Materials Limited (LHML) understands the importance of reducing further potential impacts to the surrounding environment from a spill. An intense and quick response is essential to minimize the spreading of the material beyond the property particularly into, or near watercourses.

Where safety allows, every effort should be made to stop and contain the spill:

- ☑ Obtain appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE)
- ☑ Use proper safety procedures
- ☑ Stop flow of spilled material at source (activate E-stop, stop

pumps, close valves, plug leak, put container upright)

 \blacksquare Isolate the area

SPILLS TO LAND

- Seal off floor drains, storm drains and drainage ditches with drain covers/absorbent pads and berms to prevent the spread to watercourses.
- Dig recovery ditches around the perimeter of the spill and within the spill area to contain the spill and limit the saturation of the material deep into the soils.
- Use sorbent pads and pumps to recover the free liquid product.
 - Remember <u>MORE IS NOT BETTER</u> take the time to place the spill pads out individually (not in a stack) over the spilled area and let them absorb the material.
- Excavate the contaminated soil for later disposal.
- Sample the soil to determine the extent of the contamination and ensure that all the affected soil has been removed.

SPILLS TO WATER

- To effectively contain a spill into a ditch or stream, the initial response should be to immediately stop the spread of the spilled material downstream using absorbent booms, pads or plastic sheeting to create an underflow system.
- In open water, use sorbent booms to corral the spilled product into a corner for recovery. Use sorbent pads and/or mechanical skimmers (suction pumps) to recover the spilled product from the containment area within the boom.
- Remove oil-soaked pads safely using poles, etc and place into plastic bags to avoid secondary contamination. Bags should be sealed and then placed in lined bins for proper disposal.

Waste Disposal & Site Restoration

Waste Disposal

All waste materials collected from a spill should be sorted and stored in separate containers or piles that are clearly marked indicating the type of waste they contain.

The most common types of waste likely to be generated from a spill at a LHML site are:

Contaminated Liquids	•	Mixture of oil and water recovered from the surface of the water usually by a skimmer or suction pump
Contaminated Debris Contaminated Sediment Clean-up Materials	• •	Twigs, leaves, vegetation/seaweed, miscellaneous debris Sand or gravel removed from the shoreline or spill site Oily/contaminated rags, oiled sorbents, oily PPE and clothing worn by response team personnel

SPILL RESPONSE PLAN

Waste materials generated by spill of petroleum products are classified as Hazardous Wastes under the Hazardous Waste Regulation of the Ontario Environmental Management Act. Failure to comply with the requirements of this Regulation constitutes a criminal offense under the Act and could result in severe penalties being imposed on the company.

Once a decision has been made to transport Hazardous Waste from the site for final disposal, LHML, as the Consignor or generator of the waste, is responsible for:

- Ensuring that the transport company has a ON License to Transport Hazardous Waste
- Ensuring that the waste is taken to an approved Hazardous Waste disposal/storage site
- Completing all the necessary documentation (eg. transport manifest) and retaining records for the required period of time.

Site Restoration

Every effort will be made to restore the contaminated site to its previous state following an accidental spill.

- 1. Documented notes and photos will be taken to capture the details of the spill incident, response and clean-up.
- 2. An Environmental Incident Report will be completed to identify all corrective/preventative actions for follow-up which will be reviewed and approved by the appropriate management personnel and the Environment Manager.
- 3. A debriefing with all involved personnel will be conducted and include the following:
 - a. Was the response equipment useful or not useful?
 - b. Was there sufficient equipment?
 - c. Could the incident have been avoided?
 - d. How could the response have been improved?

Emergency Response – Equipment, Training & Scenarios

EMERGENCY RESPONSE EQUIPMENT

All LHML sites are equipped with the appropriate emergency response equipment given the location and proximity to water, the volume of material that could potentially spill, and any site-specific challenges that require specialized equipment.

The type and location of equipment that would be used at this facility include:

Equipment	Location	Contents
Large Spill Kit	Next to tank	Pads, socks, bags, PPE, etc.
Small Spill Kit	On each truck	Pads, socks, bags, PPE, etc.
Fire Extinguishers	 Next to tank, In equipment/machinery, 	Various types as appropriate for potential fire hazard.

Various locations around site

Additional resources available in the event of an emergency include:

- □ Front- end Loader
- Water Truck

EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM & TRAINING

_

All LHML personnel are trained to participate in environmental emergency response and recovery at this facility and when they are en-route, or at, a job site. Training frequency is identified for each course and training records are kept on-site.

POSITION/RESPONSIBILITY	COURSE NAME	COURSE OVERVIEW
All LHML Employees	Environmental Awareness & Initial Spill Response	Initial spill response training and awareness of the Spill Response Plan outlining employees' responsibility to take appropriate actions to minimize environmental impact.
Mobile Equipment Operators	Fuel Handling & Spill Response	Fuelling procedures and importance of immediate and correct response to spills of hydraulic/engine oil on the job.
Supervisors, Managers, Front-Line Personnel	Emergency Preparedness & Response	Comprehension and awareness of environmental emergency preparedness and response, notification and reporting, and clean-up specifically related to their particular operation(s).

EMERGENCY SCENARIOS

Lehigh Hanson Materials Limited (LHML) has identified possible emergency scenarios that may occur at our facilities and the measures and actions that we will take to prevent, prepare for, respond to, and recover from each emergency. Potential threats to human health or environmental damage from petroleum products at our sites include:

- > Fuel delivery truck tank leak
- ► Fire or explosion of tank

Scenario #1 – Fuel Delivery Truck Tank Leak

PREVENTION	LHML personnel are trained on proper fuelling procedures.Fuel trucks are not to be left unattended during fuelling.
	 All equipment is inspected on a regular basis to ensure it is in good working order (ie. oil water separators)
PREPARATION	 Employees are trained in basic spill response procedures. Fuel delivery truck drivers have received training by their employers.
RESPONSE	1. Call 911, PEP, and other required authorities in accordance with
	Spill Response Plan – Notification section.
	2. STOP flow of material at source (if possible).
	3. Notify supervisor and isolate area.
	4. Seal off access points to waterway (if safe to do so).
	5. Take action within your ability and resources available to minimize
	the spread and impact of the spill until additional resources and
	expertise arrive.
RECOVERY	 Contain material and clean-up under the direction of Environmental Manager or regulatory official
	 Recover and dispose of spilled material in accordance with the
	Spill Response Plan.
	 Complete an Incident Report.

Scenario #2 – Fire or explosion of tank

PREVENTION	 Tanks are grounded, contain vents and have collision protection Signs indicating no ignitions/combustion sources within 7.5m are posted near tanks Combustible and flammable products are stored in fire-proof cabinet and kept away from fuel station Electrical connections are explosion proof & foundation is fire resistant Fuel tank system inspections conducted monthly
PREPARATION	 Fire extinguishers are located nearby in all the buildings and fuel station (2x10lb ABC fire extinguishers) Employees are trained in basic fire extinguisher use Employees and contractors are trained in emergency preparedness and spill response and general safe work procedures prior to working on-site.
Response	 CALL 911 STOP operations Notify supervisor and evacuate all personnel in the immediate area Report the fire to appropriate authorities Evaluate level of initial response (if appropriate)
RECOVERY	 Evaluate extent of environmental impact from debris, fire-fighting chemicals, fuel spill. Take steps to control further environmental impacts. Contain material and clean-up under the direction of Environmental Manager or fire official. Complete an Incident Report.

EMERGENCY INFORMATION FOR RELEASE OR SPILL TO ENVIRONMENT

Business Name: Cambridge Aggregate Inc. – Edworthy West Pit

Site Address: <u>1440 Spragues Rd. Cambridge, ON</u>

Emergency Response Contacts / Notification:

On-Site Emergency Contact: Name: John Holmes Phone: 519-221-3120

Alternate Contact: Name: Edmund Tucker Phone: 905-730-2971

Fire/Paramedic/Police:	911	For all emergencies.
Provincial Emergency Program (PEP):	1-800-663-3456	For immediate reporting of all environmental incidents.
Company Environment Manager:	(604) 812-6116	For immediate reporting of all environmental incidents.
Company ESH Manager:	(604) 842-6631	For immediate reporting of all safety/environmental incidents.
Ministry of Environment	1-800-268-6060	For a release to the air or a spill that has/could reach surface, ground water or storm drain.
National Response Center for TDG (CANUTEC):	(613) 996-6666	For reporting of major chemical spills
Spill Response / Cleanup Contractor (QUANTUM):	(250) 478-2255 1-877-378-7745	For local assistance with clean-up activities

Resources:

Location of Material Safety Data Sheets: Site Trailer	r
---	---

Spill Control Equipment is Located: Site Trailer and Every Loader

Location of Fire Extinguishers: On site at various locations

SPILL RESPONSE PROCEDURE

NOTIFICATION

	Identify the nature of the emergency (health hazard?), check for casualties, identify the product spille and locate the source, if safe to do so.
	Contact Emergency at 911 if there is a fire or medical attention is needed.
	Evaluate if you are trained, knowledgeable and equipped to handle the incident before proceeding w Spill Containment & Clean-Up.
	For spills >100 L or >200 kg, call 1-800-268-6060 immediately who will notify other agencies (MODFO, Environment Canada).
	Alert manager/owner and Environmental Manager of spill/release. Refer to "Emergency Information Accidental Release or Spill" for contact names and numbers.
	Clear the area of non-trained or unauthorized personnel. Notify public downstream and downwind, if necessary.
SPILL	CONTAINMENT
	Obtain personal protective equipment (PPE), as appropriate to the hazards. Refer to the Material Safe Data Sheet or other references for information.
	Stop flow/ignition sources of spill (put container upright, plug leak, etc).
	Isolate the area and start containment of migrating material - seal off storm drains/stormwater conveyances (ditches) with berms or drain covers to stop any spread of the spill.
	Protect inside floor drains from spill – absorbent pads and spill socks should be placed around drains, needed.
	Use absorbent pads and/or granular sorbent to clean up spilled material – distribute over the entire s area, working from the outside, circling to the inside. This reduces the chance of splash or spread of t spilled chemical.
	Let pads sit on spill to absorb spilled material. [REMEMBER MORE IS NOT BETTER – take the time to place them individually as they do not work as well when in a stack.]
	For dry spills, sweep or shovel-up material and dispose of in a separate labeled drum for proper dispon Never hose down or put into the garbage bin.
CLEAN	I UP MATERIAL DISPOSAL
	When spilled materials have been absorbed, place pads and sorbent materials in a leak-proof contain such as a polyethylene bag or bucket. Label those containers as appropriate.
	Dispose of waste materials properly. Spill cleanup materials containing hazardous waste is also considered hazardous waste and should be picked up by a hazardous waste disposal contractor.
	Call a spill cleanup contractor for assistance with clean-up activities and proper disposal of waste.

INCID	ENT TERMINATION & FOLLOW-UP
	Complete Environmental Incident Report with all responders to identify corrective/preventative action for implementation/completion. Send completed Report to Environment Manager.
	Replace used equipment and re-stock spill kits. Restore area to normal.



Curriculum Vitaes





Fraser has seven years of field experience in sampling techniques including groundwater, surface water, soil and sediment sampling as well as knowledge of site characterization and hydrogeological modelling. Fraser is also familiar with provincial guidelines and regulations, in particular the Ontario Water Resources Act and **Environmental Protection** Act.



Fraser Cummings, M.Sc., P.Geo.

Title: Manager, Environmental Practices

Professional Experience

Education

Masters of Science | Earth Science | University of Waterloo | 2014 Honours Bachelor of Science | Earth Science, Environmental Specialization | University of Waterloo | 2012

Professional Designations

Professional Geoscientist (P.Geo.) | Professional Geoscientists of Ontario (PGO)

Tenure with MTE

Since 2014

Professional Development

CPR and Emergency First Aid Certified WHMIS

Work History

Manager, Environmental Practices, Hydrogeologist, Environmental Scientist | MTE Consultants | 2014-Present Educational Tutor | Webster Educational Services | 2012-2014 City of Kitchener Battler Road Snow Storage Facility Hydrogeological Assessment Role: Environmental Scientist

City of Guelph Former Guelph Coal Gasification Plant Environmental Investigations Role: Environmental Scientist

City of Waterloo RIM Park Recreational Facility Structural & Geotechnical Assessment Role: Environmental Scientist

Carson Reid Homes Elora Meadows Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Environmental Scientist

Chicopee Ski and Summer Resort, Kitchener Snow Making Investigation Role: Environmental Scientist

Galibier Materials Galibier Lewis Pit, Vespra Hydrogeological investigation Role: Environmental Scientist



Fraser provided hydrogeological services for a new snow storage facility. During the site selection process the hydrogeological conditions were assessed, including evaluating the site in the local and regional hydrogeological framework, along with considering proposed risks to groundwater and surface water quality as a result of chloride impacts from melting snow.

MTE was retained by the City to complete a Phase I and II ESA, and a Geophysical Survey of the City's former coal gasification plant. The Phase II ESA involved installing multiple well nests into soil and bedrock to characterize the nature and extent of impacts. Fraser provided onsite hydrogeology services and analysis for this assignment.

Since construction in 2001, this 30,000-m² (320,000-ft²) recreational facility has experienced issues suspected to be related to the groundwater, such as heaving floors, cracking of non-structural members, and water seepage into interior spaces. As a result the City engaged the services of MTE to undertake and manage subconsultants to complete a structural and geotechnical analysis of the facility. The complex includes three ice rinks, a figure skating facility, an indoor field house and gymnasium. As a member of the project team, Fraser provided onsite hydrogeology services and analysis for this assignment.

This project involved an impact assessment of a groundwater collection system on groundwater and surface water resources. The system was designed to protect the basements of residential dwellings from flood risks associated with high water table conditions. It also included annual monitoring and compliance reporting as per the Permit to Take Water related to dewatering activities for the installation of a culvert crossing. As the Environmental Scientist on the project, Fraser conducts monitoring activities on an ongoing basis.

This project involved an investigation to examine Chicopee's water supply and evaluate their options for expanding snow production. Fraser was involved with the drilling of a hole to assess soil conditions, collection of soil samples and equipping the hole with a permanent monitoring well to preform hydraulic testing. Longterm pumping tests are currently being conducted to evaluate aquifer conditions.

This project required the completion of a Hydrogeological Investigation to support an application for a Permit to Take Water for a wash plant. This project included drilling and installation of eight onsite monitoring wells and one pumping well. Fraser was responsible for overseeing onsite drilling, a seven-day pumping test and writing the technical report required for the assessment of potential impacts to surface water and groundwater resources from pumping activities as required to obtain a Permit to Take Water. Ferma Aggregates Carden Quarry, Kirkfield Surface & Groundwater Monitoring Role: Environmental Scientist

Fowler Construction Company Rosewarne Quarry , Washago Surface & Groundwater Monitoring Role: Environmental Scientist

Harold Sutherland Construction Keppel Quarry Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Environmental Scientist

Aecon Construction and Materials Oliver Pit Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Environmental Scientist

Conn Pit, Gibraltar Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Environmental Scientist

Aecon Construction and Materials Marmora Mine Preliminary Dewatering Impact Assessment Role: Environmental Scientist



This project includes the monitoring of groundwater levels, surface water flow and surface water quality monitoring to comply with the aggregate license and the Environmental Compliance Approval needed to discharge water back into the natural environment.

This project includes the monitoring of groundwater levels, surface water flow and surface water quality monitoring to comply with the Permit to Take Water needed to discharge water back into the natural environment. Annual compliance reports are submitted to the Ministry to demonstrate the impact of the quarry on surface and groundwater resources.

Fraser was responsible for managing water level data an ensuring that the quarry operator follows the Adaptive Management Plan developed for strategic decision making with respect to quarry operations so that potential impacts to the natural environment can be fully assessed and mitigated prior to any adverse impact.

This Hydrogeological Investigation was completed to support an application for a Permit To Take Water (PTTW) for a proposed wash plant. The annual groundwater monitoring and compliance reporting was completed as per the PTTW and extraction license. MTE implemented a monitoring program that included annual reporting on water levels and water quality for the gravel pit. Fraser collected data for the annual report which considered the effects of the gravel pit on groundwater and surface water resources. He assisted with fieldwork which involved seven monitoring wells, two surface water staff gauges in a provincially significant wetland, and two private wells.

This project required the completion of a Hydrogeological Investigation to support an application for a pit below the water table. This project included drilling and installation of six onsite monitoring wells and. Fraser was responsible for overseeing the onsite drilling and writing the technical report that was required for the assessment of potential impacts to surface water and groundwater resources from pit activities.

This assessment was completed to investigate the feasibility of dewatering an open pit mine so that it can be used for a pumped storage hydro power project. The mine has been filling with water (i.e. precipitation, runoff, and groundwater) since 1978 when extraction ceased. The mine is currently a lake and approximately 90 per cent of the water would need to be discharged into a nearby Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW). As part of this investigation, the quality and quantity of the water in the mine was determined, baseline habitats studies were completed, and the risk of flooding as well as the ability of the PSW to assimilate the water assessed. The information collected was used to make recommendations for managing the water so that a final dewatering strategy could be developed.



Peter is a Vice President and Senior Hydrogeologist for MTE. He has more than 30 years of experience on various types of projects ranging from international to domestic assignments, including groundwater, surface water, soil and contaminant investigations, to the exploration / development and protection of municipal groundwater and surface water supplies. He has been responsible for the design, construction, supervision and testing of municipal wells and assessing the impacts of various contaminants on groundwater and surface water resources. He is experienced with scoping, managing and reporting on largescale groundwater developments, site assessment and contamination investigations. Peter has also completed risk communication training to assist in the transfer of sensitive and detailed technical knowledge to audiences including clients, peers, legal counsel and the general public including lay persons.



Peter A. Gray, P.Geo., QP_{FSA}

Title: Vice President, Environmental Senior Hydrogeologist Licensed Well Contractor #C-7302 Instructor, Continuing Education, Fleming College

Professional Experience

Education

Bachelor of Science (Honours, Co-op), Earth Sciences | University of Waterloo | 1987

Professional Designations

Professional Geoscientist (P.Geo.) | Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario

Qualified Person for Environmental Site Assessment (QP $_{\rm ESA})|$ O. Reg. 153/04 | Ministry of the Environment

Licensed Well Contractor | Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks

Tenure with MTE

Since 1995

Professional Development

Advanced Wilderness First Aid | Stonehearth Open Learning Opportunities (SOLO)

Training for Property Entry per Section 88 of Clean Water Act | Ministry of the Environment

Assessment Report Technical Training-Drinking Water Source Protection | Ministry of the Environment

Memberships

Ontario Ground Water Association

Ontario Stone, Sand and Gravel Association

Canadian Council of Professional Geoscientists (CCPG)

National Ground Water Association

Association of Groundwater Scientists and Engineers

American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG), Division of Environmental Geosciences

International Association of Hydrogeologists

Peter is one of the founding directors and is the volunteer president of the Children's Water Education Council (CWEC). This is a registered, non-profit charitable organization that in 1994 initiated the first Children's Groundwater Festival, since which more than 850,000 children have become educated about a wide variety of waterbased issues and concepts. Each year, water festivals will be held in upwards of 30 communities across Ontario, educating more than 60,000 children annually. Peter has worked across Canada and the United States, and has also assessed groundwater and surface water resources in Malawi, Bangladesh and Southeast Asia. He was the co-team leader in 2005 for a Canadian-led team conducting Community Based Environmental Assessments for the Southeast Asia Tsunami Response Team on behalf of World Vision Canada and the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) in the countries of Indonesia. Sri Lanka, Thailand and India.

MTE

Community Involvement

Rotary Club of Kitchener | Environment Committee Chair | 2010-Present

Coldwater Canada | Youth Leadership Development, Advisory Council | 2011-Present

Children's Water Education Council | Past President | 2001-2016

University of Waterloo | Environmental Studies Faculty | Dean's Council | 2002-2010

University of Waterloo | Earth Sciences Museum | Board of Directors | 2006-Present

Grand River Conservation Authority | Water Forum Committee | 2000-2010

University of Waterloo | Environmental Studies Faculty | Advisory Council | 2000-2010

Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival | Co-Founder / Honorary Chair | 1996-Present

Work History

Vice President, Senior Hydrogeologist | MTE Consultants | 2007-Present

Managing Partner, Senior Hydrogeologist | Frontline Environmental Management | 1995-2007 (Acquired by MTE Consultants)

President, Senior Hydrogeologist | Wavefront Environmental Technologies | 2000-2002

Senior Hydrogeologist, Manager-Kitchener Office | ADAMAS Environmental | 1995

Hydrogeologist; Project Manager, Co-Manager-Cambridge Office | Dames & Moore | 1992-1995

Project Geologist / Hydrogeologist | Trow Dames & Moore | 1987-1992

Senior Geological Assistant | Ontario Geological Survey | Quaternary Division | 1986

Geologist | Petro-Canada | International Exploration Division | 1986

Hydrogeological Assistant | Ontario Ministry of the Environment | Technical Support Section | 1985

Field Geologist | Ontario Geological Survey | Quaternary Division | 1984

Awards

Ontario Volunteer Service Award, 25 Years | Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries | 2020

Paul Harris Fellow | The Rotary Foundation of Rotary International | 2019

Award of Merit | Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario (APGO) | 2018

15-Year Service Ontario Volunteer Award | For Waterloo-Wellington Science & Engineering Fair | Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Immigration, Ontario Volunteer Awards | 2018

Latornell Leadership Award | Latornell Conservation Symposium | 2016

Nominee | Volunteer of the Year | Cambridge Chamber of Commerce | 2015

June Callwood Outstanding Achievement Award for Volunteerism in Ontario | Ontario Ministry of Citizenship, Immigration and International Trade | 2015

20-Year Service Ontario Volunteer Award | For Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival Chair | Ontario Ministry of Citizenship, Immigration and International Trade, Ontario Volunteer Service Awards | 2015

Volunteer Impact Award, Shining Stars: Planning Action Award | Volunteer Action Centre of Kitchener-Waterloo and Area | 2013

Heritage Community Recognition Award for Lifetime Achievement | Ontario Heritage Trust | 2012

American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG): Division of Environmental Geosciences Public Outreach Award | Houston, TX | 2011

15-Year Service Ontario Volunteer Award | For Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival Chair | Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Immigration, Ontario Volunteer Awards | 2011

Faculty of Science, Alumni Award of Honour | University of Waterloo 50th Anniversary Awards | 2007

25th Anniversary Provincial Award | For "Just Add Water", Children's Water Education Council (CWEC) President | Ontario Trillium Foundation | 2007

10-Year Service Ontario Volunteer Award | For Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival Chair | Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Immigration, Ontario Volunteer Awards | 2006

2005 Environmental Education Award | For "Just Add Water", a combined Ontario Water Works Association (OWWA) / Children's Water Education Council (CWEC) program sponsored by The Ontario Trillium Foundation, CWEC President | AWWA /OWWA | 2005

Community Recognition Program -Environmental Sector | For Outstanding Contribution to the Community as Chair of the Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival | Ontario Heritage Foundation | 2005

Michael R. Follett Community Leader Award Nominee | Greater Kitchener Waterloo Chamber of Commerce Business Excellence Awards | 2005

Grand River Watershed Conservation Award | Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival, Chair | Grand River Conservation Authority | 2004

The Outstanding Achievement Award for Voluntarism in Ontario | Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival, Chair | Ministry of Culture and Tourism | 2002

Environmental Education Award | Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival, Chair | Regional Municipality of Waterloo | 1999

Environmental Sustainability Award in Education | For Waterloo Wellington Children's Groundwater Festival, Chair | Waterloo Region Chamber of Commerce | 1999

Award for Education | For Children's Groundwater Institute, Director | Financial Post | 1996



Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Risk Assessments Various locations, Ontario Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2005-Present

Environmental Investigations Phase I / One and II / Two Environmental Site Assessment Various locations, Ontario Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 1995-Present

Canadian General Tower Vinyl Manufacturing Facility, Cambridge Environmental Investigations & Remediation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 1994-Present

City of Kitchener Bramm Street Works Yard Environmental Investigations & Remediation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2005-2010

City of Kitchener Joseph & Gaukel Streets, Former Coal Gasification Plant Environmental Remediation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2007-2011



Peter has been a member of MECP Vendor of Record (VOR) Review teams assisting in the review of Pre-Submission Forms and Risk Assessments submitted to the MECP Standards Development Branch to identify regulatory compliance under O. Reg. 153/04. Peter is a member of the core review team for hydrogeology and soil sciences under continuous VOR agreements.

Since 1995 Peter has served in the capacity of Senior Hydrogeologist for Frontline / MTE. He has undertaken, internally reviewed and peer reviewed hundreds of Phase I / One and II / Two ESAs, along with Remedial Action Plans, Environmental Compliance Audits and Environmental Site Restoration Plans. Peter has served as QPESA for a number of Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) orders issued to clients, and has served as QPESA as a Vendor Of Record with the current MOE Consulting Services for Expert Multi-Disciplinary Scientific Reviews of Brownfield Sites.

Peter is responsible for the review and reporting on data collection, data analysis, environmental characterization, statistical and computer modelling, and report preparation, presentations at public meetings and coordination of regulatory approvals. Additional services includes drilling supervision, packer testing, design and monitoring well installation in dolostone bedrock formations and overburden environments, geologic core logging, review / analysis of packer test results, geophysical log / borehole video log response to assist in interpretation of bedrock geologic / hydrogeological environment. Further, design, construction and testing of groundwater control / free-product contamination recovery wells and municipal production wells, design, coordination and supervision of pumping tests, supervision of staff / sub-contractor adherence to health and safety protocols.

Peter was the Senior Hydrogeologist for a comprehensive Phase II ESA for an investigation of past land uses of the property as a landfill. The workplan included the installation of 11 boreholes and 15 monitoring wells to investigate potential areas of concern and to develop a remedial action plan. Contaminates of concern included PAHs, petroleum hydrocarbons, VOCs, lead, arsenic, sodium adsorption ratio, electrical conductivity, and antimony in cinders, ash, native soil and groundwater. The remediation plan identified volumes of impacted soil and recommended a scoped Site-Specific Risk Assessment and partial intrusive remediation of the site in designated areas of environmental impacts.

Peter was the Senior Hydrogeologist during the \$29-million coal tar remediation as part of a municipal road reconstruction project. The site is the location of a historic coal gasification plant and resulted in extensive coal tar impacts to soil and groundwater. He was responsible for the completion of Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments, remediation and Risk Assessment. City of Hamilton Hamilton Police Services Storage Building Environmental Investigations Role: Senor Hydrogeologist 2015-2018

Region of Waterloo Maple Grove Road & Fountain Street, Cambridge Groundwater Resource Evaluation Role: Project Hydrogeologist 2010-2015

City of Kitchener Battler Snow Storage Facility Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2013-2018

Region of Waterloo St. Agatha Municipal Wells Groundwater Assessment Role: Project Manager & Hydrogeologist 2008-2011



MTE was retained by the City to provide a Supplemental Phase II ESA and a Designated Substance and Hazardous Material Assessment of the Hamilton Police Services Storage Building. This involved a review of previous environmental site assessment and remediation reports, conducting additional soil and groundwater sampling and managing the completion of a geotechnical investigation. Peter oversaw the construction dewatering assessment and analysis completed for the site.

Peter evaluated the groundwater resources for a Class C Environmental Assessment for a water supply system. The objective of this project was to determine the maximum sustained pumping rate at a newly constructed 305-mm water well and existing production well sites designed by MTE. A number of monitoring wells were installed throughout the study area to determine the most suitable location for a new test production well. To aid in the prediction of the long-term effects of pumping MTE used the predictive FEFLOW model. The model also provided an understanding of the existing geological setting and hydrogeological properties of the study area. MTE completed a long-term pumping test (40 days) at a rate of 80 L/sec from two production wells to assess the potential for groundwater interference with existing groundwater users. Water levels from 40 private domestic water supplies and surface water features were monitored using electronic pressure transducers along with the collection and assessment of surface water flow data from a nearby creek and precipitation data. Groundwater quality and quantity were assessed using water samples obtained during the pumping test to monitor the impacts on local surface water courses.

Peter was the Project Hydrogeologist for a new snow storage facility in the City of Kitchener. During the site selection process the hydrogeological conditions were assessed, including evaluating the site in the local and regional hydrogeological framework, along with considering proposed risks to groundwater and surface water quality as a result of chloride impacts from melting snow. During development, Pete is providing oversight for the hydrogeological study and groundwater monitoring program.

Peter served as Project Manager and Project Hydrogeologist for a groundwater exploration program to assess the potential to develop a new municipal groundwater supply to service, and potentially replace the existing nitrate impacted municipal wells in the Hamlet of St. Agatha. The project included the drilling and construction of monitoring wells, executing private property access agreements, and drilling, construction, design and hydraulic testing of one test production well. Municipality of North Huron Wingham & Blyth Hydrogeological Study Role: Hydrogeologist 2003-2005

Municipality of South Huron Exeter Groundwater Management Study Role: Hydrogeologist 2003-2006

Region of Waterloo River Well Assessment, Kitchener Role: Project Manager & Hydrogeologist 1998-2000

Region of Waterloo Peaking Wells K90 - K93 Well Oversight & Testing Role: Hydrogeologist 1990-1993

Region of Waterloo Guidelines for Privately Serviced Developments Hydrogeological Consulting Role: Hydrogeologist 1992



MTE was retained to conduct a hydrogeological study for the determination of Groundwater Under the Direct Influence of Surface Water (GUDI). This project included coordination of field activities, selection of water quality testing methods, isotopic analysis, bacteriological analysis, review of pumping test results and determination of the influence of surface water on groundwater resources. Specific project tasks included hydrogeological setting assessment, conducting a door-to-door survey to identify water quality concerns and to establish monitoring points for use during pumping tests, installation of piezometers, well inspections, pumping tests, and water quality sampling, data compilation and interpretation, groundwater modelling, and hydrogeological reporting for the determination of the need for filtration for the municipal wells.

MTE conducted a Groundwater Management Study under the Ontario Government's "Provincial Water Protection Fund" program. The study focused on Exeter's existing groundwater supplies and covered an area of approximately 140 square kilometres in southern Huron County. MTE also assisted in completing a GUDI assessment of the water supplies and undertook the hydrogeological component of a Class EA Study for Long-Term Water Supply.

Peter served as Project Manager and Project Hydrogeologist, in association with EarthFx, to assess the hydrogeology of the River Wells, a series of nine wells located along the Grand River east of Kitchener (including the Forwell, Pompeii and Woolner well fields). The assignment included the construction and calibration of a 3D groundwater flow model, with both forward and backward in time particle tracking to understand the flow regime in which the wells were constructed. The project also included a study of potential adverse impacts to the wells from gravel extraction activities and future land use plans.

Peter served as Project Hydrogeologist for a groundwater development project that included the test drilling, design, construction oversight, hydraulic testing and permitting for municipal wells K90, K91, K92 and K93. The intention of the wells was to assist in meeting peak water supply demands for the Region.

Peter served on a team of consultants that drafted the terms of reference for hydrogeological studies to be undertaken for proposed rural developments on private services. The investigations, which have been updated over time, included assessing water supply demands from a quality and quantity perspective, as well as waste water requirements, including sewage disposal systems. Region of Waterloo Well Interference Complaints Role: Hydrogeologist 1989-1993

City of Cornwall Landfill Assessments Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2010-2014

Ferma Aggregates Carden Quarry, Kirkfield Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2011-Present

Severn Aggregates Cumberland Quarry Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2007-Present

Fowler Construction Company Fleming Quarry, Washago Surface & Groundwater Studies Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2010-2015



Peter investigated well interference complaints on behalf of the Region through the Well Interference Complaint Committee. These complaints had been registered by rural landowners across the Region, alleging that their private water supply had been affected by the pumping of a nearby municipal well. The investigations included meeting with the landowners, collection and review of background data, well inspection, summary report preparation and presentation of findings and recommendations to the Committee.

Peter was the Senior Hydrogeologist for various Landfill Assessments for both open and closed landfills in Cornwall.

This project involved an exploration well installation (cored holes) for the purpose of aggregate quality testing. The results of the cored holes were used in a geological evaluation for the purpose of assessing bedrock quality and quantity at the quarry. This project also included groundwater level and quality monitoring to comply with the aggregate license and the Environmental Compliance Approval needed to discharge water back into the natural environment. Annual compliance reports are submitted to the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ministry of Environment to demonstrate the impact of the quarry on surface and groundwater resources.

MTE conducted a regional-scale groundwater assessment in support of an application for a quarry below the water table. This project included a drainage basin analysis for the purpose of identifying groundwater and surface water interactions. This analysis involved the assessment of baseflow condition of onsite creeks, and completion of water budget calculations. Groundwater levels and quality monitoring as well as hydrological monitoring (stream flow) is being done to track long-term fluctuation over time. This information is being inputted into a database used for the development of a numerical groundwater model using FEFLOW 6.0. The purpose of the model will be to assess the potential impacts of the quarry on surface water and groundwater resources and their uses as the quarry develops.

This project required the completion of a Hydrogeological Investigation to support an application for a quarry below the water table. This project included drilling and installation of eight onsite bedrock water wells and two wetland monitoring stations. Peter was responsible for overseeing the technical reports that were required for the assessment of potential impacts to surface water and groundwater resources from quarrying activities as required to obtain a Permit to Take Water and a Certificate of Approval for quarry dewatering.
Harold Sutherland Construction Keppel Quarry Hydrogeological Investigation Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 2006-2019

First Nations Consultation Role: Qualified Professional 1995-Present

Community Based Environmental Assessments on Rehabilitation Projects, Southeast Asia Role: Co-Team Leader 2004-2006

Canadian International Development Agency Save the Buriganga Program, Bangladesh Role: Project Director 2000-2003

Vinyl Manufacturing Facility, Toledo, Ohio Contaminant Hydrogeological Assessment Role: Project Manager 1995-1997

Groundwater Supply Development Zomba, Malawi Role: Senior Hydrogeologist 1995-1996



Level 1 and Level 2 Hydrogeological Investigations were completed to support an application for a quarry expansion and assessed the risk to groundwater and surface water resources. First Nations consultation began during the Stage 1 investigation, and continued through the Stage 2 investigation up to and including the Ontario Municipal Board and Environmental Review Tribunal hearings. An Adaptive Management Plan was also developed allowing for strategic decision-making so that potential impacts to the natural environment could assessed and mitigated prior to any adverse impact. Before the plan was developed, MTE's Hydrogeological Investigation was peer reviewed by several Hydrogeologist at the Ministry of Natural Resources, MOE, and the Niagara Escarpment Commission.

Peter has extensive experience working with First Nations through the Duty to Consult – Constitution Act, 1982, as it relates to work performed on various gravel pit and bedrock quarry sites that Peter has been involved as a QP across Ontario. Peter has attended and provided expert witness testimony at the Ontario Environment Review Tribunal on matters concerning First Nations consultation, and has worked for individual bands on a project by project basis, including the Chippewa's of the Thames, Ojibwe Nation near London, ON, the Dokis Nation, near Lake Nipissing, ON, and the Cree Nation near Mistissini, QC.

Peter was the Co-Team Leader for Community-Based Environmental Assessment Reports for Indonesia, Thailand, Sri-Lanka – CIDA and non-CIDA, and India – CIDA and non-CIDA funded projects, on behalf of World Vision Canada / CIDA SE Asia Tsunami Response Team, following the December 26, 2004 Southeast Asia Tsunami.

Peter was the Project Director for the "Save the Buriganga Program;" a river clean-up project sponsored by the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), in association with the Ministry of Environment and Forest; and Department of Environment, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

Peter was the Project Manager for a stormwater and surface water assessment at a vinyl manufacturing facility.

Peter was the Senior Hydrogeologist for the development of a groundwater supply for the Naming'azi Farm Training Centre, an elementary school and a youth hostel and local villages located near the City of Zomba, Malawi in Southeast Africa. This work was completed through the Presbyterian World Service and Development (PWS&D) in association with the Church of Central Africa Presbyterian (CCAP).

Burlington | Kitchener | London | Stratford

Publications & Presentations

Gray, P.A. (2020). Bon Park Podcast. April, 2020

Gray, P.A. (2020). "Estimating the Yield of a Domestic Well", Ground Water Canada Magazine. April, 2020.

- Bingeman, A.L., **P.A. Gray,** F. Abu-Hijleh, K. Wallace. (2018). "The Effect of Close-Proximity Blasting on Groundwater Quality and Impacts on a Communal Drinking Water Systems. A Cast Study". Presented at the National Groundwater Association (NGWA) Groundwater Week, Groundwater Summit Conference. December 3, 2018. Las Vegas, NV.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2016). "Navigating Permits to Take Water (PTTWs)", Presented at the Ontario Stone, Sand and Gravel Association, Environmental Workshop. November 22, 2016. Mississauga, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.**, (2016). "Water Education Beyond the Classroom, 20 Years Later", Presented at the Canadian Ground Water Conference and Expo 2016. June 10, 2016. Niagara Falls, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2015). "Navigating Permits to Take Water (PTTWs)", Presented at the Ontario Stone, Sand and Gravel Association, Environmental Workshop. November 25, 2015. Mississauga, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** and J. Flanagan (2015). " A fine balance. Progressive rehabilitation helps make water balance naturally through vegetated areas", Avenues Magazine. Volume 5, Issue 2. November, 2015.
- **Gray, P.A.** and M. Bradley (2015). "Leadership in action: The Children's Groundwater Festival successfully promotes environmental leadership by educating our youth", Avenues Magazine. Volume 5, Issue 1. February, 2015.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2014). "Navigating Permits to Take Water (PTTWs)", Presented at the Ontario Stone, Sand and Gravel Association, Environmental Workshop. November 4, 2014. Mississauga, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2014). "Working with Water from Africa to Asia to Waterloo Region", Presented for the Haysville Sunshine Retirees Club. June 19, 2014. Haysville, ON
- **Gray, P.A.** (2014). "Water Education Beyond the Classroom 20 Years Later", Environmental Science and Engineering Magazine. January-February Issue, 2014.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2013). "Working with Water From Africa to Asia", SAWA Stratford Area World Aid Water Project-Dukam, Ethiopia. November 7, 2013. Stratford, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2011). "Just Add Water" Soupfest: World Water Day, Children's Water Education Council. March 22, 2011. Kitchener, ON.
- Gray, P.A. (2010). "Just Add Water" Soupfest: World Water Day, Children's Water Education Council. March 22, 2010. Kitchener, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2009). Community Based Environmental Assessments Where Hazards / Catastrophes / Society Come Together. Case Study: SE Asia Tsunami Response – Indonesia, Sri Lanka, Thailand, India; May-July 2005. Presented at the International Association of Hydrogeologists / Hydrogeology Division of Canada Geotechnical Society. March 2, 2009. Kitchener, ON.
- Reid, S. and **P.A. Gray** (2008). Water Education: Beyond the Classroom. Presented at the Ontario Society for Environmental Education (OSEE) Summer Conference. May 8, 2008. Oshawa, ON.
- Lizhong, W., L. Fang, K. Hipel and **P.A. Gray** (2008). Subsurface Contaminant Remediation and Cost/Benefit Sharing to Redevelop a Naphtha Contaminated Brownfield. Proceedings of Water Down Under 2008, incorporating the 31st Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium and the 4th International Conference on Water Resources and Environment Research. April 14 to 17, 2008. Adelaide, Australia. pp. 2423-2433.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2008). Uncertainty and Conflict in a Disaster Zone: Case Study: SE Asia Tsunami Response, Environmental Policy and Planning Course. February 7, 2008. McMaster University, Hamilton, ON.
- Reid, S. and **P.A. Gray** (2007). Beyond the Classroom: An Overview of the Water Education in Ontario, Day 1, Environmental Education and Communications. A.D. Latornell Conservation Symposium: Your Watersheds, Our Great Lakes. November 14, 2007. Alliston, ON.



- Yousefi, S., K. Hipel, K.T. Hegazy, J.A. Witmer and **P.A. Gray** (2007). Negotiation Characteristics in Brownfield Redevelopment Projects. Presented at 2007 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. October 2007. Montreal, QC.
- Yousefi, S., K. Hipel, K.T. Hegazy, J.A. Witmer and **P.A. Gray** (2007). Cooperative Brownfield Redevelopment for an Educational Institution. Presented at Brownfields Redevelopment Negotiation and Strategy Workshop, Wilfrid Laurier University. June 23, 2007. Waterloo, ON.
- Yousefi, S., K. Hipel, K.T. Hegazy, J.A. Witmer and **P.A. Gray** (2007). Cooperative Brownfield Redevelopment for an Educational Institution. Presented at 4th International Conference in Group Decision and Negotiation (GDN). March, 2007. Montreal, QC.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2006). Water Festivals to Field Programs. Presented at 7th Annual Water Forum, Grand River Conservation Authority. September, 2006.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2006): The World's Thirst for Water, presented at International Projects and Issues Conference, Rotary International, Cameron Heights Collegiate Institute. May 25, 2006. Kitchener, ON.
- Gray, P.A. (2006). The World's Thirst For Water. Presented at World Vision 30-Hour Famine. April 29, 2006. Cambridge, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** and J. Johnson (2006). Rotary International Minds Conference... a think tank for youth. Great Lakes: Climate Change – Are Water Levels in the Great Lakes Rising or Falling, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, April 13, 2006.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2006). The Dec 26/04 Tsunami meets the Water Table, Banda Aceh, Sumatra Island, Indonesia, What on Earth, A Canadian Newsletter for the Earth Sciences, Volume 4, Number 1, University of Waterloo, March 2006.
- **Gray P.A.** and S. Reid (2005). Water Education, Beyond the Classroom. Presented at the Ontario Water Works Association Annual Conference. May 8, 2005.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2005) Rotary International Minds Conference... A think tank for youth. Great Lakes: Planning for Competing Interests, University of Waterloo. April 10, 2005. Waterloo, ON.
- **Gray P.A.,** T.A. Bonin and M.F. Ahmed (2004) Dhaka's Waterways: Save the Buriganga River Program. Presented to 39th Central Canadian Symposium on Water Quality Research, Drinking Water Session. February 9, 10, 2004. Burlington, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2004). Rotary International Minds Conference... A Think Tank for Youth. A Civic Youth Conference on Water, Wilfrid Laurier University. April 16, 2004. Waterloo, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.**, E. Hodgins and B. Veale (2004). Planning for Water Conservation and Use in Waterloo Region, Chapter 17, Towards a Grand Sense of Place. Writings on the changing environments, land-uses, landscapes, lifestyles and planning of a Canadian Heritage River. pp. 225-240, 2004.
- **Gray, P.A.** and D. Schultz (2003). Water Management Building Blocks, Breakthroughs and Opportunities. Environmental Science and Engineering Magazine. November 2003.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2003). 3rd Annual Grand River Watershed Water Forum, Building Blocks, Breakthroughs & Opportunities, Afternoon Session Moderator, September 12, 2003. Cambridge, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** and T. Bonin (2003). Dhaka's Waterways, Save the Buriganga Program. Presented to St. Lawrence River Institute of Environmental Science: Large River Ecosystems Under Stress Conference. May 13-15, 2003. Cornwall, ON.
- Fedy, R., P. Gray, R. Barnes and J. Witmer (2003). Ralgreen Restoration Project, A Brownfield Cleanup Project in a Residential Neighbourhood. Presented to 38th Central Canadian Symposium on Water Quality Research. February 10-11, 2003. Burlington, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** and S. Reid (2002). Children's Water Education Council, Water Festivals and Grade 8 Programming. Presented at and published in proceedings of 2002 Groundwater Foundation Fall Conference, "Groundwater: the Forgotten Element of Watershed Protection". November 2002. Eugene, OR.



- **Gray, P.A.** and S. Reid (2002). A Practical Approach to Educating Children About Water. Presented on behalf of the Children's Water Education Council at the Managing Shared Waters International Conference. June 2002. Hamilton, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.,** B. Davidson, A. MacDonald (2001). Pressure Pulse Technology (PPT): A Revolutionary Fluid Flow Technology for Porous Media. Presented at 2001 An Earth Odyssey, 54th Canadian Geotechnical Conference, 2nd Joint IAH and CGS Groundwater Conference. September 16-19, 2001. Calgary, AB.
- Bajc, A.F., White, T.N. and **Gray, P.A.** (2001). Quaternary geology of the Northwest Bay area. Ontario Geological Survey, Map 2572, scale 1:50,000.
- Bajc, A.F., and **Gray, P.A.** (2001). Quaternary geology of the Rainy River area; Ontario Geological Survey, Map 2570, scale 1:50,000
- **Gray, P. A.** (2001). Source Zone Removal Using Pressure Pulse Technology. Presented at the 2001 Groundwater Foundation Fall Conference and Groundwater Guardian Designation Conference on Today's Technology Protecting Tomorrow's Groundwater. November 14-16, 2001. Pittsburgh, PA.
- **Gray, P.A.,** E. Hodgins and B. Veale (2001). Water, water everywhere? Understanding and protecting our nation's most valuable resource. Environments 29(1): 39-66. Theme Issue: Urban Environmental Planning, Management and Decision Making.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2000). The Importance and Sensitivity of Our Water Resources; presented at the Western Ontario Water Works Conference, Windsor, Ontario, October 4, 2000.
- **Gray, P.A.** and A. MacDonald (2000). Dramatic LNAPL Recovery using Pressure Pulse Technology (PPT) at a Manufacturing Facility in Ontario. Environmental Science and Engineering Magazine, November-December, 2000.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2000). Measuring Water Quality Management Performance Conference, Session 2 Chair, Water Performance Issues. Presented by Federated Press. October 30-31, 2000. Toronto, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2000). Water Quality Issues and Challenges. Presented to and paper at the Federated Press, Measuring Water Quality Management Performance Conference. October 30-31, 2001, Toronto, ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (2000). Water, Water Everywhere? Workshop Series. Presentation and paper on Urban Living and Environmental Change, The Urban Environmental Project Workshop Series, Session II. Presented by the Heritage Resource Center, Interdisciplinary Research Fund, Dean of Environmental Studies and the School of Planning at the University of Waterloo in conjunction with the Rotary Club of Kitchener; January 20, 2000.
- **Gray, P.A.** and T.A. Bonin (1999). 3-D Visualization of Groundwater Flow from an Industrial Property Towards Canada's Newest Heritage River. Presented at 22nd Biennial Ground Water Conference "Interconnected Water Supply in California". September 20-21, 1999.
- **Gray, P.A.** (1997) The Role of Quaternary Geology in Computer Modeling of Groundwater Flow. Presented at the Quaternary Discussion Group, University of Waterloo. November, 1997.
- **Gray, P.A.** (1995) Groundwater Resources An Overview. Presented at the Spring (May 1995) and Fall (October 1995) Workshops, Groundwater Development and Well Design, Operation and Maintenance, Western Ontario Waterworks Conference and Ontario Waterworks Association, a section of AWWA, Toronto (May 1995), Stratford (October 1995), ON.
- **Gray, P.A.** (1994). Wellhead Protection An Overview. Presented at the CanWell '94 Convention, Technical Session C: Aquifer Protection. April 1994. Vancouver, B.C.
- **Gray, P.A.** (1994) Groundwater Education in Ontario Consultants and Contractors Make It Happen. Presented at CanWell '94 Convention, Technical Session C: Management Issues. April 1994. Vancouver, B.C.
- **Gray, P.A.** (1993). Groundwater Education in Ontario Consultants and Contractors Make It Happen. Presented at the Second American Ground Water Trust National Workshop on Ground Water Education, NGWA Convention. November 1993. Kansas City, MO.



Gray, P.A. (1991). Proper Protection and Placement of Domestic Wells. Parent-Child Guide Book.

- Bajc, A.F., White, T.N. and **Gray, P.A.** (1990). Quaternary geology, Northwest Bay area. Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P.3138, scale 1:50,000.
- Bajc, A.F. and **Gray, P.A.** (1987) Quaternary Geology of the Rainy River Area, District of Rainy River. Ontario Geological Survey, Map P. 3065; Geological Series Preliminary Map; Scale 1:50,000. Geology 1986.

